DATABASE 2012-2024 ON ANTI-MUSLIM HATRED

Cases and rulings

Country Code	Year	Reference details title	Reference details URL	Hate Bias motivation	Court / Body	Key facts of the case	Results (sanctions, outcome) and key consequences or implications of the case	Main reasoning / argumentation	Key issues (concepts, interpretations) clarified by the case	Key quotation in original language and translated into English with reference details
Czechia	2016	Czech Republic / Supreme Administrative Court / 11 Kss 6/2015 - 53 / Decision of the Disciplinary Chamber	http://nssoud.cz/files/SOUDNI_VYKON/2015/000611Kss_1500053A_prevedeno.pdf	Religion	Supreme Administrative Court	A judge was officially reprimanded by the Disciplinary Chamber for publishing several sarcastic and offensive articles on the migration crisis and about migrants, Muslims and humanitarian workers.	The judge was officially reprimanded.	The Disciplinary Chamber argued that the freedom of speech of judges is limited by their duty to uphold the dignity of the office of a judge. A judge has to abstain from any activity that diminishes the dignity of the office and the public's trust in the independence of judges. A judge may present his/her opinions publicly, but in a moderate way that does not give the impression that he/she may be biased in decision-making.	A judge may present his/her opinions publicly, but in a moderate way that does not give the impression that he/she may be biased in decision-making.	"Soudci je nicméně zapovězeno vyjadřovat veřejnou podporu konkrétnímu politickému subjektu či programu, případně podporovat či šířit myšlenky, které jsou v rozporu s principy demokratické společnosti. Korektivem jeho počínání musí být hranice, za níž by mohl vyvolat dojem, že jím prezentované názory by mohly mít vliv na výkon jeho soudcovské funkce. " "A judge must not publicly support a political subject or a programme or support or spread ideas that are not in compliance with democratic principles. He / she must not cross the line beyond which his / her activities would create the impression that the opinions expressed could influence his/her performance of the judicial duty."

DISCLAIMER The information presented here is collected under contract by the FRA's research network FRANET. The information and views contained do not necessarily reflect the views or the official position of the FRA.

http://fra.europa.eu/en/databases/anti-muslim-hatred/

Copyright © 2025 European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights