

Country Code	Year	Reference details title	Reference details URL	Hate Bias motivation	Court / Body	Key facts of the case	Results (findings, outcome) and key consequences or implications of the case	Main reasoning / argumentation	Key issues (concepts, legal interpretations) clarified by the case	Key quotation in original language and English translation with reference details
North Macedonia	2023	North Macedonia / Commission Against Discrimination / 118-24/23	https://www.commission-against-discrimination.mk/en/118-24/23		Commission against Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination	A Muslim woman filed a complaint stating that she and her colleagues were denied entry in a Protestant restaurant under the pretence of a private event. A later inquiry suggested that the refusal was based on gender and religion and issued a recommendation for it to stop discriminatory practices. The complainant confirmed a pattern of discrimination which contributed as an additional evidence that the Commission on Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination is rule that the complainant was faced with discrimination.	The Commission determined that restaurant's actions constituted direct discrimination Article 12, Law on Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination, on the grounds of direct intersectional discrimination based on gender and religion and issued a recommendation for it to stop discriminatory practices. The Commission stated that the complainant was denied access to the restaurant's services and facilities on the basis of her gender and religion, which constituted direct discrimination under the Law on Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination. The Commission ruled that denying access due to religious attire violated the right to equal access to services and facilities of the restaurant. The Commission found that the complainant was denied access to the restaurant's services and facilities on the basis of her gender and religion, which constituted direct discrimination under the Law on Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination.	The Commission addressed several key issues in this case. It emphasized the concept of intersectional discrimination recognizing that the complainant was faced with multiple forms of discrimination based on both gender and religion. The Commission stated that the complainant was denied access to the restaurant's services and facilities on the basis of her gender and religion, which constituted direct discrimination under the Law on Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination. The Commission ruled that denying access due to religious attire violated the right to equal access to services and facilities of the restaurant. The Commission found that the complainant was denied access to the restaurant's services and facilities on the basis of her gender and religion, which constituted direct discrimination under the Law on Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination.	"We consider since the complainant was denied access to the restaurant's services and facilities on the basis of her gender and religion, which constituted direct discrimination under the Law on Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination." "The Commission addressed several key issues in this case. It emphasized the concept of intersectional discrimination recognizing that the complainant was faced with multiple forms of discrimination based on both gender and religion. The Commission stated that the complainant was denied access to the restaurant's services and facilities on the basis of her gender and religion, which constituted direct discrimination under the Law on Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination." "The Commission ruled that denying access due to religious attire violated the right to equal access to services and facilities of the restaurant." "The Commission found that the complainant was denied access to the restaurant's services and facilities on the basis of her gender and religion, which constituted direct discrimination under the Law on Prevention and Protection Against Discrimination."	

<http://fra.europa.eu/en/databases/anti-muslim-hatred/>

Copyright © 2026 European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights