

Cases and rulings

Country Code	Year	Reference details title	Reference details URL	Hate Bias motivation	Court / Body	Key facts of the case	Results (sanctions, outcome) and key consequences or implications of the case	Main reasoning / argumentation	Key issues (concepts, interpretations) clarified by the case	Key quotation in original language and translated into English with reference details
Cyprus	2020	Cyprus / Media Complaints Commission, «Απόφαση εναντίον της εφημερίδας 'Αλήθεια' για δημοσίευμα με τίτλο: 'Τρίτος Αττίλας στην Κύπρο!', ημ. 27/01/2020» (Decision against the newspaper 'Alithia' for the article entitled 'Third Attila in Cyprus!' of 27/01/		Racial or ethnic origin, Racial or ethnic origin, Religion	Media Complaints Commission	The Media Complaints Commission examined a complaint against the national daily newspaper "Alithia" for an article entitled "Third Attila in Cyprus" published in January 2020. The article referred to migrants and refugees whom it resembled with the Turkish invasion of 1974, which had received the code name 'Operation Attila' and included phrases like "we are sinking" and "we cannot stand them any more". It also included false data on the number of asylum seekers, whom it recorded as 43,000 whilst the actual number at the time was 17,171.	The Media Complaints Commission obliged the newspaper to publish this decision and the newspaper complied. This is the only measure foreseen in the mandate of the Media Complaints Commission.	The Commission concluded that the newspaper purposely magnified the data on asylum applications and infringed the non-discrimination provision of the Journalistic Code, generating xenophobic sentiments leading to the intensification of phenomena of intolerance of diversity and criticized the repeated use of the term 'illegal migrant'. With regard to the use of the term 'third Attila' the Commission found its use excessive and unjustified, leading to phenomena of xenophobia and fanaticism. It concluded that, through the inaccurate asylum figures and the catchy phrases it used, the article contained hate speech which infringed not only the Journalistic Code but also the law on combating racism.	False or inaccurate data in the context of asylum and migration can lead to phenomena of xenophobia and fanaticism. The use of catchy phrases and journalistic articles equating vulnerable populations, like asylum seekers and refugees, with national tragedies and disasters is problematic as it can intensify phobias and perpetuate intolerance.	"Η Επιτροπή θεωρεί ότι το παρόν δημοσίευμα τόσο μέσω των ανακριβών πληροφοριών όσο και μέσω των φράσεων που χρησιμοποιεί προβάλλει τη ρητορική μίσους, η οποία είναι αντίθετη όχι μόνο προς τη δημοσιογραφική δεοντολογία, αλλά και ενάντια στο νόμο περί Καταπολέμησης του Ρατσισμού" "The Commission considers that this publication, through the inaccurate data and the phrases it uses, promotes hate speech, which is contrary not only to journalistic ethics but also to the anti-racist legislation."

DISCLAIMER The information presented here is collected under contract by the FRA's research network FRANET. The information and views contained do not necessarily reflect the views or the official position of the FRA.

<http://fra.europa.eu/en/databases/anti-muslim-hatred/>

Copyright © 2026 European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights