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Introduction

The European Commission set up an internal Roma Task Force in 2010 to assess how European Union (EU) funding is used to support Roma integration and to identify ways to improve its effectiveness. One of the major issues identified by the task force, in which the FRA participates, was the paucity of data on the socio-economic situation of Roma in EU Member States, a lack which previous EU and international organisation reports have also highlighted.

In the past, FRA survey research on Roma as a part of the European Union Minorities and Discrimination Survey (EU-MIDIS) focused on discrimination, hate crime and rights awareness in seven EU Member States. Earlier survey work by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the World Bank had focused on socio-economic conditions of Roma in central and eastern European EU and non-EU Member States.

In order to collect comparable and robust data on the socio-economic situation of Roma and their discrimination experiences, FRA designed a pilot survey covering EU Member States with a high proportion of Roma living in the country. In some of the selected EU Member States, FRA had not surveyed Roma before (France, Italy, Portugal and Spain). The survey also covered a number of central and eastern European EU Member States where FRA, as part of the EU-MIDIS survey, had surveyed Roma some years earlier.

In 2011 two parallel and complementary surveys were carried out in an effort to map the situation of Roma in the EU. The UNDP and the World Bank, in a survey funded by the European Commission, the UNDP and the World Bank’s Nordic Trust Fund, focused on social and economic development aspects. The second FRA survey explored the fulfilment of key fundamental rights.

The FRA survey data collection was managed by the contractor Gallup Europe, in close cooperation and under the supervision of FRA experts. Starting in February 2011, Gallup Europe carried out background research to collect up-to-date information on the geographic distribution of Roma in 11 EU Member States for sampling purposes. FRA developed a survey questionnaire, which Gallup Europe and its national fieldwork agencies translated and used in face-to-face interviews with Roma in 11 EU Member States between May and July 2011. FRA received the full survey data set in October 2011.

The Roma pilot survey was carried out in close cooperation with the UNDP and the World Bank, which at around the same time were conducting a survey on Roma in a group of EU and non-EU Member States with funding from the European Commission’s Directorate-General Regional Policy. In May 2012, the first release of the survey results analysed the two surveys’ combined data set, which accompanied the European Commission’s Communication on National Roma Integration Strategies. Since this joint release, FRA has continued to analyse the results based on its own survey data set covering 11 EU Member States, published on the FRA website.

This Technical report describes how the FRA Roma Pilot survey was carried out and the fieldwork outcomes in the 11 EU Member States. The full survey questionnaire can be found in the annex of this report. The survey methodology FRA developed, in consultation with experts and other partners, should serve as an example to EU Member States and other researchers on sampling and interviewing Roma. The methodology can be used to collect better evidence on the situation of Roma and to support EU and Member State policy making.

---

1 The term ‘Roma’, as used by the Council of Europe, refers to Roma, Sinti, Kale and related groups in Europe, including Travellers and the Eastern groups (Dom and Lom); it also covers a wide diversity of the groups concerned, including persons who identify themselves as Gypsies. For more information, see Council of Europe (2012), Descriptive Glossary of terms relating to Roma issues, version dated 18 May 2012, available at: http://hub.coe.int/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=83de8f0d-ee32-40c9-b92e-e77edec46388&groupId=10227. All hyperlinks were accessed on 18 October 2013.


1 Background research on Roma in the survey countries

This chapter presents the contractor’s efforts to collect the information necessary to draw a probability (random) sample of Roma in the EU Member States the survey covered. The FRA Roma pilot survey took place in 11 EU Member States: Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, France, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia and Spain. These Member States were chosen because of the notable proportion of Roma in the population and the assumption that in most of these countries Roma were living in a high concentration in specific areas – a necessary condition for probability sampling at reasonable cost and within a limited time frame. In addition, in seven of these countries FRA had already gathered experience interviewing Roma with its 2008 EU-MIDIS survey – Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Greece, Hungary, Poland, Romania and Slovakia.

The FRA Roma survey is considered a pilot as Roma have never been covered in comparative, cross-national surveys in several of the selected countries – namely in France, Italy, Portugal and Spain. The implementation of the survey in these countries was one of the project’s main challenges.

1.1. Mapping the areas where Roma live

The survey set out to cover Roma who fall under the broad definition of the Council of Europe, including, for example, Roma, Gipsy, Gitanos, Sinti, Travellers, Kalé and Gens du voyage, independent of their citizenship or whether their lifestyle was sedentary, semi-sedentary or mobile. A prerequisite for a sampling design which is cost- and time efficient is knowledge of the universe under study, in other words the size of the target population and its geographic distribution.

Two factors make this difficult for Roma. One is the wide diversity of Roma groups and the related vagueness of the very term ‘Roma’, which denotes various overlapping and complementary characteristics (ethnic, linguistic, socioeconomic). The second is the notorious underrepresentation of Roma in censuses and the divergence between census data, which are commonly used for constructing sample frames, and ‘experts’ estimates’. In the absence of a clear definition of ‘Roma’ and of reliable census or registry data, which would allow for a direct sampling of Roma households or individuals, the survey adopted an area-based sampling approach which could be applied in all survey countries. The background research compiled information on the geographic distribution of Roma in the EU Member States where this information was not readily available and reviewed and updated the information used for EU-MIDIS three years earlier. The minimum necessary information included details on the proportion of Roma in the total population, the total number of Roma and the urbanisation level for all administrative areas in the country. Additional demographic information on the Roma population was of added value, as it could be used to assess the representativeness of the sample.

The availability of data that covers all administrative areas and is broken down by ethnicity, however, varies largely from country to country. In Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania and Slovakia the census asks, mostly on a voluntary basis, about characteristics such as ethnicity and/or information on the languages spoken in a household, which can serve as a proxy for ethnicity. In these countries, the census can provide at least some information on the geographic distribution and the basic demographic characteristics of Roma. In 2011, when the Roma pilot survey was carried out, the most recent available census data was from 2000, which could have become outdated. Even where census data on Roma were available, these were likely to underestimate the number of Roma. Some Roma are reluctant to self-identify as Roma in a census, resulting in an incomplete picture of the size and geographic distribution of Roma communities.

---

6 Probability sampling is a statistical approach to sample selection where each unit in the population to be surveyed has a known, non-zero probability of being selected in the sample. There are several different methods of probability sampling, such as simple random sampling, where each unit in the survey population has an equal selection probability. In most surveys, however, different (unequal) sampling probabilities are used in order to make the fieldwork more efficient (e.g. by stratifying the sample and clustering the interviews), and the differences in selection probabilities are corrected either in the design (by selecting more respondents from strata which are large in terms of the target population) or by weighting. Results obtained through probability sampling are therefore considered representative of the target population, as defined in the survey.


In France, Greece, Italy, Portugal and Spain the census does not include any questions on ethnicity or languages spoken. This made it necessary to carry out more extensive background research on the feasibility of the selected survey methodology and the availability of the information needed for successful probability sampling. The following sections provide an overview of available data sources on Roma and the countries where each data source was used for sampling purposes.

1.1. Main information source: census data

The 2001 census was the only official data source on the geographic distribution of the Roma population in Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland and Romania. Prior to the fieldwork research, efforts were made to update this information for the municipalities included in the sample through contacts with municipal offices and/or local non-governmental organisations (NGOs). In most cases the updated information showed that more Roma were living in the selected municipalities than at the time of the 2001 census. This might be due, for example, to an undercount in the census, migration between census rounds leading to increased concentration of Roma populations in certain areas, or to an overestimate of the municipal Roma population by the local information source used. In contrast, in some municipalities the Roma communities had practically disappeared since the 2001 census.

Poland was an exception. Its Roma population decreased considerably from an already small 2001 census figure of 12,000. This finding was based on the observations of municipal workers who were contacted for advice. It was also consistent with fieldwork supervisors’ direct observations while carrying out the survey. Emigration was considered the main reason for this decrease.

1.1.2. Main information source: census and/or other statistical data

The 2001 census in the Czech Republic and Slovakia collected information on Roma ethnicity, but other data sources were considered more relevant, up to date or complete.

In the Czech Republic, information on Roma settlements from the 2001 census was combined with information collected during a project9 on ‘Socially excluded Roma Communities’.10 The FRA contractor considered the list of locations identified in this project as the primary source of information. The census data, however, allowed the addition of another 18 settlements with substantial Roma communities to the sampling frame of areas with above-average Roma populations.

In Slovakia, the 2004 Atlas of Roma communities11 provided more complete and up-to-date information than the 2001 census and was therefore chosen as the primary source on the geographic distribution of Roma there.

The Spanish census does not collect data on ethnicity, therefore the Map of Housing of Roma/Gitanos communities in Spain12 was used to compile information on the geographic distribution of Spain’s Roma communities.

1.1.3. Main information source: primary data collection and other secondary data

In France, Greece, Italy and Portugal, no national or regional statistics were available on the geographic distribution of Roma, as their censuses do not collect data on ethnicity. Additional efforts were, therefore, made to identify a sufficient number of areas where Roma live in high numbers.

In France, four distinct groups fall under the generic term Roma:

- sedentary French citizen Roma;
- nomadic or semi-nomadic French citizens (Gens du voyage);
- Roma migrants not living in ad hoc camps;
- Roma migrants living in camps (ad hoc or limited stay).

Of these four Roma categories, the background research identified gens du voyage and migrant Roma living in ad hoc camps in the greater Paris area as the only groups for which sufficient information could be collected to allow random sampling. A list of halting sites13 with

---

9 Project funded by the European Structural Fund and the Czech Republic State Budget, organised by the Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs of the Czech Republic and the Government Council for Roma Community Affairs and implemented by GAC spol. S r.o.


information on the location, the number of dwellings and the type of site (long-, medium- or short-term stay) served as a basis for the sampling of the gens du voyage.

Given cost and time limitations migrant Roma were only targeted in the area of greater Paris. With the help of numerous associations and NGOs a sufficient number of camps were identified for interviews. For more details on this convenience sample, see the Annex.

In 2008, EU-MIDIS interviewed Roma in Greece, but only in the area of Athens and Thessaloniki. To collect information on the nationwide distribution of Roma, the national agencies asked relevant organisations and individuals on the municipal level, and in some cases Roma NGOs or service providers such as medical centres that were in contact with Roma, to provide information on the size and specific locations of Roma communities. A database drawn from this information served as a practical description of the statistical universe for the survey in Greece.

In Italy two federations representing about 90% of the local Roma/Sinti associations helped collect geodemographic information about the target group. About 5,500 households living in some 233 municipalities were identified through this approach. In addition, with the help of Roma federations and other information sources Roma migrant encampments in Milan, Turin, Rome and the greater Florence area were identified. The database compiled from this information was used as an approximation for the regional distribution of Roma in Italy.

A database on the geographic distribution of Roma in Portugal was created using an existing study. Two major projects targeting Roma people – ‘Pilot Project for Municipal Mediators’ and Escolhas – facilitated contacts with local Roma communities and provided further unofficial estimates on the number of Roma people/families in their respective areas/settlements of influence. These estimates, in combination with data provided by districts and municipalities, were added to the database.

1.2. Overview of main data sources used in each country

Table 1 presents a summary of data sources used for sampling purposes in each of the 11 EU Member States where the Roma pilot survey was carried out.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>2001 Census</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Research on “Socially Excluded Roma Communities”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Information from Municipalities, Roma representatives, Rom Office, Prefecture Statistical Office, Citizen Service Centre, Xathi’s Roma, Socio-medical Centre, Social Services of Municipalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Fundación Secretariado Gitano (FSG), The Map of Housing of Roma/Gitanos community in Spain, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage: Association Nationale des Gens du Voyage Catholiques (2009), Guide Pratique des Gens du Voyage. Migrant Roma in the greater Paris area: List of ad hoc camps compiled with the assistance of local associations and NGOs working with migrant Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>2001 Census</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Federazione Rom e Sinti Insieme and Federation Romani – in collaboration with local Roma Associations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>2001 Census</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Pilot Project for Municipal Mediators Escolhas project Diverse municipality sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>2002 Census</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Atlas of Roma Communities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
2 Sampling

This chapter explains in detail the sampling procedure used in the Roma pilot survey. After the preparation of the sampling frame, the FRA contractor randomly selected areas where Roma were to be interviewed, respecting the distribution of the Roma by regions and urbanisation levels. The interviewers used various sampling strategies to select the Roma households, depending on the size and the residential density of the Roma population in a given area. One of the sampling strategies, focused enumeration, was applied in areas where Roma were living in areas where both Roma and non-Roma were living in the same neighbourhood, known as ‘mixed areas’. This method, used to boost the probability of identifying eligible households when interviewing hard-to-reach populations, makes it possible to more easily identify individuals from the target group while maintaining the probability sampling approach. Up to 20% of the respondents were identified through focused enumeration in most of the 11 EU Member States.

FRA randomly selected one household member among those aged 16 or older to reply to the questionnaire providing information on all members of the household, on the household as a whole and on his/her individual situation and experiences.

2.1 Sampling design

FRA laid out the basic sampling design for the survey in its call for tender, following the sampling approach adopted for, and tested in, EU-MIDIS. The aim was to arrive at a random sample that provides reasonably good coverage of the target population on the national level given the time and resources available. The final sampling design had to reflect differences at the EU Member State level in the type of data available for sampling purposes and take into account the particularities of sampling the hard-to-reach Roma target population.

The survey adopted a multi-stage probability sampling design to achieve its objectives. Based on the information collected during the background research phase, sampling frames were created for all the countries reflecting, as much as possible, the diversity of the Roma groups in terms of community size and geographic distribution so that the results would be representative of their situation.

To allow for efficient random sampling, only those areas where Roma lived in sufficient concentration – above the national average –were included in the sampling frames. The results are therefore representative for those Roma, but they are not nationally representative of all Roma throughout the EU Member States surveyed. The survey, however, does provide data relevant to the EU and its Member States’ with respect to their priorities on Roma integration and inclusion.

The contractor was responsible for the actual creation of the sampling frames. In the first stage of the sampling procedure, the contractor selected municipalities, neighbourhoods or halting sites, the latter in France only. In the second stage, by using different sampling strategies, it identified and selected eligible households and randomly selected an eligible person to interview from among the household members.

Non-Roma respondents were selected on the basis of the proximity of their residence to Roma. This choice was based on the assumption that non-Roma living near Roma would provide a better benchmark for the situation of the Roma than the country’s overall population, because they would share same administrative, social and economic infrastructure and general socioeconomic conditions.

In five EU Member States FRA and UNDP carried out their survey fieldwork at around the same time. To enable a future joint analysis of the two data sets, the sampling frames were compared and harmonised as much as possible. In some cases, to avoid any fieldwork overlap, a municipality selected for both surveys had to be replaced in one or the other.

2.2 Sampling frame and representativeness

The sampling frames used for the Roma pilot survey were developed on the basis of information collected during the background research. Using all available information, the contractor established lists of primary sampling units composed of municipalities, neighbourhoods or, solely for France, halting sites, for all the countries included in the survey. It differentiated between primary sampling units by region and by urbanisation level.

The FRA Roma pilot survey used a density-based inclusion criterion in Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania, where the census was the primary source of information on the geographic distribution of Roma. To ensure effective fieldwork operations, the contractor included only primary sampling units with a certain minimum density of Roma in the sampling frame. Therefore, the primary
sampling units with a lower than national average of Roma residents were not included in the sampling frame; covering these areas would have been too costly and time consuming. In some cases, however, the inclusion criteria had to be broadened to cover cities where the overall density of Roma residents was low but which nevertheless had large local Roma communities, such as was the case, for example, in Bulgaria. In Poland, all primary sampling units where the 2001 census had recorded Roma communities were included, irrespective of the current size of the local Roma population.

In the Czech Republic, Greece, Portugal, Slovakia and Spain, the contractor included all the primary sampling units identified in the background research in the sampling frame. For France, the contractor included in the sampling all halting sites documented in the background research as having 10 places or more for caravans of the gens du voyage. Sites for short-term stays of under one month were excluded, as these are mainly used for interim stops while en route to a new area. The interviews for the migrant Roma sample in France took place in all ad hoc Roma camps that had been identified prior to fieldwork in the greater Paris region. In Italy, the contractor included in the sampling frame all municipalities where the background research identified at least 25 Roma families, which served as the basis for a well-documented convenience sample.

2.3. Sample size

The survey set out to achieve a net sample size of 1,000 interviews with Roma and 500 with non-Roma in each of the eleven EU Member States covered in the survey. In order to achieve the agreed net sample size, the contractor planned each country’s fieldwork to accommodate a bigger gross sample size, so that in the end it would have a sufficient number of interviews available for analysis even after normal survey non-response and non-contact.

The specific situations encountered in some countries during background research made some adjustments to the sample size necessary. In France, for example, FRA further split the 1,000 interviews with Roma into 700 with gens du voyage and 300 with Roma migrants living in camps in the greater Paris area. The 500 interviews with non-Roma in France took place in areas close to the sampled halting sites of the gens du voyage.

The mapping of Roma communities in Poland revealed a much smaller Roma population than had been expected from the 2001 census. As a result, FRA decreased the Roma sample in Poland to 600 interviews. In Italy, FRA also cut the number of interviews to 600, due to difficulties in gathering the necessary information on the geographic location of the Roma communities.

Table 2: Primary sampling units, by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Type of primary sampling unit</th>
<th>Primary sampling units included in the sampling frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| BG              | Municipality                   | • municipalities with a proportion of Roma at or above the national average  
|                 |                               | • Sofia                                              |
| CZ              | Neighbourhood                  | • all neighbourhoods identified in the background research |
| EL              | Municipalities                 | • all municipalities with Roma communities as identified in the background research |
| ES              | Neighbourhood                  | • all neighbourhoods identified in the background research |
| FR              | Halting site                   | • all halting sites with at least 10 places for caravans and with a minimum one-month stay, as identified in the background research |
| HU              | Municipality                   | • municipalities with a proportion of Roma at or above the national average  
|                 |                               | • municipalities with a population of at least 1,000 Roma |
| IT              | Municipality                   | • all municipalities with at least 25 Roma families, as identified in the background research |
| PL              | Municipality                   | • municipalities where Roma were reported to live in the 2001 census |
| PT              | Municipality                   | • municipalities with Roma population as identified in the background research |
| RO              | Municipality                   | • municipalities with a proportion of Roma at or above the national average  
|                 |                               | • county capitals                                     |
| SK              | Municipality                   | • all municipalities identified in the background research |

Source: FRA, 2013
FRA reallocated the resources freed by the cuts in interview numbers in Italy and Poland to increase the Roma sample size in all other countries, except France, to 1,100 interviews.

### Table 3: Targeted and achieved net sample size, by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Target net sample size</th>
<th>Achieved net sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013

### 2.4. First sampling stage: municipalities, neighbourhoods or halting sites

The FRA survey contractor carried out centrally the selection of the primary sampling units – municipalities, neighbourhoods or halting sites in France – using the lists established by the background research. These lists, which included only localities where the proportion of Roma was higher than the national average, take into account the region, the urbanisation level and the size of the Roma population of each primary sampling unit. Depending on the country’s size, the contractor determined the appropriate geographical level using the Nomenclature of Units for Territorial Statistics\textsuperscript{22}, the sample was distributed across a reasonable number of geographic regions. Nationally relevant urbanisation levels were used to better reflect the differences in the urbanisation structures and statistical classifications in the participating countries.

To reach the target of 1,100 Roma interviews and ensure an adequate geographic spread, contractor selected 100 primary sampling units per country. The contractor also prepared a second list of such sampling units, with the same characteristics as the first, in case a unit needed replacing during fieldwork. A new unit might be needed, for example, if the size of the Roma population was overestimated and the planned number of interviews was not achieved.

\textsuperscript{22} For more information, see: http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/Glossary:NUTS.
2.5. Second sampling stage: households and respondents

After selecting the primary sampling units where the interviews would take place, the next stages of the sampling process involved selecting both the eligible households and household members to be interviewed. The interview clusters were distributed across the primary sampling units to ensure that various regions and urbanisation levels were represented proportionally to the size and location of the Roma population. One interview cluster, in general composed of 11 interviews, was allocated per selected sampling unit. Within a unit, interviews were clustered in one or more locations. Furthermore, a probability-proportional-to-size selection was used to take into account the size of the Roma population: the number of interview clusters allocated to a primary sampling unit increased in proportion to the size of the Roma population in that unit.

In France, Italy and Poland the number of interviews per cluster was reduced, taking into account cuts to the sample size and the need to ensure that the sample had an adequate geographic spread. For interviews with gens du voyage in France and all interviews in Poland the national fieldwork agencies used a cluster size of seven interviews. In interviewing migrant Roma in France and for all interviews in Italy, the number of areas and the number of interviews were determined with regard to the characteristics of the different areas or camps identified during the background research.

The non-Roma interviews were carried out in the same primary sampling units as the Roma interviews. Given the smaller net sample size requirement for non-Roma, the contractor used a cluster size of five interviews.

The selection of the households and the respondents, as well as the eligibility criteria applied during the respondent screening phase are described in detail in the following sections.

2.5.1. Designation of starting points for sample selection in the primary sampling units

In the Roma pilot survey, the contractor carried out the sampling of households based on random route sampling, which is also used in many general population surveys. Once the selection of the sampling units was finalised, the contractor designated starting points for the random route sampling, except for those units where systematic sampling was used.

To determine the starting points for random route sampling, the contractor needed to know which areas inside the selected primary sampling units had a higher density of Roma populations. If the background research had not provided this information, the national fieldwork agencies consulted municipalities, NGOs or other relevant stakeholders to gather this information. Then the national fieldwork agencies drew a map of these areas and defined the starting point of the random route sampling as the edge of the settlement nearest the city centre. If only one interview cluster was assigned to a sampling unit where Roma were living in several separate areas, the largest Roma area was selected. The same rule was then applied, with the random route process starting at the edge of the Roma settlement nearest the city centre. In mixed areas, such as primary sampling units where Roma did not live apart from non-Roma, the starting point was randomly selected in the settlement.

2.5.2. Sampling strategies for households

In most cases survey respondents were randomly selected using random route sampling. The FRA survey complemented this approach, however, with focused enumeration, a methodology developed specifically to improve the effectiveness of random-route sampling for hard-to-reach populations.

From the initial sampling stage, the sampling frame consisted of primary sampling units with a higher-than-average concentration of Roma, thereby increasing the chances of encountering eligible households during the random route selection process. Focused enumeration was used where the proportion of Roma was lower and
where Roma and non-Roma households lived side by side rather than where Roma lived in more segregated settings. In small and confined areas such as ad hoc Roma camps, systematic sampling was used. The three sampling strategies will now be presented in detail.

**Simple random route sampling**

Starting from designated starting points in the primary sampling units, interviewers followed precise instructions on the route. Interviewers could not use their own discretion to decide where to go or which dwelling unit to contact. The random route rules were explained in the interviewer training, and they were included in the interviewer manual, which was distributed at the end of the training to all interviewers. The interviewers were required to apply a sampling step of five, making contact with the household in every fifth dwelling unit. A sample selected through random route has the characteristics of a probability sample.

Random route sampling works with certain underlying assumptions:

- dwellings are arranged along defined streets where one gate or door (if an apartment) normally leads to the dwelling of a household;
- there are street names, intersections, numbers, etc. which can be used when implementing or recording the outcome of random route sampling;
- these physical circumstances remain stable for a longer period of time, allowing for later verifications or subsequent visits to the same address;
- households do not live in more than one dwelling, although more households may live in the same dwelling.

Not all the selected areas fulfilled these conditions; random route rules had, therefore, to be adapted to local circumstances in these cases. In a simplified form, interviews followed certain rules. Interviewers were required, for example, to always follow the right-hand side of the street, to turn to the right at each corner, and to always contact the household of every fifth dwelling.

**Random route sampling with focused enumeration**

Focused enumeration is used in conjunction with random route sampling. Under this methodology, interviewers ask respondents in households which have already been included in the sample to provide information on the eligibility of surrounding households. Two dwellings on either side of the initial household are screened for the presence of eligible Roma households. Based on the information received, the interviewer has to personally establish whether there are eligible (Roma) persons at the adjacent addresses. Focused enumeration increases the chances of identifying eligible households of the hard-to-reach population and delivers a probability sample.

This method was only used in primary sampling units where the concentration of Roma households was relatively low and a simple random route sampling would have yielded poor results. In area with an unknown Roma population density the interviewers applied focused enumeration as an initial method to estimate the density of Roma in the area and to identify the sampling method to apply.

**Systematic sampling**

Interviewers applied the systematic sampling approach only when the number of identifiable Roma dwelling units was too small to realistically complete the planned number of interviews by selecting every fifth household through the random route approach. The national fieldwork agencies used systematic sampling in halting sites, for example, having interviewers contact every dwelling unit along the random route until the number of interviews allocated for the cluster was achieved. This approach was also applied in areas with small local populations as was the case in Italy, Portugal and Poland.

2.5.3. Rules for selecting a sampling strategy for the selection of households

The density of the Roma population in the primary sampling unit and the type of settlement helped determine the sampling strategy. A locality was considered **mixed** if the Roma represented between 10 % and 40 % of the total population in the area and as **segregated** if
this proportion was 40% or higher. Areas where the proportion of Roma was under 10% were not included in the sample.

When the density of the Roma population in the primary sampling area was known before fieldwork started, the national fieldwork supervisor determined the sampling strategy in advance based on the following rules:

- **Mixed areas**: The sample was constructed via simple random route with focused enumeration.

- **Segregated areas**: Simple random route was applied with a sampling step of five.

- **Camps**: The sampling interval depended on the (estimated) number of caravans/tents/accommodations in the camp. Random route rules in camps were applied to the extent possible, but strict adherence might be impossible in campsites with, for example, an unorganised layout. The selection of the sampling approach is based on the size of the halting sites:
  - a site with more than 50 caravans: random route sampling with a sampling interval of five;
  - a site with 25-50 caravans: random route sampling with a sampling interval of two;
  - a site with fewer than 25 caravans: Systematic sampling.

In cases where it was not possible to obtain any prior information on the density of the Roma population in the primary sampling unit, the interviewer started the fieldwork based on a random route approach with a sampling step of five. After completing focused enumeration in two households the type of area was deduced based on the following rules:

- **Mixed area**: Areas where the contact with two households and information on surrounding households based on focused enumeration resulted in the identification of one to three eligible Roma households. In such cases, fieldwork continued with focused enumeration.

- **Segregated area**: Areas where the contact with two households and information on the surrounding households based on focused enumeration identified four or more eligible Roma households. In these areas fieldwork was continued with simple random route with a sampling interval of five.

- **Non-Roma area**: Areas where none of the households contacted or households screened through focused enumeration were eligible for the Roma sample. In such cases the fieldwork managers assigned a new starting point to continue the interviews or replaced the primary sampling unit.

The non-Roma sample was selected during the process of random route sampling of Roma by interviewing respondents from those randomly selected households which were not eligible to be included in the Roma sample. Or, if the Roma sample was drawn in a segregated area, the interviewers used random route sampling in the nearest non-Roma or mixed neighbourhood. During random route sampling or focused enumeration, whenever a non-Roma household was identified it was selected for the non-Roma sample until the total number of non-Roma interviews assigned to the area was reached.

### 2.5.4. Screening of households and respondents for eligibility and respondent selection procedure

When interviewers contacted a household, they first sought to establish whether at least one household member was a Roma. If so, the interviewer establishes a list of all eligible household members from which a person is randomly selected to be the respondent for that household.

For household screening, the interviewers used a screening sheet. During the first visit, the interviewer asked the person who opened the front door: “Could you tell me if any Roma live in this household? By ‘household’, I mean all the people who live within this accommodation and with whom you share cooking and meals.” This screen question helped to identify Roma households where at least one member was identified as Roma, as well as non-Roma households where no member was identified as Roma.

In each eligible household only one person was interviewed, chosen randomly from all household members aged 16 and older, using the last birthday method. In households where birthdays were not known or celebrated, the selection rotated, depending on the last identification number digit of the questionnaire, between eldest/youngest and male/female household members.

- **Eligible persons for the Roma sample** were persons aged 16 and older who were members of households of which at least one member belonged to the Roma community, who self-identified as Roma and who were at the moment of the survey – de facto, regardless of registration – residing in the EU Member State being surveyed.

- **Eligible persons for the non-Roma sample** were persons aged 16 and older who were members of households without any identified Roma member, who were at the moment of the survey – de facto, regardless of registration – residing in the EU Member State being surveyed and living in close proximity to Roma.
In the case of ‘mixed’ households, namely those households where at least one but not all members are Roma, a respondent was selected randomly from the list of eligible household members. While in some cases the randomly selected respondent did not consider her or himself as Roma, they were nevertheless asked to describe their household’s situation. They could also – voluntarily – answer the questions concerning their personal experiences. If the respondent opted against answering questions referring to Roma, these were coded as ‘not applicable’. All countries combined, only 1.5 % of the respondents from Roma households chose a category other than ‘Roma’ when asked about their ethnic background. This figure includes respondents who initially agreed to be interviewed as a Roma person, but who later in the interview gave a different answer when asked about their ethnic background.

All interviews were done face-to-face. To facilitate contact or re-contact, interviewers tried to obtain telephone numbers of the selected households to arrange a visit over the phone. Phone numbers were needed, for example, when the randomly selected respondent was out when the interviewers first visited, in case a later appointment needed rescheduling, or in order for the fieldwork managers to carry out random quality-control checks on the interview work.

2.6. Country-specific sampling issues

Cooperation with UNDP in Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania and Slovakia

At around the same time as FRA was carrying out its Roma pilot survey, the UNDP was conducting a survey on Roma in a number of central and eastern European EU and non-EU Member States. FRA and the UNDP cooperated closely to maximise the impact of the two surveys, seeking to explore the situation of Roma across Europe from different angles. Beyond the five EU Member States both surveys covered – namely Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania and Slovakia – the two differed in geographic coverage.

The two institutions held several bilateral meetings to closely coordinate sampling and fieldwork procedures, with the aim of ensuring that the two data sets could later be used for joint analysis. Cooperation allowed the fieldwork agencies to avoid overlap. When both surveys included the same primary sampling unit, one of the two replaced it so that both would maximise geographic coverage of EU Member States and Roma areas. FRA and UNDP contractors exchanged maps and starting points for random route sampling to avoid duplication. To ensure that no household or respondent was interviewed twice, interviewers for both surveys asked the following question: “Have you or another member of your household participated in a survey related to Roma since 15th May?” Respondents who confirmed that their household had already participated in such an interview were not interviewed again.

Gens du voyage and migrant Roma in France

In France, the sampling of gens du voyage was based on a list of halting sites. In order to conduct interviews on these sites, official authorisation was necessary. For 76 sites no permission could be obtained. The problems resulting from limited access to halting sites were partly resolved by decreasing the number of primary sampling units for gens du voyage to 70 from 100. A selected sampling unit that interviewers could not in the end access was replaced with one from the same region and halting site type until one was found where the interviews could be carried out.

For migrant Roma in the greater Paris area the selection of camps for the interviews was highly influenced by the instability of the situation. Some camps disappeared or were dismantled before the interviews could start, while new camps appeared elsewhere. In the end, the same number of people were interviewed as the initial sampling plan foresaw, but at different locations than originally planned.
3 Survey questionnaire

FRA developed the questionnaire with input from the contractor and other stakeholders. After translations, fieldwork instruments were piloted in the field and, following feedback from the interviewers and country managers, were finalised. For further reference, questionnaires can be found in the annex of this report.

3.1 Questionnaire development

The questionnaire needed to be as brief as possible to minimise the response burden imposed on the respondents. FRA expected the average length of the interviews with Roma respondents to be 40 minutes and for non-Roma respondents, 25. Given the limited duration of the interviews, FRA carefully considered the content of the questionnaire, focusing particularly on the usefulness and policy relevance of the information collected.

FRA prepared Roma and non-Roma versions of the questionnaire, which differed only by the number of questions asked and with some reformulations of wording. Each questionnaire had two components: a household grid collecting socio-demographic information on all household members, (separately for those aged 16 and older, and for children in the household); and a main questionnaire covering issues related to personal discrimination experiences, rights awareness and the socio-economic situation of the respondent and the respondent’s household. The main questionnaire consisted of two groups of questions: one describing the characteristics of the household shared by all household members and one capturing the status and perceptions of the main respondent only. This three-tiered questionnaire construction brings it closer to the format of an integrated household survey and makes it possible to draw conclusions on the major characteristics of the household members based on an extended sample (all household members and not just the main respondent).

The randomly selected respondent provided information on all members of the household concerning age, sex, marital status, citizenship and ethnicity, existence of official documents such as birth certificates, educational attainment and employment status. In addition, the respondent was asked about experiences children in the household had concerning special school or preschool institutions, as well as reasons for not being in school and possible employment of children (child labour).

In the main questionnaire the respondent was asked to provide information on the housing and economic situation of the household. This part of the questionnaire also collected more detailed information on education, employment, health and migration experiences and plans as well as discrimination experiences, rights awareness, integration and active citizenship.

For the interviews of migrant Roma in the greater Paris area, a section was added to the questionnaire addressing the specific experiences of recent migrants.

The FRA and UNDP surveys took place in five countries (Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania and Slovakia) at about the same time. This allowed both institutions to obtain more robust results based on a larger de facto sample size – that is, the combined sample size of the two surveys. FRA and UNDP therefore elaborated a set of core questions and included them in both questionnaires. This made it possible to pool the data from the two surveys in a combined data set, which served as the basis for most of the analysis in the joint FRA and UNDP/World Bank publication.

3.2 Pilot testing of the questionnaires

A small-scale pilot was carried out before the full-scale survey fieldwork. The pilot simulated the fieldwork procedures which were to be implemented in the main part of the survey. Sampling sites were selected in each country to reflect typical settings for the full-fledged survey, enabling fieldwork teams to pilot the sampling strategies prepared for use in different settings. The questionnaires were tested in each country with 20 Roma and 20 non-Roma respondents.

The national fieldwork agencies trained the interviewers selected for the pilot using the same draft training materials and curriculum planned for the full survey. Each national agency designated competent senior interviewers or supervisors to carry out the pilot interviews and to evaluate the usability of the questionnaire. They also provided qualitative feedback on the questionnaire, on a question-by-question basis as well as overall, through debriefing forms and in-person debriefing sessions with national project managers.

---

FRA finalised the survey questionnaires in cooperation with Gallup Europe based on the experiences of the pilot interviews.

3.3. Translation of the survey materials

Translations deserve particular attention in cross-national survey projects which have the aim of collecting comparable data. To ensure that all respondents – irrespective of the country – are asked functionally the same questions in their language, particular care needs to be taken when translating and proofing the fieldwork documents, including the survey questionnaire.

In the FRA Roma pilot survey the translation process started by converting an earlier version of the survey questionnaire into a WebTrans database format, a questionnaire database system developed by Gallup to support translations and dynamic archival. Forward and back translations of these draft questionnaires took place in the following languages: Bulgarian, Czech, French, Greek, Hungarian, Italian, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, Slovak and Spanish.

FRA experts reviewed the translated questions before they were tested in the field. After the piloting, the questionnaire was amended where necessary. These modifications underwent another forward and back translation before the questionnaire was finalised.
To ensure high-quality, comparable results, national agencies paid particular attention to interviewer selection and training. The central training seminar, which brought together representatives of national fieldwork agencies from the 11 EU Member States, focused on harmonising fieldwork approaches across countries. FRA staff attended some of the interviewer trainings to verify that the training was carried out according to the agreed curriculum. By attending some of the first interviewer training sessions, FRA was also able to collect comments from the interviews and feed this back to the survey contractor and other fieldwork agencies.

4.1. Central training

A central training seminar was held for project managers from all national fieldwork agencies involved in the survey. The training was attended by senior FRA staff and a UNDP representative. The seminar covered the following topics:

- Background on the Roma Pilot Survey, the FRA perspective
- The Roma Experience: insight into the everyday life of Roma in Europe
- Discussion on the questionnaire and the survey glossary
- Sampling – approaches and rules, sample administration (routing slip, screener)
- Good practices in interviewing, considerations regarding the safety of respondents and interviewers, practicalities

The PowerPoint presentation used for the central training was provided to the national partners, who used a translated version for the national interviewer training sessions.

4.2. Training materials

The contractor prepared training materials for the national agencies that they adapted, where necessary, to the national context. The material included a:

- management manual;
- interviewer manual;
- PowerPoint presentation.

To ensure a thorough understanding of the survey’s goals, instruments and methods, Gallup Europe developed a 50-page management manual for the national fieldwork managers. It contains a glossary with annotated survey questions to clarify the intended meaning of the questions and it provides tools for situations where interviewers might need to react to the concerns raised by the respondents. The national survey fieldwork coordinators also used the management manual to prepare the local interviewer training sessions.

Each national partner translated the 12-page interviewer manual. It contains important information on several fieldwork-related issues, including:

- sampling approach: starting points, sampling strategies, schedule of visits;
- instructions on filling out the FRA Route Administration Slip;
- stopping and replacement rules for primary sampling units;
- contacting rules;
- notification letter/letter from FRA.

The notification letter was designed to help interviewers to introduce the survey to the respondents and to explain the aims of the survey. The national agency also provided a commission letter to each of their interviewers as a proof of their attachment to the agency and the project.

4.3. Interviewer selection

National fieldwork agencies were asked to assign their most experienced interviewers for this survey given the challenges in surveying Roma. Gallup Europe gave the following recommendations for interviewer selection:

- experience with simple random route sampling;
- experience in communicating with vulnerable minority groups;
- being comfortable with/not prejudiced against Roma;
- overall interviewing experience;
- communication skills;
responsibility and professional ethics;

previous experience through EU-MIDIS if possible.

The selected interviewers attended in-person training where the survey was introduced to them based on the common training materials.

Due to the complex situation of Roma in Italy, as identified by the background research, local Roma associations designated appropriate persons to conduct the interviews for the Roma segment of the survey. All of these persons had a higher education diploma. Most had a Roma background and were often experienced as cultural mediators and in administering quantitative questionnaires. The national fieldwork agency trained these interviewers using an extended curriculum that included essential general interviewing instructions.

The non-Roma interviews were conducted by the interviewers of the national partner agency.

In France, the camps selected for interviews were composed predominantly of Romanian Roma. An experienced team of interviewers from Romania was brought in to carry out the interviews with Roma migrants in the greater Paris area.

4.4. National training activities

National fieldwork agencies trained the interviewers following the curriculum agreed upon in the central training session. Representatives of FRA attended some of the national training courses as observers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Training period (all 2011)</th>
<th>Number of trainings held</th>
<th>Number of interviewers trained</th>
<th>Mode of training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>14 May–9 Jun</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>face-to-face and phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>26 May–1 Jun</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>face-to-face, online video conference, phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL*</td>
<td>16 May–26 May</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>face-to-face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>23 May–17 Jun</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>face-to-face and phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage*</td>
<td>10 Jun</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>face-to-face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>10 Jun</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>face-to-face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>19 May–23 May</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>face-to-face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT*</td>
<td>24 May–9 Jun</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>face-to-face and phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL*</td>
<td>24 May–3 Jun</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>face-to-face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>18 May–30 May</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>face-to-face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>19 May–25 May</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>face-to-face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>22 May–25 May</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>face-to-face</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Indicates countries where FRA attended one or more training sessions.
Source: FRA, 2013
5 Fieldwork

The survey interviews took place between May and the end of July 2011, although the precise period varied by country. FRA representatives participated in some interviews as observers.

During the fieldwork, the survey contractor provided continuous hotline assistance to the national fieldwork managers to solve any issues emerging at the national level. The contractor proactively monitored fieldwork to maintain contact with the national partners at each stage of the preparation and implementation period and to collect information on progress. Throughout the fieldwork period FRA received weekly updates on the progress as well as on the problems faced in the field. Where necessary, FRA and the survey contractor agreed on action to be taken to solve any remaining issues.

5.1. Fieldwork schedule

The starting date of the interviews varied from country to country, depending on the schedule of the interviewer training sessions and availability of the interviewers. All interviewers were required to attend the training before starting interviews.

Table 6: Timing of fieldwork activities, by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Fieldwork period (all 2011)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>24 May–27 Jun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>26 May–3 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>25 May–7 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>24 May–11 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>6 Jun–28 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>20 May–26 Jun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>25 May–16 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>24 May–9 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>20 May–11 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>23 May–27 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>22 May–20 Jul</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013

5.2. Number of interviewers

Countries adopted different strategies for the interviews. Some countries deployed smaller teams while others used more interviewers to complete the interviews more quickly. For security reasons interviewers were working in pairs in some countries and areas. The average number of completed interviews ranged from 13 to 41 per interviewer for the Roma sample, and from 6 to 19 per interviewer for the non-Roma sample.

Table 7: Number of interviewers and the average number of completed interviews per interviewer, by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Number of interviewers</th>
<th>Average number of completed interviews per interviewer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roma Sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Roma Sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
6 Fieldwork outcome

During the fieldwork, interviewers used standard contact sheets in order to register the outcome of their visit to each sampled household. This section will present the main fieldwork outcomes of the survey, for example the response rates, and other quality measures, such as the duration of the interviews and percentage of interviews quality-checked by fieldwork managers.

6.1. Use of the different sampling strategies

The availability of data on the geographic distribution of Roma in the country and the density of the Roma population in the sampled areas determined, as explained earlier in the report, the sampling strategy used. Three different strategies were used – simple random route, random route with focused enumeration and systematic sampling.

Most Roma households were selected through simple random route sampling. Focused enumeration accounts for some 20 % of Roma households selected in Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia and Spain. Focused enumeration was predominantly used in mixed areas where the concentration of Roma households was low. In France, Italy and Poland, respondents were selected mainly through systematic sampling because of the small size of the Roma communities or the particular type of primary sampling unit used, e.g. the halting sites for caravans in France. Non-Roma households were almost exclusively sampled through simple random route in or near the areas where Roma respondents were living.

Table 8: Respondents identified, by sampling approach and by EU Member State (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Sampled group</th>
<th>Random route</th>
<th>Focused enumeration</th>
<th>Systematic sampling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
6.2. Interview length

FRA developed separate questionnaires for Roma and non-Roma interviews. The content of the two questionnaires was largely the same, but the non-Roma questionnaire was slightly shorter. Roma interviews took 37 minutes on average, non-Roma interviews, 31 minutes.

The average length of the interviews varied only slightly across countries. In Italy, interviews were the longest, at 49 minutes on average. Interviews in France for the gens du voyage were the shortest at 25 minutes on average. Within each country the length of the interviews varied greatly. The most important factor influencing the length of the interview was the household size. The completion of the household grid – the recording of basic socio-demographic information for each household member – took more time the bigger the household.

Table 9: Interview length, by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Minutes</th>
<th>% distribution across length categories (in minutes)</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>&lt;20</th>
<th>20-29</th>
<th>30-39</th>
<th>40-49</th>
<th>&gt;49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roma sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Roma sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
6.3. Fieldwork efforts

At times, the household identified for an interview had to be visited more than once. Table 10 presents the number of visits needed to complete an interview. In some cases, interviewers needed to visit the selected households several times, either because no one was at home at the time, or the randomly selected respondent was not present. The interviewer made a maximum of three visits to establish contact with a household and to carry out an interview. In most cases it was possible to complete an interview on the first visit and in most countries the third visit contributed relatively few additional completed interviews to what had already been accomplished on the first or second visit. In the Czech Republic and Romania, three visits were necessary for about 5% to 10% of the interviews.

Table 10: Number of visits needed to complete an interview, by EU Member State and sampled group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Sampled group</th>
<th>1st visit</th>
<th>2nd visit</th>
<th>3rd visit</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
6.4. Outcome rates for responses, refusals, contacts and cooperation

The survey reached the target net sample size for Roma and non-Roma interviews in all 11 survey countries.

Table 11 provides an overview of the final fieldwork outcome by the number of households contacted to take part in the survey and sampled. This overview considers partial interviews as break-offs. Refusals and other cases where it was not possible to carry out the interview with the respondent may have occurred either at the household level (for example, a household member refuses an interview before it was possible to select a respondent) or at the respondent level (for example, the randomly selected respondent refuses to participate in the survey).

The response rate is calculated from the number of completed interviews and the number of households included in the sample whether they could be contacted or not. Cases of unknown eligibility, which are largely drawn from non-contacts with the household or refusals before eligibility could be established, were assigned proportionally to size to either the Roma or the non-Roma sample. Thus undetermined cases of eligibility are included in the calculation.

Despite a largely uniform fieldwork methodology, response rates varied across countries, with the average being 77 % for Roma and 64 % for non-Roma. In most cases, response rates were higher for Roma than for non-Roma. Comparing the 11 EU Member States where the survey was carried out, the lowest response rates for Roma and non-Roma were in France (gens du voyage) and Spain. The highest response rates for Roma were in Slovakia and Portugal, as well as for migrant Roma in France.

Table 11: Survey outcome for each household selected for the sample, by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Sampled group</th>
<th>Interviews completed</th>
<th>Refusals and break-offs</th>
<th>Non-contact</th>
<th>Non-interview</th>
<th>Not eligible</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,102</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,115</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>2,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>1,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>1,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,102</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013

24 The calculation of the rates has been performed according to disposition codes following the international standards (AAPOR nonresponse coding and outcome calculation) that allow for comparison with other surveys.

25 For example: permanently ill, in an institution, not able to participate because of a disability which would not make the interview possible, language barrier, not available.
A refusal rate is the proportion of the number of refused or not completed interviews, either at the household or respondent level, out of all potentially eligible cases. This rate was the highest in Spain, for Roma and non-Roma, and lowest among migrant Roma in France.

Contact rates measure the proportion of all cases in which an interviewer reached some responsible member of the housing unit. Once again, cases of unknown eligibility have been included in the calculation by distributing them proportionally according to the size of the Roma and non-Roma population. Contact rates were high in all countries and for all groups, except for France. In France, it was necessary to obtain permission from local authorities for interviewers to gain access to the halting sites to interview gens du voyage. Since this permission was not always granted or not provided in time for the fieldwork, this additional administrative hurdle made it more difficult to contact the households.

The proportion of completed interviews out of all eligible households contacted is called a cooperation rate. There are both household- and respondent-level cooperation rates. Household-level rates are presented in Table 12. Persons unable to take part in an interview are considered unable to cooperate and are therefore excluded from the calculation.

Table 12: Outcome rates for responses, refusals, contacts and cooperation, by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Sampled group</th>
<th>Response rate</th>
<th>Refusal rate</th>
<th>Contact rate</th>
<th>Cooperation rate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>0.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Household level cooperation rates.
Source: FRA, 2013
6.5. Quality control

Gallup developed a short questionnaire to support and standardize national efforts of interview/interviewer validation. Fieldwork managers carried out verification interviews by telephone using a WebCATI system, so that the results were directly collected in a central database. Face-to-face verification was chosen for cases where no telephone number had been provided.

For these call backs, 10 % of respondents, both Roma and non-Roma, were randomly selected. In addition, whenever inconsistencies in the answers were detected, the respondent was contacted for clarifications. In these cases the respondent was also included in the call-back exercise, thereby increasing the overall number of cases checked in a given country.

Table 13: Call back and validation efforts, by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>No. of call back of respondents</th>
<th>No. of checked interviews in all</th>
<th>% of checked interviews</th>
<th>% of checked routes*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>10 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>37 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR**</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>26 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>10 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>10 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>19 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>19 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>10 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: * Based only on random route sampling for non-Roma interviews, as for Roma systematic sampling was used in most cases.

** Gens du voyage only.

Source: FRA, 2013
7 Weighting and coding

7.1. Weighting procedure

In the absence of reliable data on the statistical population (Roma and non-Roma living in the same areas or nearby), only within-household selection probability weighting was applied to the survey data set. Such design weightings corrected for differential selection probabilities of the individual within the household as a function of the number of persons in the household belonging to the survey target group.

These weights were capped at three to prevent a small number of responses with very high weightings from exerting a large impact on the results. FRA used these weightings in the survey analysis on respondent-level items; this type of weighting is not needed when analysing household-level results.

7.2. Coding

The survey used mainly closed questions. Coding of the few verbatim answers was not performed at the outset. Only questions that inquired about past and future migration destination countries (questions G1, G7, G14 – see the questionnaire in the annex) were translated into English and the countries, as indicated by the respondents, were assigned numeric codes which could be used in later analysis. Verbatim answers to questions about nationality, other ethnic background than Roma, and generally all response categories where respondents could provide further information which had not been captured by the available categories (‘other, specify’) were assigned numeric codes (question A6, A7, A8, B6, B7, B16, M5 GF15).

The income questions (E3_1, E3_2) and monthly expenditure questions (E2A, E2B, E2C, E2D, E2E, E2F) used the national currency, and the answers were later converted into euros. The exchange rates used for the conversion were those valid on 1 June 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>ECB exchange rate as of 1 June 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Lev</td>
<td>0.5113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Koruna</td>
<td>0.04081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Forint</td>
<td>0.003756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Zloty</td>
<td>0.2527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>New Leu</td>
<td>0.2421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
8 Description of the sample

The sample composition is key to the proper interpretation of the survey results. The following tables provide an overview of the main characteristics of the Roma and the non-Roma samples in the FRA Roma pilot survey. The tables present the situation of the Roma and non-Roma respondents or of the household as a whole, the distribution by age is shown for respondents and all household members.

Table 15 presents the number of respondents and the number of household members for which information was obtained from the household grid (as reported by the respondent). Since only one respondent was interviewed in each household, the number of respondents also indicates the total number of households surveyed.

Table 15: Number of respondents (households) and household members included in the sample, by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Roma</th>
<th>Non-Roma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondents/households</td>
<td>Roma household members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>4,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>4,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>1,102</td>
<td>5,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>1,115</td>
<td>4,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>1,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>4,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>2,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>2,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>1,102</td>
<td>4,502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>4,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>5,359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11,140</td>
<td>47,623</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
Several questions in the survey concerned the household as a whole. One of the main household-level characteristics is its size – that is, the number of persons living in the household.

The results show that in all EU Member States surveyed, Roma households are on average bigger than non-Roma households (Table 16).

After each interview, the interviewers were asked to complete a short questionnaire concerning their impressions of the interview. Interviewers were also asked to assess the type of neighbourhood. Interviewers noted few or no differences in most EU Member States as to the type of neighbourhood where Roma and non-Roma were interviewed – an indication that both lived in the same or comparable areas in close vicinity to one another. This corresponded with the survey’s objective: to survey non-Roma who live in the same or similar areas as the Roma. In France, Greece and Italy, Roma who were interviewed in camps did not always live near non-Roma. In France, for example, gens du voyage were interviewed at halting sites that were not always close to non-Roma households, which was also true of some of the camps of the migrant Roma in France.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Sampled group</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4 to 5</th>
<th>6 to 7</th>
<th>8+</th>
<th>Average household size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>4.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
Table 17: Type of neighbourhood of Roma and non-Roma households surveyed, by EU Member State (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Sampled group</th>
<th>Type of settlement the household lives in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BR</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 5.0  City/Big town 16.0  Others 80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 5.0  City/Big town 16.0  Others 80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 13.0  City/Big town 26.1  Others 61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 13.0  City/Big town 31.0  Others 56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 7.3  City/Big town 25.2  Others 71.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 8.0  City/Big town 30.0  Others 62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 27.4  City/Big town 14.8  Others 61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 29.5  City/Big town 14.3  Others 61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>Capital 84.5  City/Big town 15.5  Others 100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>Capital 16.8  City/Big town 42.0  Others 61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 1.8  City/Big town 66.0  Others 22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 6.0  City/Big town 9.1  Others 84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 6.0  City/Big town 10.0  Others 83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 5.4  City/Big town 8.6  Others 81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 24.8  City/Big town 30.8  Others 44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 3.1  City/Big town 31.8  Others 64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 4.8  City/Big town 44.4  Others 50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 7.1  City/Big town 41.8  Others 51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 7.0  City/Big town 47.7  Others 45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 5.0  City/Big town 8.5  Others 86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 4.8  City/Big town 9.6  Others 84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>Capital 4.0  City/Big town 15.0  Others 81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>Capital 4.0  City/Big town 16.4  Others 79.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013

Another important factor influencing the interpretation of the results is the age structure of the sample population. The following information on age distribution distinguishes between the population living in the selected households (‘household members’) and the respondents who have been chosen from all eligible household members (‘respondents’).
The age distribution of all household members shows marked differences between Roma and non-Roma living in the same areas as Roma or nearby. In all 11 EU Member States, the Roma households surveyed are generally younger; they have more children and fewer older persons. This finding is also true when comparing the age structure of the Roma and the non-Roma respondents aged 16 years and older. Roma respondents are typically younger than the non-Roma respondents. There are also fewer older persons in the Roma group.

Source: FRA, 2013
For a few survey respondents (about 0.4% of the Roma household members and 0.6% of the non-Roma household members) there is no information on age. This could be because the respondents refused to answer the question or because they did not know the age of all household members.

In general, women are slightly overrepresented in the sample of respondents compared to the gender distribution of the members of the households surveyed. In Bulgaria, Poland, Portugal and Spain, women are slightly overrepresented in the sample of respondents compared to the gender composition of the household population for Roma and for non-Roma. In France, Italy and Romania, women are slightly overrepresented compared to the gender composition of the household population for Roma.

Table 18: Gender of Roma and non-Roma respondents surveyed, by EU Member State (%)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Sampled group</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BG</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CZ</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EL</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ES</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FR</strong></td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HU</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IT</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PL</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PT</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SK</strong></td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Roma</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Weighted results.
Source: FRA, 2013
A person’s country of birth, compared with their current country of residence, is generally used as a migration background indicator. In Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland, Romania and Slovakia, almost all Roma and non-Roma surveyed were born in their country of residence. In the Czech Republic, a greater number of Roma and non-Roma were not born in their country of residence, possibly due to the division of Czechoslovakia in 1993. The proportion of Roma respondents who did not hold citizenship in their country of residence was particularly high in Italy, at about 40 %, due in part to the inclusion of migrant camps in the overall sampling frame. In France, Greece, Portugal and Spain almost all Roma surveyed were also born in the respective EU Member State, while the percentage of non-Roma born elsewhere was higher.

Table 19: Country of birth of Roma and non-Roma respondents included in the survey, by EU Member State (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Place of birth is country of residence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>87.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>97.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>98.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage 95.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>99.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>60.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>98.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>99.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>98.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
9 Annexes

9.1. The survey in a nutshell

Which EU Member States were included?

The FRA Roma pilot survey covers the following 11 EU Member States:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Country code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>BG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>CZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>FR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>EL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>HU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>RO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>SK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Who was interviewed and how?

In each EU Member State covered in the survey, about 1,000 Roma and 500 non-Roma persons were sampled randomly, in areas that were known to have a proportion of Roma residents above the national average. Across all EU Member States, the survey interviewed 11,140 Roma and 5,508 non-Roma living in close proximity to Roma. Respondents were asked about their views and experiences and to provide information about all other household members. Therefore, in total, the survey collected data on the situation of about 61,000 Roma and non-Roma persons – including the respondents and other people living in the same household.

Face-to-face interviews, which typically took place in the respondents’ homes, collected information on the household and its members. In each household one person aged 16 or older was randomly selected to participate in the survey. Non-Roma respondents were sampled from the same residential area as Roma or, if this was not possible, from neighbourhoods close to these Roma settlements.

Who are the Roma?

In the context of the FRA pilot survey, ‘Roma’ is used as an umbrella term. The use of this term in official EU documents generally follows the approach of the Council of Europe, which uses the term to refer to “Roma, Sinti, Kale and related groups in Europe, including Travellers and Eastern groups (Dom and Lom), and covers the wide diversity of the groups concerned, including persons who identify themselves as ‘Gypsies’”26. The Council of Europe also notes that the term gens du voyage is used in France as an administrative term to refer to Roma, Sinti/Manush and Gypsies/Gitans, and other non-Roma groups with a nomadic way of life. Gens du voyage is also used in this report when referring to one of the two Roma groups surveyed in France.

What did the survey ask?

The FRA Roma pilot survey covered a number of topics related to the situation and living conditions of Roma, including questions on:

- Respondents’ situation in employment, education, health and housing.
- The neighbourhood where Roma live, and the available infrastructure.
- Integration, discrimination, rights awareness and citizenship issues.
- Mobility and migration.
- Basic socio-demographic characteristics of all household members.

How representative are the results?

The results are representative for those Roma living in areas where they reside in greater density than the national average, often physically segregated and thus facing a higher risk of marginalisation. These populations are the priority target of the National Roma Integration Strategies. This makes the survey directly policy relevant; it generates evidence on the status of the primary beneficiaries of those strategies.

Non-Roma living in the same areas as Roma, or in close proximity to them, were interviewed to benchmark the Roma results, as they share similar living conditions. The results on non-Roma are, representative for non-Roma persons living in or near areas where Roma live in greater density than the national average. They are not representative for the general population of the respective countries as reflected in the averages of national statistics.

---

How were the survey interviews carried out?

The fieldwork and interviews were coordinated by Gallup Europe, working as a contractor for FRA. In each of the survey countries, national partner agencies of Gallup Europe selected and trained interviewers ahead of the May–July 2011 interviews. The interviews were carried out face-to-face in the national languages of the 11 EU Member States, in most cases in respondents’ homes. The duration of an interview averaged 37 minutes for Roma and 31 minutes for non-Roma.

9.2. The sample of migrant Roma in the greater Paris area

Preparation of the interviews of the Roma migrant sample in France started at the end of May with a pilot study in the camps to be included in the survey. During the pilot phase the survey team identified contact persons in NGOs or experts working in Roma camps in and around Paris who were helpful in the identification of and access to the camps. A list of some 10 camps with mainly Roma from Romania was established and information on the camp sizes gathered. Fieldwork personnel visited some camps together with fieldwork facilitators from Romania to establish contacts with camp leaders and residents, asking them for permission to carry out interviews at a later stage. In one camp 10 questionnaires were completed as a pilot exercise.

As only Roma from Romania inhabited all the camps identified, a Romanian interviewing team conducted the interviews in Romanian. This fieldwork was carried out between 14 June and 22 June 2011. The team completed 331 questionnaires at 17 different sites/camps located in Paris and its northern suburbs.

From the initial list of 10 camps identified during the pilot fieldwork, only six still existed or were accessible at the time of the fieldwork. For this reason, the initial list of camps of Roma migrants from Romania was supplemented during the fieldwork. To do so, the contractor drew on information from camp leaders, residents and contacts in Paris, including the Red Cross, Doctors of the World, and the European Roma Rights Centre. The fieldwork team also discovered additional camps visible from the road.

In the end, the team identified 17 sites or camps in which they were able to conduct interviews. The fieldwork started in sites/camps in which the team had already been able to contact the camp leaders/residents during the project’s pilot phase. Depending on the size of the camp site, the interviewers were split into smaller teams. In exchange for their time, the respondents were offered basic food stuffs and other household products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Camp site</th>
<th>Total number of interviews</th>
<th>Estimated number of dwellings and/or persons per camp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Camp 1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>200 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>250 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20 barracks/80–100 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15–20 barracks/80 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>150–200 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40 barracks, caravans and tents/ 150–200 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30 caravan/barracks and about 7 tents with 150 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7 caravans with 30 persons and roughly 15 barracks with 70 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>about 20 households with 75–100 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>about 30 caravans/120–150 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30 barracks/households about 100–150 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 13</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>at least 450–500 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>about 20 households with 75–100 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>About 100 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>About 100–150 persons in tents, barracks or caravans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10–12 tents and barracks with about 40 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>Estimated population in the camps: more than 2,200 persons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ‘Official’ counts of camp sizes were rarely available. The numbers presented in Table 20 are estimates based on information provided by various local sources. In addition to information and estimates by local sources, the fieldwork team tried to establish an initial estimate on the size of each camp by counting the number of barracks or tents. Later on, the numbers collected were double-checked with the interviewers in each camp based on their first-hand impressions.

Source: FRA, 2013
9.3. National fieldwork agencies

The FRA Roma pilot survey was implemented by national agencies and their national research experts in each country - the work was coordinated by Gallup Europe. Table 21 presents a list of the local fieldwork agencies.

Table 21: National fieldwork agencies by EU Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>National Partner Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Vitosha Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>Focus CZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Metron Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Simple Logica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Gens du voyage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efficiences3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gallup Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Gallup Hungary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Demoskopea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Gallup Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Consulmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Gallup Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Focus SK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FRA, 2013
### 9.4. Survey questionnaire for Roma interviews

#### A. ADULT HOUSEHOLD GRID – All persons 16 years and older

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A1 No</th>
<th>A2 Relationship to the respondent</th>
<th>A3 Gender</th>
<th>A4 How old is he/she? (INTERVIEWER: Complete age at the last birthday)</th>
<th>A5 Marital status</th>
<th>A6 In which country was he/she born?</th>
<th>A7 What is his/her citizenship?</th>
<th>A8 What is his/her ethnic background?</th>
<th>A9 Does she/he possess at least one of the following personal documents: Birth certificate, ID card, Passport?</th>
<th>A10 Any other official paper that can be used for administrative reasons?</th>
<th>A11 How would you describe his/her current job situation?</th>
<th>A12 What is the highest level of education she/he has attained?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>01 Wife/Husband/Cohabiting partner</td>
<td>(in years)</td>
<td>01 Married (legal)</td>
<td>01 Roma [NATIONAL] majority</td>
<td>01 Yes, at least one</td>
<td>SEE CODES BELOW</td>
<td>CODE ONLY ONE ANSWER</td>
<td>01 No formal education</td>
<td>01 No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>02 Son/Daughter</td>
<td></td>
<td>02 Married (traditional)</td>
<td>02 Other country</td>
<td>02 No, none</td>
<td>02 Refusal</td>
<td>02 Refusal</td>
<td>02 Incomplete primary</td>
<td>02 No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>6 Refusal</td>
<td>03 Divorced</td>
<td></td>
<td>03 Divorced</td>
<td>03 Other country</td>
<td>03 Does not understand question</td>
<td>03 Does not understand question</td>
<td>03 Does not understand question</td>
<td>03 Completed primary</td>
<td>03 No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 NA</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>04 Separated</td>
<td></td>
<td>04 Separated</td>
<td>04 Other country</td>
<td>04 Does not understand question</td>
<td>04 Does not understand question</td>
<td>04 Does not understand question</td>
<td>04 Incomplete secondary school</td>
<td>04 Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question</td>
<td>05 Widowed/Partner d.ed</td>
<td></td>
<td>05 Widowed/Partner d.ed</td>
<td>05 Other country</td>
<td>05 Does not understand question</td>
<td>05 Does not understand question</td>
<td>05 Does not understand question</td>
<td>05 Completed vocational school</td>
<td>05 Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>98 NA</td>
<td>06 Co-habiting/Partner</td>
<td></td>
<td>06 Co-habiting/Partner</td>
<td>06 Other country</td>
<td>06 Does not understand question</td>
<td>06 Does not understand question</td>
<td>06 Does not understand question</td>
<td>06 College/university/other</td>
<td>06 No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>10 Grandparents</td>
<td></td>
<td>07 Single</td>
<td>07 Other country</td>
<td>07 Does not understand question</td>
<td>07 Does not understand question</td>
<td>07 Does not understand question</td>
<td>07 Other</td>
<td>07 Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>11 Other relative</td>
<td></td>
<td>08 NA</td>
<td>08 NA</td>
<td>08 NA</td>
<td>08 NA</td>
<td>08 NA</td>
<td>08 NA</td>
<td>08 NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 Other non-relative</td>
<td></td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENTER RESPONDENT IN FIRST ROW**

**INTERVIEWER VERIFY:**

**A10. JOB SITUATION CODES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A6 Paid work – full time</th>
<th>A7 Paid work – part time</th>
<th>A8 Paid work – ad hoc jobs</th>
<th>A9 Self-employed</th>
<th>A10 Full time home maker</th>
<th>A11 Unpaid work in family business (enterprise/farm/other)</th>
<th>A12 Unable to work due to long-term illness/disability</th>
<th>A13 In compulsory military/community service</th>
<th>A14 Not working for other reasons</th>
<th>A15 Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### B. CHILD HOUSEHOLD GRID — under 16 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B1. Id. No.</th>
<th>B2. Start with the relation-ship to the respondent</th>
<th>B3. Gender</th>
<th>B4. How old is he/she?</th>
<th>B5. Marital status</th>
<th>B6. In which country was she/he born?</th>
<th>B7. What is his/her citizenship?</th>
<th>B8. Does she/he possess at least one of the following personal documents: - Birth certificate - ID card - Passport - Any other official paper that can be used for administrative reasons</th>
<th>B9. Which education level was he/she attending this (IN SUMMER ASK: the previous) school year?</th>
<th>B10. What was the highest education level he/she has attained so far?</th>
<th>B11. What was the main reason for him/her to stop or interrupt at this educational level?</th>
<th>B12. What is/ was the background of his/her classmates in school or kindergarten?</th>
<th>B13. Has he/she ever been to a special school or class that was mainly for the Roma, even if only for a short period?</th>
<th>B14. Has he/she ever attended a high school or tertiary education?</th>
<th>B15. Does she/he work outside the home?</th>
<th>B16. Can you tell me what she/he is doing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRITE IN</td>
<td>First Name only</td>
<td>(in years) if LESS THAN 1 YEAR, code “DO”</td>
<td>01 Wife/Husband/Co-habiting partner</td>
<td>01 Married (legal)</td>
<td>01 Married (traditional)</td>
<td>01 Married</td>
<td>01 Country</td>
<td>01 Country</td>
<td>01 Not yet in education</td>
<td>01 No formal education</td>
<td>01 Judged to be sufficiently educated</td>
<td>01 Yes</td>
<td>01 Yes</td>
<td>01 Other</td>
<td>SEE CODES BELOW WRITE IN ALL THAT APPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 Male</td>
<td>2 Female</td>
<td>3 Son/Daughter in-law</td>
<td>3 Son/Daughter</td>
<td>3 Son</td>
<td>3 Son</td>
<td>3 Country</td>
<td>3 Country</td>
<td>3 Did poorly in school, gave up, failed at entrance exam to the next level</td>
<td>3 Did poorly in school, gave up, failed at entrance exam to the next level</td>
<td>3 Working in a shop, farm, construction, market work</td>
<td>3 Working in a shop, farm, construction, market work</td>
<td>3 Yes</td>
<td>3 Yes</td>
<td>3 Yes, with the family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B16. Can you tell me what she/he is doing?

1. working in a shop, farm, construction, market work
2. collecting things for re-selling/recycling
3. selling in the street (i.e. flowers, cigarettes, CDs), guarding cars, doing small errands
4. asking for money in the street
5. other, specify WRITE IN B16 column
6. Refusal
7. Not understand question
8. NA
9. DK
10. DK
MAIN QUESTIONNAIRE

Please imagine a ladder with steps numbered from zero at the bottom to ten at the top. Suppose we say that the top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you, and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. [Read A-B]

W1.A. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time, assuming that the higher the step the better you feel about your life, and the lower the step the worse you feel about it? Which step comes closest to the way you feel?

10 Best possible life
09
08
07
06
05
04
03
02
01
00 Worst possible life

98 (DK)
99 (Refusal)

W2.B. Just your best guess, on which step do you think you will stand in the future, say about five years from now?

10 Best possible life
09
08
07
06
05
04
03
02
01
00 Worst possible life

98 (DK)
99 (Refusal)

Thank you for your cooperation. I would now like to ask some questions about the area where you are currently living and about your accommodation.
C. NEIGHBOURHOOD

ASK ALL

C1. How long have you been living here in this neighbourhood? [IN CASE OF TRAVELLERS, ASK: How long have you been living here in this site or place?]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Go To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Less than 3 months</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>3 months to less than 6 months</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>6 months to less than 12 months</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>1 to 5 years</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>More than 5 years but not always</td>
<td>C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Born here</td>
<td>C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Refusal</td>
<td>C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Does not understand question</td>
<td>C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>C4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF C1=05, 06, 96, 97, 98, 99 SKIP TO C4

ASK IF C1= 01 OR 02 OR 03 OR 04

C2. Could you please tell me the main reasons why you moved to your current neighbourhood?

DO NOT READ OUT, CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Forced to move by authorities/evicted/home was demolished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Have been relocated/home was provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>No official papers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Less discrimination/racism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Could no longer afford the rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Because lost work/job/employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>To find housing conditions that better suit the family’s needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Better chances of finding employment, pay/better working conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Better education for children/improve own education or qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>We are only staying here temporarily/for a limited time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>This is a usual place where we stop/en route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Better neighbourhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Can’t remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Other reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Refusal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Does not understand question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF C2= 01 OR 02 OR 03 OR 04
C3. When you moved here, did you receive any help in finding accommodation from the State, Local Government, etc.?

1 Yes
2 No, although you wanted or needed some help
3 No, but you did not want any help

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ALL

C4. Could you please tell me if in the past 5 years (or since you have lived in this neighbourhood) the following things have improved, stayed the same or got worse in your neighbourhood?

[FOR TRAVELLERS, ASK: Could you please tell me if in the past 5 years (or since you have known this neighbourhood/site) the following things have improved, stayed the same or got worse in your neighbourhood/site?]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>READ OUT</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Stayed the same</th>
<th>Got worse</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Neighbourhood in general</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Roads and pavements</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Public buildings e.g. schools, hospitals, etc....</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Private or public housing estates/houses/apartments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Sewage systems/drains</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Electricity/gas supplies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Public transport</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Drinking water system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

ASK ALL

D1. Do you live in this accommodation all year long?

01 Yes, the whole year
02 No, only part of the year

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

D2. How many rooms are there in your accommodation used by your household only? Do not count the kitchen, the corridor, the bathroom and rooms rented out or used by persons who do not belong to your household.

Number of rooms: |__||__|

95 Caravan, tent, mobile home without separating walls
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK ALL

D3. Does your accommodation have any of the following...?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Piped water inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Toilet inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Latrine/Toilet outside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Kitchen inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Shower or bathroom inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Connection to the sewerage system or waste water tank</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Electricity supply</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Any kind of heating facility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASK ALL

D4. Who owns the accommodation you are living in now?

ONLY ONE ANSWER IS POSSIBLE

01 A member of your household or family
02 Private ownership (by an unrelated person or company)
03 Municipal/ State ownership
04 Housing project of an NGO/Church, etc....
05 Unknown ownership
06 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
ASK ALL

**D5.** Thinking about the past 5 years, (or since you have lived in this accommodation) have you ever had problems with, for instance, a leaky roof, damp walls, the plumbing system, the electric wiring, vermin, etc. ...?

1 Yes  GO TO D6
2 No   GO TO D7
6 Refusal GO TO D7
7 Does not understand question GO TO D7
8 NA   GO TO D7
9 DK   GO TO D7

ASK IF YES TO D5

**D6.** Were you able to get these things repaired or to repair them yourself?

1 Yes, all of them  GO TO D8
2 Yes, most of them  GO TO D8
3 Yes, some of them  GO TO D8
4 No, none  GO TO D7
6 Refusal GO TO D7
7 Does not understand question GO TO D7
8 NA   GO TO D7
9 DK   GO TO D7

**D7.** And thinking about the past 5 years, (or since you have lived in this accommodation) have you carried out any bigger renovations or improvements to this accommodation?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF D6=1, 2 OR 3 OR D7 = 1

**D8.** Did you receive any financial or other help to carry out these repairs or renovations from...?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Did not receive any help</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) The local government/authority</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) A civil society organisation/NGO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) A Roma organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) A religious organisation/church</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) A particular bank loan with favourable conditions for such occasions – might be sponsored by the government, EU, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) The landlord/owner of the property</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) A construction company that somebody else paid for (not landlord)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### E. ECONOMIC SITUATION OF THE HOUSEHOLD

**ASK ALL**

**E1.** I am now going to read some items a household can possess. Could you tell me whether your household has it in functioning order or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Radio receiver</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Colour TV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Bicycle or motorbike</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Car/van for private use</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Horse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Computer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Internet connection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Mobile phone or landline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Washing machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Bed for each household member including infants</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) 30 or more books (including text books)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) Power generator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ASK ALL**

**E2.** Approximately how much did your household spend last month on each of the following items? Please consider all the people who live in your household!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount in €/local currency</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Food, everyday household goods, e.g. hygienic products, detergents</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Housing (rent, mortgage and public utilities, water, electricity, telephone)</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Clothes (incl. shoes)</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Paying back loans instalments</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Education (including transport, fees, books etc.)</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Medicines and medical services</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERVIEWER! PLEASE CALCULATE A ROUGH TOTAL AND COMPARE WITH ANSWER TO NEXT QUESTION FOR CORRESPONDENCE**

**ASK ALL**

**INTERVIEWER! PLEASE EMPHASISE THAT THE TIMEFRAME IN THIS QUESTION IS THE PAST HALF YEAR, THE RESPONDENT SHOULD ESTIMATE AN AVERAGE**
E3. Thinking about the last 6 months, roughly how much money has your household had to live on each month?

WRITE IN THE FULL AMOUNT IN NUMBERS:

.......................................................................................................................................................................................... [CURRENCY]

INTERVIEWER! IF RESPONDENT IS RELUCTANT TO GIVE AN EXACT AMOUNT, PLEASE OFFER THE CATEGORIES BELOW

01 0 – 100 EURO
02 101 – 200 EURO
03 201 – 300 EURO
04 301 – 400 EURO
05 401 – 500 EURO
06 501 – 700 EURO
07 701 – 1,000 EURO
08 1,001 – 2,000 EURO
09 2,001 – 3,000 EURO
10 3,001 and more EURO

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK ALL

E4. Which of the following best describes how your household is keeping up with all its bills, credits and payments at present?

1 You are keeping up without any difficulties.
2 You are keeping up but struggle to do so from time to time.
3 You are keeping up but it is a constant struggle.
4 You have fallen behind with some payments.
5 You have fallen behind with many payments.

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ALL

E5. In the past month, did you or anyone in the household ever go to bed hungry because there was not enough money for food?

1 Never
2 Once
3 A few times (2-3)
4 Several times (4 or more)

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
F. EMPLOYMENT

INTERVIEWER: VERIFY THE VALUE OF TECHNICAL VARIABLE A10A (JOB STATUS) AT HH TABLE

ASK ALL

F1. When you reach pensionable age, will you be entitled to money from a private or state pension to live off?

INTERVIEWER: IF PERSON ALREADY OLD, ASK IN PRESENT TENSE

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ONLY IF CODE IN A10A IS NOT 10, IF A10A= 10 SKIP TO F3 DIRECTLY

F2. In the past 5 years (or since you have been in [COUNTRY]) have you ever been without regular paid work even though you wanted to work and were looking for a job?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF F2 = 1 OR A10A = 10; ELSE SKIP TO F6

F3. Thinking about your most recent experience of being without regular paid work, how long did it last? [IF CURRENTLY UNEMPLOYED, READ: How long have you been without regular paid work?]

|__|__| years |__|__| months

95 Never had any employment
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF F2 = 1 OR A10A = 10

F4. (When you were unemployed), did you receive any help in finding a job from the State, Local Government, [LOCAL NAME FOR LABOUR OFFICE] etc.

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
ASK IF A10A = 10

F5. Are you currently registered as unemployed at any administrative office?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ALL

F6. Are you currently looking for a job?

1 Yes GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1
2 No GO TO F7

6 Refusal GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1
7 Does not understand question GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1
8 NA GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1
9 DK GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1

ASK IF F6 = 2

F7. Why are you not looking for a job?

DO NOT READ OUT – ONLY ONE ANSWER POSSIBLE

01 I currently have a job
02 Because of health problems
03 Because I have no papers
04 I am doing other informal work
05 Because there are no jobs
06 Being a Roma, nobody hires me
07 Currently studying/too young
08 Retired/too old
09 Has small children to look after
10 Homemaker
11 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
G. MIGRATION - MOBILITY

VERIFY WITH TECHNICAL VARIABLE A6 AT HH TABLE, If NOT born in [COUNTRY] > G0 TO G1

VERIFY WITH TECHNICAL VARIABLE A6 AT HH TABLE, If born in [COUNTRY] > G0 TO G6

ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY]

G1. What country did you live in immediately before moving to [COUNTRY]?
WRITE IN:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………....................................

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY]

G2. What were your reasons for moving to [COUNTRY]?

DO NOT READ OUT CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 Better chances of finding employment
02 Better pay/better working conditions
03 Better education for children/improve own education or qualification
04 Better living conditions/social and health care system/political situation
05 To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married
06 Less discrimination/racism
07 Other
08 None

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY]

G3. While living in [COUNTRY], have you tried to do any of the following: register where you live, apply for a work permit, enrol your child/children in school/kindergarten or apply for government-assisted housing?

1 Yes        GO TO G4
2 No         GO TO G5

6 Refusal    GO TO G5
7 Does not understand question GO TO G5
8 NA         GO TO G5
9 DK         GO TO G5
ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY] AND G3=1

G4. When trying to do any of these things, did you receive any assistance from the state, Local Government or any other official person?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY]

G5. When did you move to [COUNTRY]? If you can, please tell me the month and year.

|__|__|__|__| year |__|__| month |

9600 Refusal
9700 Does not understand question
9800 NA
9900 DK

ALL IN G5 GO TO G13

ASK IF BORN IN [COUNTRY]

G6. Have you personally ever lived in a country other than [COUNTRY]? (excludes brief visits)

1 Yes GO TO G7
2 No GO TO G13

3 Don’t remember GO TO G13
6 Refusal GO TO G13
7 Does not understand question GO TO G13
8 NA GO TO G13
9 DK GO TO G13

ASK IF G6=1; ELSE GO TO G13

G7. Apart from [COUNTRY], what country did you last live in?

WRITE IN:

...........................................................................................................................................................................

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
**ASK IF BORN IN [COUNTRY] AND G6=1**

G8. **How long did you stay in [DESTINATION COUNTRY as in G7]?**

1. Less than 3 months  
2. 3 months to less than 1 year  
3. 1 year to less than 2 years  
4. 2 years to less than 5 years  
5. 5 years or more

6. Refusal  
7. Does not understand question  
8. NA  
9. DK

**ASK IF BORN IN [COUNTRY] AND G6=1**

G9. **When did you come back to [COUNTRY]? If you can, please tell me the month and year.**

|  |  |  |  | year |  |  |  | month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
9600 | Refusal |  
9700 | Does not understand question |  
9800 | NA |  
9900 | DK |

**ASK IF BORN IN [COUNTRY] AND G6=1**

G10. **What were your reasons for moving to [DESTINATION COUNTRY]?**

**DO NOT READ OUT CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS**

01. Better chances of finding employment  
02. Better pay/better working conditions  
03. Better education for children/improve own education or qualification  
04. Better living conditions/social and health care system/political situation  
05. To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married  
06. Less discrimination/racism  
07. Other  
08. None

96. Refusal  
97. Does not understand question  
98. NA  
99. DK
ASK IF BORN IN [COUNTRY] AND G6=1

G11. While living in [DESTINATION COUNTRY], did you try to do any of the following: register where you live, apply for a work permit, enrol your child/children in school/kindergarten or apply for government assisted housing?

1 Yes  
2 No  
6 Refusal  
7 Does not understand question  
8 NA  
9 DK  

GO TO G12

ASK IF G11=1

G12. When trying to do any of these things, did you receive any assistance from the state, Local Government or any other official person?

1 Yes  
2 No  
6 Refusal  
7 Does not understand question  
8 NA  
9 DK  

GO TO G13

ASK ALL

G13. Would you consider moving (again) to another country in future?

1 Yes  
2 No  
6 Refusal  
7 Does not understand question  
8 NA  
9 DK  

GO TO G14

ASK IF G13 = 1

G14. What country would you consider moving to?

WRITE IN:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

95 Country of birth  
96 Refusal  
97 Does not understand question  
98 NA  
99 DK
ASK IF G13=1

G15. Realistically, how soon would you consider moving there?

1 In less than 6 months
2 In 6 to 12 months
3 In more than a year
4 I’ll never move there

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF G13=1

G16. What would be your reasons for moving to this particular country?

DO NOT READ OUT CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 Better chances of finding employment
02 Better pay/better working conditions
03 Better education for children/improve own education or qualification
04 Better living conditions/social and health care system/political situation
05 To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married
06 Less discrimination/racism
07 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

H. EDUCATION

INTERVIEWER VERIFY WITH TECHNICAL VARIABLE A10B AT HH TABLE

☐ Respondent no longer in school - activity status in A10B is NOT 11 === > ASK H1
☐ Respondent in school - activity status in A10B=11 =========== > GO TO I1

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H1. At what age did you finish or leave school?

[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] years of age

94 Never been in education
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H2. Why did you stop going to school? (IF NEVER BEEN TO SCHOOL, CODE 94 IN H1, ASK: Why didn’t you ever go to school?)

DO NOT READ OUT – CODE UP TO 3 RESPONSES

01 Judged to be sufficiently educated
02 Illness
03 Costs of education too high (fees/transport/books/clothing)
04 Need to work for income/found job
05 Did poorly in school, gave up, failed at entrance exam to the next level
06 Problems with language
07 Marriage, pregnancy or child birth
08 Hostile school environment/bullying
09 Dismissed from school
10 Long distance from school
11 Safety concerns
12 Lack of personal documents
13 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H3. Who took the decision that you were to stop attending school?

READ OUT - ONLY ONE ANSWER POSSIBLE

01 I did.
02 My Parents/family did.
03 Teachers did.
04 Other school officials did.
05 I failed the entrance exam for the next level.
06 Others

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H4. You said that your highest level of education was: [CODE IN A12]. Did you obtain any professional qualification(s) after finishing/leaving school?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H5. During the past 5 years (or since you have lived in the country), have you ever been offered [IN COUNTRY] the opportunity to continue your education by the State, local government etc.?  

1 Yes  
2 No  
6 Refusal  
7 Does not understand question  
8 NA  
9 DK

I. HEALTH

ASK ALL

I1. Do you have any form of medical insurance in [COUNTRY]? (Cite national examples)  

1 Yes  
2 No  
6 Refusal  
7 Does not understand question  
8 NA  
9 DK

ASK ALL

I2. How is your health in general?  

1 Very good  
2 Good  
3 Fair  
4 Bad  
5 Very bad  
6 Refusal  
7 Does not understand question  
8 NA  
9 DK
ASK ALL

I3. Do you have any complaints, injuries or diseases that limit your everyday activities, keeping you from doing such things as working, shopping, managing your life or keeping contact with other people?

1 Yes I do, I am severely limited in my daily activities  
2 Yes I do, I am moderately limited in my daily activities  
3 No, I do not

6 Refusal  
7 Does not understand question  
8 NA  
9 DK

ASK ALL

I4. Was there any time during the last 12 months when you personally really needed a medical examination or treatment for a health problem?

1 Yes GO TO I7  
2 No GO TO I6

6 Refusal GO TO I6  
7 Does not understand question GO TO I6  
8 NA GO TO I6  
9 DK GO TO I6

ASK I4=1, ELSE GO TO I7

I5. Thinking of the last time, did you get the medical assistance that you needed?

1 Yes GO TO I7  
2 No GO TO I6

6 Refusal GO TO I7  
7 Does not understand question GO TO I7  
8 NA GO TO I7  
9 DK GO TO I7
ASK IF 15 = 2, ELSE GO TO I7

16. Why not?

DO NOT READ OUT – CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 The examination/treatment/medication is too expensive/no coverage
02 Length of the waiting list for the treatment/examination
03 Could not get time off work
04 Could not go due to family matters (taking care of children)
05 Too far away, had no way how to get there, travel expensive
06 Was afraid of the doctor/hospital/examination/treatment
07 Wanted to wait to see if the problem solves on its own
08 Did not know good doctor/specialist
09 Went for help to other people (e.g. alternative healer, etc.)
10 Treatment was refused by service provider/insurance company
11 No official papers
12 Don’t like to go because they are prejudiced against the Roma
13 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK ONLY WOMEN (A3=2) AGED 50 OR LESS – OTHERS SKIP TO J1

17. Have you given birth over the last 5 years?

1 Yes GO TO I8
2 No GO TO J1

6 Refusal GO TO J1
7 Does not understand question GO TO J1
8 NA GO TO J1
9 DK GO TO J1

IF I7= 1 GO TO I8 ELSE GO TO J1

18. Thinking about the last time: Where did you give birth?

READ OUT – INTERVIEWER TO CLARIFY

1 At home – without problems or complications GO TO J1
2 At home – with medical complications for mother and/or child but not transferred to hospital GO TO J1
3 At home – but transferred to hospital during or after birth because of medical complications for mother and/or child GO TO I9
4 At the hospital/clinic GO TO I9
5 Other GO TO J1

6 Refusal GO TO J1
7 Does not understand question GO TO J1
8 NA GO TO J1
9 DK GO TO J1
IF I8 = 3 OR 4 GO TO I9 ELSE GO TO J1

I9. Thinking about that birth – how were you treated by hospital staff (medical staff – doctors, nurses, midwives)?

1 Very well
2 Fairly well
3 Badly
4 Very badly

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

J. GENERAL DISCRIMINATION – RIGHTS AWARENESS

I would like to ask you a few questions about discrimination. By discrimination we mean when someone is treated less favourably than others because of a specific personal characteristic, such as age, gender or minority background. When you think about discrimination, please tell me only about those situations when people treated you badly or unfairly specifically because of your personal characteristic and not because the other persons were generally rude or unfair.

I really want to talk only about experiences you personally had and in this country only.

J1. In the past 12 months (or since you have been in the country) have you personally felt discriminated against in [COUNTRY] on the basis of one or more of the following grounds? Please tell me all that apply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grounds</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK/No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Because you are a Roma/because of ethnicity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Because you are a man/woman</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Because of your age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Because of your disability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) For another reason</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now I will be asking about the past 5 years (or since you have been in the country, if this is less than 5 years). I would like to first clarify if you have ever been – during the past 5 years – in any of the situations I will list, IN THIS COUNTRY. Next, I will ask you to tell me whether or not you have been discriminated against in any of these situations. Again, we are only interested in events that occurred in [COUNTRY].

| J2. Over the past 5 years (or since you have been in the country, if less than 5 years) have you ever in [COUNTRY] ... | ASK IF J2 = 1 | J3. Because of being Roma / because of ethnicity | ASK IF J3 = 1 | J4. Because of other reasons (gender, age, disability, etc.) | ASK IF J4 = 1 | J5. Thinking about the last time this happened, when was this: in the last twelve months or before then? | ASK IF J5 = 1 | J6. Please try to remember THE LAST TIME you were discriminated against. Did you or anyone ELSE report this incident anywhere? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Over the last 5 years in [COUNTRY], (or since you have been in the country if less than 5 years), have you ever been discriminated against ... | 1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion | 1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion | 1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion | 1 – In the last twelve months 2 – Before then 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion | 1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion |

A. ... looked for paid work? 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9
B. ... had a job? 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9
C. ... looked to buy or rent a new house or apartment or place to live (i.e. a lot at a traveller’s site)? 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9
D. ... come into contact with private or public health services as a patient? 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9
E. ... come into contact with an education or training institution either as a student or a parent? 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9
J7. **What do you think, is there a law in [COUNTRY] that forbids discrimination against ethnic minority people when applying for a job?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

- 6 Refusal
- 7 Does not understand question
- 8 NA
- 9 DK

J8. **Do you know of any organisation in [COUNTRY] that can offer support or advice to people who have been discriminated against?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

- 6 Refusal
- 7 Does not understand question
- 8 NA
- 9 DK

**K. INTEGRATION**

K1. **In your opinion, how much do Roma and non-Roma people socialise with each other in your neighbourhood? For example, do they go to the same cafés or do they chat with each other at shops or in markets?**

- 1 All the time
- 2 A lot
- 3 Sometimes
- 4 Never

- 6 Refusal
- 7 Does not understand question
- 8 NA
- 9 DK

K2. **And what about you personally? Do you socialise with non-Roma people in your neighbourhood? For example, do you go to the same cafés or do you chat with non-Roma people at the market or when out shopping?**

- 1 All the time
- 2 A lot
- 3 Sometimes
- 4 Never

- 6 Refusal
- 7 Does not understand question
- 8 NA
- 9 DK
### L. ACTIVE CITIZEN/TRUST

**L1.** Do you currently participate actively in or do voluntary work for one or more of the following organisations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) A trade union</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) A political party or organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) A Roma organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other civil society organisation/NGO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Religious or church organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**L2.** Do you know, or are you aware of organisations that work to help the Roma?

1. Yes, but you don’t know their exact names
2. Yes, and you know their exact names
3. No

6. Refusal
7. Does not understand question
8. NA
9. DK

*ASK ONLY IN: Bulgaria, France, Greece, Italy, Romania*

**L3.** Have you heard of the Dosta! campaign – ‘Go beyond stereotypes, meet the Roma?’

1. Yes
2. No

6. Refusal
7. Does not understand question
8. NA
9. DK

*ASK ONLY IN: Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Spain*

**L4.** Have you heard of the ‘Decade of Roma Inclusion’ Initiative?

1. Yes
2. No

6. Refusal
7. Does not understand question
8. NA
9. DK

*ASK IF AGE 18 or more*

**L5.** Did you vote in the last...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Was not allowed to/entitled to</th>
<th>Was out of the country</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National elections in this country?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local elections in this country?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European elections?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*QUESTIONNAIRE ENDED AT (hh/mm): ................/................*
**M. POST-INTERVIEW**

**INTERVIEWER NOTE DOWN THE FOLLOWING DETAILS AFTER INTERVIEW – DO NOT ASK**

Please note down the street, and district:
1) NAME OF THE CITY: ____________________________
2) STREET NAME: ________________________________
3) DISTRICT: ________________________________

**M1. Where does the household live?**

1. Capital
2. City/big town
3. Outskirts/suburbs of big cities and towns
4. Small town
5. Village/rural area
6. Fixed encampment for travellers
7. Temporary encampment for travellers
8. Other ____________________________

**M2. Is the household living in an illegal settlement?**

1. Yes
2. No
9. DK

**M3. Is the household living in an area that is segregated from the rest of the settlement/town/village?**

1. Yes
2. No
9. DK

**M4. Was the neighbourhood predominantly a...?**

1. Roma neighbourhood
2. Majority neighbourhood
3. Mixed neighbourhood
9. DK

**M5. How would you describe the place where the respondent lives?**

1. Apartment in block of flats
2. New house in good condition
3. Older house in relatively good condition
4. Ruined house or slum
5. Mobile home/Caravan
6. Other (specify) ____________________________

**M6. How does this neighbourhood rate compared to others nearby?**

1. Better than others
2. Same as others
3. Worse than others
4. Not applicable (separate location)
**M7. Please rate the language proficiency of the respondent in the national language.**

1 Fluent, without foreign sounding accent  
2 Fluent, with foreign sounding accent  
3 Less than fluent

**M8. Was the respondent alone throughout the interview?**

1 Yes  
2 No  
3 Mixed  
9 DK

**M9. Was there any informant other than the respondent referred to in the Household Grid (Section A and B)?**

1 Yes, please insert the ID of the (main) informant from the HH grid: ________________ (ONE CODE ONLY)  
2 No

**M10. Was the respondent cooperative throughout the survey?**

1 Very much  
2 Somewhat  
3 Not really

**M11. Did the respondent appear to have any difficulty answering the questions? [MARK ALL THAT APPLY]**

1 Yes – language wise  
2 Yes – because of the nature of questions  
3 Yes - other reasons  
4 No

**M12. Did you have to use the non [NATIONAL LANGUAGE] questionnaire variant to clarify questions? Which one?**

1 Yes: _________________________________  
2 No

**M13. Interviewer - please indicate if you’re male or female**

1 Male  
2 Female

**M14. Interviewer - please indicate your ethnic background**

1 Ethnic [NATIONAL]  
2 Minority, matching the respondent group  
3 Other non-[NATIONAL]
FE0. Instruction for focused enumeration

ASK THE FOCUSED ENUMERATION SEGMENT IF THE CURRENT CONTACT IS WITHIN THE PRIMARY RANDOM ROUTE SAMPLE

DO NOT ASK IF THE HOUSEHOLD WAS RECRUITED VIA FOCUSED ENUMERATION!
DO NOT ASK IF YOU ROMA QUOTA IS COMPLETED!

IN THESE CASES SKIP TO MAIN INTERVIEW, OR PROCEED WITH RANDOM ROUTE

FE. FOCUSED ENUMERATION

FE1. As you already know, in this survey we are very interested in interviewing Roma people who are difficult to reach for survey research and rarely have a chance to answer survey questions. I would like to ask for your help in finding such people from among your neighbours so they have a chance to take part in our survey. I want to assure you again that any information we collect will only be used for the purposes of the survey and not for any other purposes. Your answers or any information you give will remain completely confidential and anonymous.

IF IN A SUBURB/TOWNHOUSE AREA:

Please think of your immediate neighbours – those two houses to the left and those two houses to the right of where you live.

IF IN A MULTI-STOREY APARTMENT BUILDING:

Please think of your immediate neighbours on your floor – those two doors to the right and those two doors to the left, or fewer if there aren’t that many – as well as the apartments immediately above and immediately below yours.

Before we proceed, please look at this card, and tell me whether you actually have any neighbours.

SHOW CARD – RECORD IN THE FOCUSED ENUMERATION TABLE ON THE ROUTING SLIP

FE2. Thinking only of these apartments or houses: are any of these neighbours Roma? If yes, in which apartments/houses?

RECORD FOR EACH HOUSEHOLD MENTIONED IN FE2 IN THE COMMENT FIELD

COMMENTS: We would like to ask these people about their experiences living in this country. Can I confirm their name and address with you, just to make sure that I can find them and that I do not mix anything up?

WHERE IT IS EASILY PROVIDED BY THE REFERRER, IF POSSIBLE, WRITE NAMES FOR EACH ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLD, OTHERWISE, CHECK THE OTHERS AFTER THE INTERVIEW, AND TRY TO RECORD NAME AND ADDRESS FROM THE DOOR

MARK ON THE ROUTING SLIP!
**ONLY IF ROUTE ID is 1 = from RANDOM ROUTE**

**ASK FOCUSED ENUMERATION ONLY AT RANDOM ROUTE ADDRESSES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>F1. Exist</th>
<th>F2. Roma?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FE1</td>
<td>a) First accommodation/house/door to the right</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE2</td>
<td>b) Second accommodation/house/door to the right</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE3</td>
<td>c) First accommodation/house/door to the left</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE4</td>
<td>d) Second accommodation/house/door to the left</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE5</td>
<td>e) The flat above</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE6</td>
<td>f) The flat below</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 9.5. Survey question for interviewing migrant Roma in France

#### A. ADULT HOUSEHOLD GRID – ALL PERSONS 16 YEARS AND OLDER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A6 IdNr.</th>
<th>Enter respondent in first row only</th>
<th>Write in first name only</th>
<th>First Name Enter Respondent in first row</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 Wife/Husband/Co-habitating partner</td>
<td>02 Son/Daughter</td>
<td>03 Son/Daughter-in-law</td>
<td>04 Grandchild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Niece/Nephew</td>
<td>06 Father/Mother</td>
<td>07 Father-in-law</td>
<td>08 Brother/Sister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Brother/Sister-in-law</td>
<td>10 Grandparents</td>
<td>11 Other relative</td>
<td>12 Other non-relative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>98 NA</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A6 Sex</th>
<th>Relationship to respondent</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>A5 Marital status</th>
<th>A4 Marital status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 Male</td>
<td>02 Female</td>
<td>(in years)</td>
<td>03 Married (legal)</td>
<td>04 Married (traditional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Divorced</td>
<td>06 Separated</td>
<td>07 Widowed</td>
<td>08 Co-habitating partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Single</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question</td>
<td>98 NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A4 Age</th>
<th>In which country was she/he born?</th>
<th>A3 Marital status</th>
<th>A2 Number of children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 Born in [COUNTRY]</td>
<td>02 Other country</td>
<td>03 Born in [COUNTRY]</td>
<td>04 Other country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRITE IN:</td>
<td>WRITE IN:</td>
<td>WRITE IN:</td>
<td>WRITE IN:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 Male</td>
<td>02 Female</td>
<td>03 Married</td>
<td>04 Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Divorced</td>
<td>06 Separated</td>
<td>07 Widowed</td>
<td>08 Co-habitating partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Single</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question</td>
<td>98 NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 DK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INTERVIEWER VERIFY: 

#### A6 Job situation codes 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A10 Employment status</th>
<th>A10 Job situation codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 Paid work – full time</td>
<td>07 Unpaid work in family business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Paid work – part time</td>
<td>08 Other unpaid or voluntary work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Paid work – ad hoc jobs</td>
<td>09 Communal work/public works scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Self-employed</td>
<td>10 Unemployed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Full time homemaker (looking after home/children/relatives)</td>
<td>11 In school/student</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 Paid parental leave</td>
<td>12 Vocational training/apprenticeship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Unpaid work in family business</td>
<td>13 Retired/too old to work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 Other unpaid or voluntary work</td>
<td>14 Unable to work due to long-term illness/disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Communal work/public works scheme</td>
<td>15 In compulsory military/community service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Unemployed</td>
<td>16 Not working for other reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 In school/student</td>
<td>17 Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Vocational training/apprenticeship</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Retired/too old to work</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Unable to work due to long-term illness/disability</td>
<td>98 NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 In compulsory military/community service</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Not working for other reasons</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Other</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 NA</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M.9. In case there was an informant other than the respondent who helped fill in the House
hold Grid (Section A and B), please circle the ID of the MAIN informant in the table above. (CIRCLE ONLY ONE PERSON)
**B. CHILD HOUSEHOLD GRID – under 16 years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B1. Nr.</th>
<th>START WITH THE OLDEST CONTINUE TO THE YOUNGEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2.</td>
<td>Relationship to the respondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3.</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4.</td>
<td>How old is he/she?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5.</td>
<td>Marital status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6.</td>
<td>In which country was she/he born?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7.</td>
<td>What is his/her citizenship?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| B8.     | Does she/he possess at least one of the fol-
|         | lowing personal documents:                   |
|         | - Birth certificate                           |
|         | - ID card                                     |
|         | - Passport                                    |
|         | - Any other official paper that can be used  |
|         | for administrative reasons                   |
| B9.     | Which education level was he/she attending  |
|         | this previous school year?                   |
| B10.    | What is the highest education level she/he  |
|         | has attained so far?                         |
| B11.    | What was the main reason for her/him to     |
|         | stop or interrupt at this education-
|         | al level?                                    |
| B12.    | What is/ was the background of he/his        |
|         | classmates?                                  |
| B13.    | Has she/he ever been to a special school or  |
|         | class that was mainly for the Roma, even     |
|         | if only for a short period?                  |
| B14.    | Does she/he work outside the home?           |
| B15.    | Can you tell me what she/he is doing?        |

**WRITE IN First Name only**

- 01 Wife/Husband/Co-habitating partner
- 02 Son/ Daughter
- 03 Son/ Daughter in-law
- 04 Grandchild
- 05 Niece/ Nephew
- 08 Brother/ Sister
- 09 Brother/ sister-in-law in other relative
- 12 Other non-relative
- 96 Refusal

**Start with the oldest child**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>(in years)</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>07 LESS THAN 1 YEAR, code “00”</td>
<td>56 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question 98 NA 99 DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>01 Married (legal)</td>
<td>02 Married (traditional)</td>
<td>03 Divorced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>05 Widowed/ partner died</td>
<td>06 Habitual marriage partner</td>
<td>07 Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question 98 NA 99 DK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>01 Country in country</td>
<td>02 Country WRITE IN country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>01 Not yet in education</td>
<td>02 Kindergarten/ Preschool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>03 Primary School</td>
<td>04 Secondary school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>05 Vocational school</td>
<td>06 Temporarily not in school skipped the year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>07 Stopped school completely</td>
<td>08 Working</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question 98 NA 99 DK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>01 No formal education</td>
<td>02 Incomplete primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>03 Completed primary</td>
<td>04 Incomplete secondary school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>05 Incomplete vocational school</td>
<td>06 Complete secondary school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>07 Completed vocational school</td>
<td>10 Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question 98 NA 99 DK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>01 All are Roma</td>
<td>02 Many</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>03 Some</td>
<td>04 None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>05 Mixed</td>
<td>06 Temporarily not in school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>07 Stopped school completely</td>
<td>08 Working</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question 98 NA 99 DK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEE CODES BELOW, WRITE IN ALL THAT APPLIES**

- 01 All are Roma |
- 02 Many |
- 03 Some |
- 04 None |
- 05 Mixed |
- 06 Temporarily not in school |
- 07 Stopped school completely |
- 96 Refusal |
- 97 Does not understand question 98 NA 99 DK |

**WRITE IN B16 column**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B16. Can you tell me what she/he is doing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 Working in a shop, farm, construction,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Collecting things for reselling/recycling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 03 Selling in the street (i.e. flow-
| ers, cigarettes, CDs), guarding |
| cars, doing small errands |
| 04 Asking for money in the street |
| 12 Other |
| 96 Refusal | 97 Does not understand question 98 NA 99 DK |
MAIN QUESTIONNAIRE

Please imagine a ladder with steps numbered from zero at the bottom to ten at the top. Suppose we say that the top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you, and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. (Read A-B)

W1. A. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time, assuming that the higher the step the better you feel about your life, and the lower the step the worse you feel about it? Which step comes closest to the way you feel?

10 Best possible life
09
08
07
06
05
04
03
02
01
00 Worst possible life

98 (DK)
99 (Refusal)

W2. B. Just your best guess, on which step do you think you will stand in the future, say about five years from now?

10 Best possible life
09
08
07
06
05
04
03
02
01
00 Worst possible life

98 (DK)
99 (Refusal)

Thank you for your cooperation. I would now like to ask some questions about the area where you are currently living and about your accommodation.
C. NEIGHBOURHOOD

ASK ALL

C1. How long have you been living here in this neighbourhood? [IN CASE OF TRAVELLERS, ASK: How long have you been living here in this site or place?]

01 Less than 3 months  GO TO C2
02 3 months to less than 6 months GO TO C2
03 6 months to less than 12 months GO TO C2
04 1 to 5 years   GO TO C2
05 More than 5 years but not always GO TO C4
06 Born here   GO TO C4

96 Refusal  GO TO C4
97 Does not understand question  GO TO C4
98 NA  GO TO C4
99 DK  GO TO C4

IF C1=05,06, 96, 97, 98, 99 SKIP TO C4

ASK IF C1= 01 OR 02 OR 03 OR 04

C2. Can you please tell me the main reasons why you moved to where you live now?

DO NOT READ OUT, CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 Forced to move by authorities/evicted/home was demolished
02 Have been relocated/home was provided
03 No official papers
04 Less discrimination/racism
05 Could no longer afford the rent
06 Because lost work/job/employment
07 To find better housing conditions that suits the family needs
08 Better chances of finding employment, pay/better working conditions
09 Better education for children/improve own education or qualification
10 To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married
11 We only stay temporarily here/for a limited time
12 This is a usual place where we stop /en route
13 Better neighbourhood
14 Can’t remember
15 Other reasons

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
ASK IF C1 = 01 OR 02 OR 03 OR 04

C3. When you moved here, have you received any help or assistance from the State, Local Government, etc., in order to find accommodation?

1 Yes
2 No, despite you wanted or needed some help
3 No, but did not want any help

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ALL

C4. Could you please tell me if in the last 5 years (or since you have been living in this neighbourhood) the following things have improved, stayed the same or got worse in your neighbourhood?

[FOR TRAVELLERS, ASK: Could you please tell me if in the last 5 years or since you know this neighbourhood/site the following things have improved, stayed the same or got worse in your neighbourhood/site?]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>READ OUT</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Stayed the same</th>
<th>Got worse</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Neighbourhood in general</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Roads and pavements</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Public buildings, e.g. schools, hospitals, ...</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Private or public housing estates/houses/apartments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Sewage systems/drains</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Electricity/gas supplies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Public transport</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Drinking water system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### D. HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

**ASK ALL**

**D1. Are you living in this accommodation the whole year?**

- 01 Yes, the whole year
- 02 No, only part of the year

- 96 Refusal
- 97 Does not understand question
- 98 NA
- 99 DK

**D2. How many rooms are there in your accommodation used by your household only? Do not count the kitchen, the corridor, the bathroom and rooms rented out or used by persons who do not belong to your household.**

**Number of rooms:** |__|__|

- 95 Caravan, tent, mobile home without separating walls
- 96 Refusal
- 97 Does not understand question
- 98 NA
- 99 DK

**ASK ALL**

**D3. Does this accommodation in which you live have any of the following...?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Piped water inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Toilet inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Latrine/toilet outside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Kitchen inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Shower or bathroom inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Connection to the sewerage system or waste water tank</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Electricity supply</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Any kind of heating facility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASK ALL

D4.  Who owns the accommodation where you live now?

[ONLY ONE ANSWER IS POSSIBLE]

01 A member of your household or family
02 Private ownership (by an unrelated person or company)
03 Municipal/state ownership
04 Housing project of an NGO/Church, etc...
05 Unknown ownership
06 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK ALL

D5.  Thinking about the last 5 years, (or since you lived in this accommodation) have you ever had problems with, for instance, a leaky roof, damp walls, the plumbing system, the electric wiring, vermin, etc.?

1 Yes     GO TO D6
2 No     GO TO D7

6 Refusal    GO TO D7
7 Does not understand question    GO TO D7
8 NA     GO TO D7
9 DK     GO TO D7

ASK IF YES TO D5

D6.  Were you able to get these things repaired or repair them yourself?

1 Yes, all     GO TO D8
2 Yes, most of them     GO TO D8
3 Yes, some of them     GO TO D8
4 No, none     GO TO D7

6 Refusal    GO TO D7
7 Does not understand question    GO TO D7
8 NA     GO TO D7
9 DK     GO TO D7
D7. And thinking about the last 5 years, (or since you lived in this accommodation) have you made any bigger renovation, improvement in this accommodation?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF D6=1, 2 OR 3 OR D7 = 1

D8. Did you receive any financial or other help to do these repairs or renovation from ...?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Did not receive any help</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) The local government/authority</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) A civil society organisation/NGO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Specifically a Roma organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) A religious organisation/church</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) A particular bank loan with favourable conditions for such occasions – might be sponsored by the government, EU, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) The landlord/owner of the property</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) A construction company that somebody else paid for (not landlord)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E. ECONOMIC SITUATION OF THE HOUSEHOLD

ASK ALL

E1. I am now going to read some items a household can possess. Could you tell me whether your household has it in functioning order or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Radio receiver</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Colour TV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Bicycle or motorbike</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Car/van for private use</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Horse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Computer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Internet connection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Mobile phone or landline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Washing machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Bed for each household member, including infants</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) 30 or more books (including text books)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) Power generator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASK ALL

E2. Approximately how much did your household spend last month on each of the following items? Please consider all the people who live in the household!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount in €/local currency</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Food, everyday household goods, e.g. hygienic products, detergents</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Housing (rent, mortgage and public utilities, water, electricity, telephone)</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Clothes (incl. shoes)]</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Paying back loans/instalments</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Education (including transport, fees, books etc.)</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Medicines and medical services</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTERVIEWER! PLEASE CALCULATE A ROUGH TOTAL AND COMPARE WITH ANSWER TO NEXT QUESTION FOR CORRESPONDENCE
ASK ALL

INTERVIEWER! PLEASE EMPHASISE THAT THE TIMEFRAME IN THIS QUESTION IS THE PAST HALF YEAR, THE RESPONDENT SHOULD ESTIMATE AN AVERAGE

E3. Thinking about the last 6 months, roughly how much money has your household had to live on each month?

WRITE IN THE FULL AMOUNT IN NUMBERS:

........................................................................................................................................................................... [CURRENCY]

INTERVIEWER! IF RESPONDENT IS RELUCTANT TO GIVE AN EXACT AMOUNT, PLEASE OFFER THE CATEGORIES BELOW

01 0 – 100 EURO
02 101 – 200 EURO
03 201 – 300 EURO
04 301 – 400 EURO
05 401 – 500 EURO
06 501 – 700 EURO
07 701 – 1000 EURO
08 1001 – 2000 EURO
09 2001 – 3000 EURO
10 3001 and more EURO

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK ALL

E4. Which of the following describes best how your household is keeping up with all its bills, credits, and payments at present?

1 You are keeping up without any difficulties
2 You are keeping up but struggle to do so from time to time
3 You are keeping up but in a constant struggle
4 You have fallen behind with some payments
5 You have fallen behind with many payments

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
ASK ALL

E5. In the past month did you or anyone in the household ever go to bed hungry because there was not enough money for food?

1 Never
2 Once
3 A few times (2–3)
4 Several times (4 and more)

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

F. EMPLOYMENT

INTERVIEWER: VERIFY THE VALUE OF TECHNICAL VARIABLE A10A (JOB STATUS) AT HH TABLE

ASK ALL

F1. When you reach pensionable age, will you be entitled to money from a private or state pension to live off?

INTERVIEWER: IF PERSON ALREADY OLD, ASK IN PRESENT TENSE

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ONLY IF CODE IN A10A IS NOT 10, IF A10A = 10 SKIP TO F3 DIRECTLY

F2. In the last 5 years (or since you are in [COUNTRY]) have you ever been without regular paid work even though you wanted to work and you were looking for a job?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
ASK IF F2 = 1 OR A10A = 10; ELSE SKIP TO F6

F3. Thinking about your most recent experience of being without regular paid work, for how long did it last?
IF CURRENTLY UNEMPLOYED, READ: For how long have you been without regular paid work?

|___|___| years |___|___| months |

95 Never had any employment
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF F2 = 1 OR A10A = 10

F4. (When you were unemployed), have you received any help or assistance from the State, Local Government, [LOCAL NAME FOR LABOUR OFFICE] etc., in order to find a job?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF A10A = 10

F5. Are you currently registered as unemployed by any administrative office?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ALL

F6. Are you currently looking for a job?

1 Yes GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1
2 No GO TO F7

6 Refusal GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1
7 Does not understand question GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1
8 NA GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1
9 DK GO TO INSTRUCTION BEFORE G1
ASK IF $F_6 = 2$

F7. Why are you not looking for a job?

DO NOT READ OUT – ONLY ONE ANSWER POSSIBLE

01 I currently have a job
02 Because of health problems
03 Because I have no papers
04 I am doing other informal work
05 Because there are no jobs
06 Being a Roma, nobody hires me
07 Currently studying/too young
08 Retired/too old
09 Has small children to look after
10 Homemaker
11 Other
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

G. MIGRATION - MOBILITY

[Box]

VERIFY WITH TECHNICAL VARIABLE A6 AT HH TABLE,
If NOT born in [COUNTRY] =去G1

VERIFY WITH TECHNICAL VARIABLE A6 AT HH TABLE,
If born in [COUNTRY], =去G13

ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY]

G1. In which country did you live just before you moved to [COUNTRY]?

WRITE IN:

______________________________________________________________________________________________

94 BULGARIA
95 ROMANIA
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
GF1. Is this the first time that you have lived in another country other than your country of birth?

1 Yes  GO TO G2
2 No  GO TO GF2

6 Refusal  GO TO G2
7 Does not understand question  GO TO G2
8 NA  GO TO G2
9 DK  GO TO G2

ASK IF GF1=2

GF2. In which countries have you lived before? If you have already lived in France at an earlier point in time please indicate this, as well. Do not include your home country!

DO NOT READ OUT. MULTIPLE ANSWERS ARE POSSIBLE, CODE ALL MENTIONED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Mentioned</th>
<th>Did not mention</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) France</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Italy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Spain/Portugal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Austria/Belgium/Germany/Netherlands</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Great Britain/Ireland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Czech Republic/Greece/Hungary/Poland/Slovakia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Denmark/Finland/Sweden</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Other WRITE IN: ____________________</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASK ALL, THE QUESTIONS REFER TO THE CURRENT MIGRATION EPISODE, WHEN HE OR SHE MOVED TO FRANCE THE LAST TIME

G2. What were your reasons for moving to [COUNTRY]?

DO NOT READ OUT CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 Better chances of finding employment
02 Better pay/better working conditions
03 Better education for children/improve own education or qualification
04 Better living conditions/social and health care system/political situation
05 To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married
06 Less discrimination/racism
07 Other
08 None
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
GF3. What was your job situation before you moved to France?

1 Paid regular employment
2 Paid not regular employment (ad hoc, seasonal, ...)
3 Not working
4 Other
6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

GF5. When did you move to [COUNTRY]? Please give me year and the month if you can.

| _ _ _ _ | year | _ _ _ _ | month

9600 Refusal
9700 Does not understand question
9800 NA
9900 DK

GF4. Did you arrive alone or with your family when you moved to France?

1 Alone
2 With family
3 With others
6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

GF5. Since you’ve been in France, have you sent money back home?

1 Yes
2 No
6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

G3. While living in [COUNTRY], have you tried to do any of the following: register where you live, apply for a work permit, enrol your child/children in school/kindergarten, apply for government-assisted housing?

1 Yes Go To GF6
2 No Go To GF7
6 Refusal Go To GF7
7 Does not understand question Go To GF7
8 NA Go To GF7
9 DK Go To GF7
ASK IF G3 = 1

GF6. And which of these did you try to do?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Apply for a work permit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Enrol your child/children in school/kindergarten</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Apply for government-assisted housing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASK IF G3 = 1

G4. Did you receive any assistance from the State, Local Government or any other official person when doing this?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

GF7. Since you moved to France, have you ever done the following:

READ OUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Had paid work with an employer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Attended French language classes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Received money from the French authorities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Received money from Roma civil society organisations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Received money from other civil society organisations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Needed to go begging</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Sell flowers, newspapers or other items in the street, restaurants or in bars</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Collect waste and other items for recycling or reselling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Take money from the authorities specifically to get back to your home country</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GF8. Do you speak French well enough to be able to ask for help in the case of a medical emergency?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

GF9. Do you intend to stay in this country for ...

1 Less than 3 months
2 3 months to 6 months
3 6 months to 1 year
4 more than 1 year
5 Have not yet decided

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

GF10. Do you worry that you could be evicted (moved on) from where you live by the authorities?

1 All the time
2 Sometimes
3 Never

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

GF11. Has this ever happened to you in France?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

GF12. Do you worry that you could be expelled from France by the authorities?

1 All the time
2 Sometimes
3 Never

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
GF13. Has this ever happened to you before in France?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

GF14. If you were thrown out of the country, would you consider trying to get back to France again?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF GF14=1

GF15. Why would you try to get back into France?

WRITE IN:

........................................................................................................................................................................

ASK ALL

G13. Would you consider moving (again) to another country in future?

1 Yes GO TO G14
2 No GO TO H1

6 Refusal GO TO H1
7 Does not understand question GO TO H1
8 NA GO TO H1
9 DK GO TO H1

ASK IF G13 = 1

G14. What country would you consider moving to?

WRITE IN: ........................................................................................................................................................................

95 Country of birth
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
ASK IF G13=1

G15. Realistically, how soon would you consider moving there?

1 In less than 6 months
2 In 6 to 12 months
3 In more than a year
4 I’ll never move there

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF G13=1

G16. What would be your reasons for moving to this particular country?

DO NOT READ OUT CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 Better chances of finding employment
02 Better pay/better working conditions
03 Better education for children/improve own education or qualification
04 Better living conditions/social and healthcare system/political situation
05 To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married
06 Less discrimination/racism
07 Other
06 Refusal
07 Does not understand question
08 NA
09 DK
H. EDUCATION

INTERVIEWER VERIFY WITH TECHNICAL VARIABLE A10B AT HH TABLE

☐ Respondent no longer in school – activity status in A10B is NOT 11 ===> ASK H1

☐ Respondent in school – activity status in A10B=11 ============= > GO TO I1

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H1. At what age did you finish or leave school?

|__||__| years of age

94 Never been in education
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H2. Why did you stop school? (IF NEVER BEEN TO SCHOOL, CODE 94 IN H1, ASK: Why did you never go to school?)

DO NOT READ OUT – CODE UP TO 3 RESPONSES

01 Judged to be sufficiently educated
02 Illness
03 Costs of education too high (fees/transport/books/clothing)
04 Need to work for income/found job
05 Did poorly in school, gave up, failed at entrance exam to the next level
06 Problems with language
07 Marriage, pregnancy or child birth
08 Hostile school environment/bullying
09 Dismissed from school
10 Long distance from school
11 Safety concerns
12 Lack of personal documents
13 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H3. Who decided that you stopped school?

READ OUT - ONLY ONE ANSWER POSSIBLE

01 Myself
02 Parents/Family
03 Teachers
04 Other school officials
05 Failed at entrance exam to the next level
06 Others

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H4. You said that your highest level of education is: [CODE IN A12]. Did you obtain any professional qualification(s) after you finished this school?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H5. In the past 5 years (or since you have been living in the country), have you ever been offered [IN COUNTRY] the opportunity to continue your education by the State, local government etc. .... ?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
I. HEALTH

ASK ALL

1. Do you have any form of medical insurance in [COUNTRY]? (Cite national examples)
   1 Yes
   2 No
   6 Refusal
   7 Does not understand question
   8 NA
   9 DK

ASK ALL

2. How is your health in general?
   1 Very Good
   2 Good
   3 Fair
   4 Bad
   5 Very Bad
   6 Refusal
   7 Does not understand question
   8 NA
   9 DK

ASK ALL

3. Do you have any complaints, injuries, diseases that limit your everyday activities, such as working, shopping, managing your life or keeping contact with other people?
   1 Yes I do, I am severely limited in my daily activities
   2 Yes I do, I am moderately limited in my daily activities
   3 No, I do not
   6 Refusal
   7 Does not understand question
   8 NA
   9 DK
ASK ALL

I4. Was there any time during the last 12 months when you personally really needed a medical examination or treatment for a health problem?

1 Yes \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I5}
2 No \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}

6 Refusal \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}
7 Does not understand question \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}
8 NA \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}
9 DK \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}

ASK IF I4=1, ELSE GO TO I7

I5. Thinking of the last time, did you get the medical assistance that you needed?

1 Yes \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}
2 No \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I6}

6 Refusal \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}
7 Does not understand question \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}
8 NA \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}
9 DK \hspace{2cm} \text{GO TO I7}

ASK IF I5=2, ELSE GO TO I7

I6. Why was this?

\textbf{DO NOT READ OUT – CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS}

01 The examination/treatment/medication is too expensive/no coverage
02 Length of the waiting list for the treatment/examination
03 Could not get time off work
04 Could not go due to family matters (taking care of children)
05 Too far away, had no way how to get there, travel expensive
06 Was afraid of the doctor/hospital/examination/treatment
07 Wanted to wait to see if the problem solves on its own
08 Did not know good doctor/specialist
09 Went for help to other people (e.g. alternative healer, etc.)
10 Treatment was refused by service provider/insurance company
11 No official papers
12 Don’t like to go because they are prejudiced against the Roma
13 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
I7. Have you given birth over the last 5 years?

1 Yes     GO TO I8
2 No     GO TO J1

6 Refusal     GO TO J1
7 Does not understand question     GO TO J1
8 NA     GO TO J1
9 DK     GO TO J1

IF I7= 1 GO TO I8 ELSE GO TO J1

I8. Thinking about the last time: Where did you give birth?

READ OUT – INTERVIEWER TO CLARIFY

1 At home – without problems or complications     GO TO J1
2 At home – with medical complications for mother
and/or child but not transferred to hospital     GO TO J1
3 At home – but transferred to hospital during or after birth
because of medical complications for mother and/or child     GO TO I9
4 At the hospital/clinic     GO TO I9
5 Other     GO TO I9

6 Refusal     GO TO J1
7 Does not understand question     GO TO J1
8 NA     GO TO J1
9 DK     GO TO J1

IF I8= 3 OR 4 GO TO I9 ELSE GO TO J1

I9. Thinking about that birth – how were you treated by the hospital staff (medical staff – doctors, nurses, midwives)?

1 Very well
2 Fairly well
3 Badly
4 Very badly

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
**J. GENERAL DISCRIMINATION – RIGHTS AWARENESS**

I would like to ask you a few questions about discrimination. By discrimination we mean when somebody is treated less favourably than others because of a specific personal feature, such as age, gender or minority background.

When you think about discrimination, please try to report only those situations when you experienced that people treated you badly or unfairly specifically because of your personal feature and not because the other persons were generally rude or unfair.

I really want to talk only about situations that you have personally experienced, in this country only.

**J1. In the past 12 months (or since you have been in the country) have you personally felt discriminated against in [COUNTRY] on the basis of one or more of the following grounds? Please tell me all that apply.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK/no opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Because you are a Roma/ because of ethnicity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Because you are a man/woman</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Because of your age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Because of your disability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) For another reason</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now I will be asking about the past 5 years (or since you are in the country, if this is less). I would like to first clarify if you have ever been – during the past 5 years – in any of the situations I will list, IN THIS COUNTRY. Next, I will ask you to indicate whether or not you have been discriminated against in each of these situations. Again, we are only curious of events that occurred in [COUNTRY].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>ASK IF J2 = 1</th>
<th>ASK IF J3 = 1</th>
<th>ASK IF J5 = 1</th>
<th>ASK IF J6 = 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J2.</td>
<td>Did you ever in the past 5 years (or since you are in the country, if less than 5 years) in [COUNTRY] ...</td>
<td>During the last 5 years, [or since you’ve been in the country if less than 5 years], have you ever been in [COUNTRY] discriminated against ...</td>
<td>Because of being Roma/ because of ethnicity</td>
<td>Because of other reasons (gender, age, disability, etc.)</td>
<td>Thinking about the last time this happened, when was this: in the last twelve months or before then?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>look for paid work?</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – In the last twelve months 2 – Before then 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>have a job?</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – In the last twelve months 2 – Before then 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>look to buy or rent a new house or apartment or place to live (i.e. a lot at a traveller’s site)?</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – In the last twelve months 2 – Before then 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>come into contact with private or public health services as a patient?</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – In the last twelve months 2 – Before then 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.</td>
<td>come into contact with education or training institution either as a student or a parent?</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – In the last twelve months 2 – Before then 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>1 – Yes 2 – No 7 – Does not understand question 8 – Refusal 9 – DK/no opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>ASK IF J2 = 1</th>
<th>ASK IF J3 = 1</th>
<th>ASK IF J5 = 1</th>
<th>ASK IF J6 = 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>... look for paid work?</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>... have a job?</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>... look to buy or rent a new house or apartment or place to live (i.e. a lot at a traveller’s site)?</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>... come into contact with private or public health services as a patient?</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.</td>
<td>... come into contact with education or training institution either as a student or a parent?</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
J7. What do you think, is there a law in [COUNTRY] that forbids discrimination against ethnic minority people when applying for a job?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

J8. Do you know of any organisation in [COUNTRY] that can offer support or advice to people who have been discriminated against?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

K. INTEGRATION

K1. In your opinion, how much do Roma and non-Roma people socialise with each other in the area where you live? For example, do they sit in the same cafés, do they chat with each other at the shops or markets?

1 All the time
2 A lot
3 Sometimes
4 Never

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

K2. And what about you personally? Do you socialise with non-Roma people in the area where you live? For example, do you sit in the same cafés, do you chat with non-Roma people at the market or when out shopping?

1 All the time
2 A lot
3 Sometimes
4 Never

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
L. ACTIVE CITIZEN/TRUST

L1. Do you currently participate actively in or do voluntary work for one or more of the following organisations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. A trade union</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. A political party or organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. A Roma organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Other civil society organisation/ NGO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Religious or church organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L2. Do you know, are you aware of organisations that work to help the Roma?

1 Yes, but you don’t know their exact names
2 Yes, and you know their exact names
3 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ONLY IN: Bulgaria, France, Greece, Italy, Romania

L3. Have you heard about the Dosta! campaign – ‘Go beyond stereotypes, meet the Roma?’

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ONLY IN: Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Spain

L4. Have you heard about the ‘Decade of Roma Inclusion’ Initiative?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
ASK IF AGE 18 or more

L5. Did you vote in the last...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Was not allowed to/entitled to</th>
<th>Was out of the country</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National elections this country?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local elections of this country?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European elections?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QUESTIONNAIRE ENDED AT (hh/mm): ................/.............

M. POST-INTERVIEW

INTERVIEWER NOTE DOWN THE FOLLOWING DETAILS AFTER INTERVIEW – DO NOT ASK

Please note down the street, and district:

1) NAME OF THE CITY: ____________________________
2) STREET NAME: ________________________________
3) DISTRICT: ____________________________

M1. Where does the household live?

1 Capital
2 City/big town
3 Outskirts/suburbs of big cities and towns
4 Small town
5 Village/rural area
6 Fixed encampment for travellers
7 Temporary encampment for travellers
8 Other ____________________________

M2. Is the household living in an illegal settlement?

1 Yes
2 No
9 DK

M3. Is the household living in an area that is segregated from the rest of the settlement/town/village?

1 Yes
2 No
9 DK

M4. Was the neighbourhood predominantly a...

1 Roma neighbourhood
2 Majority neighbourhood
3 Mixed neighbourhood
9 DK
M5. How would you describe the place where the respondent lives?

1 Apartment in block of flats
2 New house in good condition
3 Older house in relatively good condition
4 Ruined house or slum
5 Mobile home/caravan
6 Other (specify) __________________________

M6. How does this neighbourhood rate compare to others nearby?

1 Better than others
2 Same as others
3 Worse than others
4 Not applicable (separate location)

M7. Please rate the language proficiency of the respondent in the national language.

1 Fluent, without foreign sounding accent
2 Fluent, with foreign sounding accent
3 Less than fluent

M8. Was the respondent alone throughout the interview?

1 Yes
2 No
3 mixed
9 DK

M9. Was there any informant other than the respondent referred to in the Household Grid (Section A and B)?

1 Yes, please insert the ID of the (main) informant from the HH grid: ______________ (ONE CODE ONLY)
2 No

M10. Was the respondent cooperative throughout the survey?

1 Very much
2 Somewhat
3 Not really

M11. Did the respondent appear to have any difficulty answering the questions?

[MARK ALL THAT APPLY]

1 Yes – language wise
2 Yes – because of the nature of questions
3 Yes – other reasons
4 No

M12. Did you have to use the non [NATIONAL LANGUAGE] questionnaire variant to clarify questions? Which one?

1 Yes: ________________________________________________
2 No

M13. Interviewer – please indicate if you’re male or female

1 Male
2 Female
M14. Interviewer - please indicate your ethnic background

1. Ethnic [NATIONAL]
2. Minority, matching the respondent group
3. Other non-[NATIONAL]

FE0. Instruction for focused enumeration

ASK THE FOCUSED ENUMERATION SEGMENT IF THE CURRENT CONTACT IS WITHIN THE PRIMARY RANDOM ROUTE SAMPLE

DO NOT ASK IF THE HOUSEHOLD WAS RECRUITED VIA FOCUSED ENUMERATION!
DO NOT ASK IF YOU ROMA QUOTA IS COMPLETED!

IN THESE CASES SKIP TO MAIN INTERVIEW, OR PROCEED WITH RANDOM ROUTE

FE. FOCUSED ENUMERATION

FE1. As you already know, for this survey we are very interested in interviewing Roma people who are difficult to reach for survey research and rarely have a chance to answer survey questions. I would like to ask for your help in finding such people from among your neighbours so they have a chance to take part in our survey. I want to assure you again that any information we collect will only be used for the purposes of the survey and not for any other purposes. Your answers or any information you give will remain completely confidential and anonymous.

IF IN A SUBURB/TOWNHOUSE AREA:

Please think of your immediate neighbours – those two houses to the left and those two houses to the right of where you live.

IF IN A MULTI-STOREY APARTMENT BUILDING:

Please think of your immediate neighbours on your floor – those two doors to the right and those two doors to the left, or fewer if there aren’t that many – as well as the apartments immediately above and below yours.

Before we proceed, please look at this card, and tell me whether you actually have any neighbours at all.

SHOW CARD – RECORD IN THE FOCUSED ENUMERATION TABLE ON THE ROUTING SLIP

FE2. Thinking only of these apartments or houses: are any of these neighbours Roma? If yes, in which apartments/houses?

RECORD FOR EACH HOUSEHOLD MENTIONED IN FE2. IN THE COMMENT FIELD

COMMENTS: We would like to ask these people about their experiences living in this country. Can I confirm their name and address with you, just to make sure that I can find them and that I do not mix anything up?

WHERE IT IS EASILY PROVIDED BY THE REFERRER, IF POSSIBLE, WRITE NAMES FOR EACH ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLD, OTHERWISE, CHECK THE OTHERS AFTER THE INTERVIEW, AND TRY TO RECORD NAME AND ADDRESS FROM THE DOOR

MARK ON THE ROUTING SLIP!
ONLY IF ROUTE ID is 1 = from RANDOM ROUTE  
ASK FOCUSED ENUMERATION ONLY AT RANDOM ROUTE ADDRESSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>F1. Exist</th>
<th>F2. Roma?</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FE1</td>
<td>a) First accomodation/house/door to the right</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE2</td>
<td>b) Second accomodation/house/door to the right</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE3</td>
<td>c) First accomodation/house/door to the left</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE4</td>
<td>d) Second accomodation/house/door to the left</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE5</td>
<td>e) The flat above</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE6</td>
<td>f) The flat below</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 9.6. Survey questionnaire for interviews with non-Roma

**A. ADULT HOUSEHOLD GRID – ALL PERSONS 16 YEARS AND OLDER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id. Nr</th>
<th>START WITH THE RESPONDENT, CONTINUE FROM THE OLDEST TO THE YOUNGEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Relationship to the respondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>How old is he/she? (INTERVIEWER: Completed age at the last birthday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Marital status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>In which country was she/he born?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>What is her/his ethnic background?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>How would you describe his/her current job situation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>Can she/he read and write?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>What is the highest education level she/he has attained? ONE CODE ONLY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENTER RESPONDENT IN FIRST ROW**

- **Id. Nr**: Start with the respondent, continue from the oldest to the youngest.
- **Relationship to the respondent**:
  - 01 Wife/Husband/Cohabiting partner
  - 02 Son/Daughter
  - 03 Son/Daughter in law
  - 04 Grandchild
  - 05 Niece/Nephew
  - 06 Father/Mother
  - 07 Father/Mother-in-law
  - 08 Brother/Sister
  - 09 Brother/Sister-in-law
  - 10 Grandparents
  - 11 Other relative
  - 12 Other non-relative

- **Gender**:
  - 1 Male
  - 2 Female

- **Age (in years)**:
  - 96 Refusal
  - 97 Does not understand question
  - 98 NA
  - 99 DK

- **Marital status**:
  - 01 Married (legal)
  - 02 Married (traditional)
  - 03 Divorced
  - 04 Separated
  - 05 Widowed, partner died
  - 06 Cohabiting partner
  - 07 Single
  - 96 Refusal
  - 97 Does not understand question
  - 98 NA
  - 99 DK

- **Birth country**:
  - 01 Respondent NOT born in [COUNTRY]
  - 02 Respondent born in [COUNTRY]

- **Ethnic background**:
  - 01 Majority ethnic group
  - 02 Other
  - 03 Other

- **Educational level**:
  - 01 No formal education
  - 02 Incomplete primary
  - 03 Complete primary
  - 04 Incomplete secondary school
  - 05 Complete secondary school
  - 06 Incomplete vocational education
  - 07 Complete vocational school
  - 08 Post-secondary education
  - 09 College/university\(\) higher academic education
  - 10 Other
  - 96 Refusal
  - 97 Does not understand question
  - 98 NA
  - 99 DK

**ENTER RESPONDENT IN FIRST NAME ONLY**

- **Relationship to the respondent**:
  - 01 Wife/Husband/Cohabiting partner
  - 02 Son/Daughter
  - 03 Son/Daughter in law
  - 04 Grandchild
  - 05 Niece/Nephew
  - 06 Father/Mother
  - 07 Father/Mother-in-law
  - 08 Brother/Sister
  - 09 Brother/sister-in-law
  - 10 Grandparents
  - 11 Other relative
  - 12 Other non-relative

- **Gender**:
  - 1 Male
  - 2 Female

- **Age (in years)**:
  - 96 Refusal
  - 97 Does not understand question
  - 98 NA
  - 99 DK

- **Marital status**:
  - 01 Married (legal)
  - 02 Married (traditional)
  - 03 Divorced
  - 04 Separated
  - 05 Widowed, partner died
  - 06 Cohabiting partner
  - 07 Single
  - 96 Refusal
  - 97 Does not understand question
  - 98 NA
  - 99 DK

- **Marital status**:
  - 01 Married (legal)
  - 02 Married (traditional)
  - 03 Divorced
  - 04 Separated
  - 05 Widowed, partner died
  - 06 Cohabiting partner
  - 07 Single
  - 96 Refusal
  - 97 Does not understand question
  - 98 NA
  - 99 DK

**M9. In case there was other informant than the respondent who helped filling in the Household Grid (section A and B), please circle the ID of the MAIN informant in table above (CIRCLE ONLY ONE PERSON)**

**INTERVIEWER VERIFY:**

**TECHNICAL VARIABLE A6**

- A6 is other than 1 Respondent NOT born in [COUNTRY] \(\) \(\)

**TECHNICAL VARIABLE A6a**

- Respondent’s activity status: \(\) \(\)

**TECHNICAL VARIABLE A7a**

- A7a is 11, Respondent in school \(\) \(\)

**TECHNICAL VARIABLE A10a**

- A10a is other than 11, Respondent NO longer in school \(\) \(\)

**A10. JOB SITUATION CODES**

- 01 Paid work – full time
- 02 Paid work – part time
- 03 Paid work – ad hoc jobs
- 04 Self-employed
- 05 Full time home maker (looking after home/children/relatives)
- 06 Paid parental leave
- 07 Unpaid work in family business (enterprise/farm/other)
- 08 Other unpaid or voluntary work (for friends,...)
- 09 Communal work / public work scheme
- 10 Unemployed
- 11 In school/student
- 12 Vocational training/apprenticeship
- 13 Retired/too old to work
- 14 Unable to work due to long-term illness/disability
- 15 In compulsory military/community service
- 16 Not working for other reasons
- 17 Other

- 96 Refusal
- 97 Does not understand question
- 98 NA
- 99 DK
### B. CHILD HOUSEHOLD GRID - under 16 years

**START WITH THE OLDEST CONTINUE TO THE YOUNGEST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B.1 Id. Nr</th>
<th>B.2 Relationship to the respondent</th>
<th>B.3 Gender</th>
<th>B.4 How old is he/she? (INTERVIEWER: completed age at the last birthday)</th>
<th>B.6 In which country was she/he born?</th>
<th>B.9 Which education level was he/she attending this (IN SUMMER ASK: the previous) school year?</th>
<th>B.10 What is the highest education level she/he has attained so far?</th>
<th>B.12 What is/was the background of his/her classmates in school or kindergarten?</th>
<th>B.14 Has he/she ever attended kindergarten or preschool?</th>
<th>B.15 Does she/he work outside the home?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>(in years)</td>
<td>1 [COUNTRY]</td>
<td>1 [COUNTRY]</td>
<td>1 Not yet in education</td>
<td>1 No formal education</td>
<td>1 All are Roma</td>
<td>1 Yes</td>
<td>1 Yes, with the family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 Other country</td>
<td>2 Other country</td>
<td>2 Kindergarten/Preschool</td>
<td>2 Incomplete primary</td>
<td>2 Many are Roma</td>
<td>2 No</td>
<td>2 Yes, independently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Son/Daughter in law</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 Primary School</td>
<td>3 Completed primary primary</td>
<td>3 Secondary school</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
<td>3 Some are Roma</td>
<td>3 None are Roma</td>
<td>3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Grandchild</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Vocational school</td>
<td>4 Incomplete secondary school</td>
<td>4 Temporarily not in school / skipped the year</td>
<td>4 Complete secondary school</td>
<td>3 None are Roma</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
<td>3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Niece/Nephew</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 Kindergarten/Preschool</td>
<td>5 Completed secondary school</td>
<td>5 Skipped the year</td>
<td>5 Completed vocational school</td>
<td>3 Some are Roma</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
<td>3 None are Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Brother/Sister</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 Completed primary primary</td>
<td>6 Complete secondary school</td>
<td>6 Other</td>
<td>6 Complete vocational school</td>
<td>3 Some are Roma</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
<td>3 None are Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Brother/sister-in-law</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 Stopped school completely</td>
<td>7 Temporary</td>
<td>7 Other</td>
<td>7 Skipped the year</td>
<td>3 Some are Roma</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
<td>3 None are Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Other relative</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 Working</td>
<td>8 Complete primary secondary school</td>
<td>8 Other</td>
<td>8 Completed vocational school</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
<td>3 None are Roma</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Other non-relative</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 NA</td>
<td>9 Working</td>
<td>9 Other</td>
<td>9 Completed vocational school</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
<td>3 None are Roma</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Refusal</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Working</td>
<td>10 NA</td>
<td>10 Other</td>
<td>10 Completed vocational school</td>
<td>3 None are Roma</td>
<td>3 Mixed</td>
<td>3 None are Roma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WRITE IN First Name only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>01 Wife/Husband/Co-habiting partner</th>
<th>02 Son/Daughter</th>
<th>03 Son/Daughter-in-law</th>
<th>04 Grandchild</th>
<th>05 Niece/Nephew</th>
<th>08 Brother/Sister</th>
<th>09 Brother/sister-in-law</th>
<th>11 Other relative</th>
<th>12 Other non-relative</th>
<th>96 Refusal</th>
<th>97 Does not understand question</th>
<th>98 NA</th>
<th>99 DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01 Wife/Husband/Co-habiting partner</td>
<td>02 Son/Daughter</td>
<td>03 Son/Daughter-in-law</td>
<td>04 Grandchild</td>
<td>05 Niece/Nephew</td>
<td>08 Brother/Sister</td>
<td>09 Brother/sister-in-law</td>
<td>11 Other relative</td>
<td>12 Other non-relative</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question</td>
<td>98 NA</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>01 Wife/Husband/Co-habiting partner</td>
<td>02 Son/Daughter</td>
<td>03 Son/Daughter-in-law</td>
<td>04 Grandchild</td>
<td>05 Niece/Nephew</td>
<td>08 Brother/Sister</td>
<td>09 Brother/sister-in-law</td>
<td>11 Other relative</td>
<td>12 Other non-relative</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question</td>
<td>98 NA</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>01 Wife/Husband/Co-habiting partner</td>
<td>02 Son/Daughter</td>
<td>03 Son/Daughter-in-law</td>
<td>04 Grandchild</td>
<td>05 Niece/Nephew</td>
<td>08 Brother/Sister</td>
<td>09 Brother/sister-in-law</td>
<td>11 Other relative</td>
<td>12 Other non-relative</td>
<td>96 Refusal</td>
<td>97 Does not understand question</td>
<td>98 NA</td>
<td>99 DK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Start with the oldest child
MAIN QUESTIONNAIRE

Please imagine a ladder with steps numbered from zero at the bottom to ten at the top. Suppose we say that the top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you, and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. (Read A-B)

W1.A. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time, assuming that the higher the step the better you feel about your life, and the lower the step the worse you feel about it? Which step comes closest to the way you feel?

10 Best possible life
 09
 08
 07
 06
 05
 04
 03
 02
 01
 00 Worst possible life

98 (DK)
99 (Refusal)

W2.B. Just your best guess, on which step do you think you will stand in the future, say about five years from now?

10 Best possible life
 09
 08
 07
 06
 05
 04
 03
 02
 01
 00 Worst possible life

98 (DK)
99 (Refusal)

Thank you for your cooperation. I would now like to ask some questions about the area where you are currently living and about your accommodation.
C. NEIGHBOURHOOD

ASK ALL

C1. How long have you been living here in this neighbourhood?

01 Less than 3 months
02 3 months to less than 6 months
03 6 months to less than 12 months
04 1 to 5 years
05 More than 5 years but not always
06 Born here

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF C1 = 01 OR 02 OR 03 OR 04

C3. When you moved here, did you receive any help in finding accommodation from the State, Local Government, etc.?

1 Yes
2 No, although you wanted or needed some help
3 No, but you did not want any help

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ALL

C4. Could you please tell me if in the past 5 years (or since you have lived in this neighbourhood) the following things have improved, stayed the same or got worse in your neighbourhood?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>READ OUT</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Stayed the same</th>
<th>Got worse</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Neighbourhood in general</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Roads and pavements</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Public buildings e.g. schools, hospitals, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Private or public housing estates/houses/apartments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Sewage systems/drains</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Electricity/gas supplies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Public transport</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Drinking water system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

ASK ALL

D2. How many rooms are there in your accommodation to be used by your household only? Do not count the kitchen, the corridor, the bathroom and rooms rented out or used by persons who do not belong to your household.

Number of rooms: [___][___]

95 Caravan, tent, mobile home without separating walls
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK ALL

D3. Does your accommodation have any of the following ...?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Piped water inside</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Toilet inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Latrine/toilet outside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Kitchen inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Shower or bathroom inside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Connection to the sewerage system or waste water tank</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Electricity supply</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Any kind of heating facility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASK ALL

D4. Who owns the accommodation you are living in now?

[ONLY ONE ANSWER IS POSSIBLE]

01 A member of your household or family
02 Private ownership (by an unrelated person or company)
03 Municipal/state ownership
04 Housing project of an NGO/church, etc....
05 Unknown ownership
06 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
### Roma Pilot Survey

**ASK ALL**

**D5.** Thinking about the past 5 years, (or since you have lived in this accommodation) have you ever had problems with, for instance, a leaky roof, damp walls, the plumbing system, the electric wiring, vermin, etc. ...?

|   |   |  
|---|---|---|
| 1 Yes | GO TO D6 |   |
| 2 No  | GO TO D7 |   |
| 6 Refusal | GO TO D7 |   |
| 7 Does not understand question | GO TO D7 |   |
| 8 NA | GO TO D7 |   |
| 9 DK | GO TO D7 |   |

**ASK IF YES TO D5**

**D6.** Were you able to get these things repaired or repair them yourself?

|   |   |  
|---|---|---|
| 1 Yes, all of them | GO TO D8 |   |
| 2 Yes, most of them | GO TO D8 |   |
| 3 Yes, some of them | GO TO D8 |   |
| 4 No, none | GO TO D7 |   |
| 6 Refusal | GO TO D7 |   |
| 7 Does not understand question | GO TO D7 |   |
| 8 NA | GO TO D7 |   |
| 9 DK | GO TO D7 |   |

**D7.** And thinking about the past 5 years, (or since you have lived in this accommodation) have you carried out any bigger renovations or improvements to this accommodation?

|   |   |  
|---|---|---|
| 1 Yes |   |   |
| 2 No |   |   |
| 6 Refusal |   |   |
| 7 Does not understand question |   |   |
| 8 NA |   |   |
| 9 DK |   |   |

**ASK IF D6=1, 2 OR 3 OR D7 = 1**

**D8.** Did you receive any financial or other help to carry out these repairs or renovations from any source other than from your family or friends? For instance: local government authority, NGOs, ...?

|   |   |  
|---|---|---|
| 1 Yes |   |   |
| 2 No |   |   |
| 6 Refusal |   |   |
| 7 Does not understand question |   |   |
| 8 NA |   |   |
| 9 DK |   |   |
### E. ECONOMIC SITUATION OF THE HOUSEHOLD

**ASK ALL**

**E1.** I am now going to read some items a household can possess. Could you tell me whether your household has it in functioning order or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Radio receiver</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Colour TV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Bicycle or motorbike</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Car/van for private use</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Horse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Computer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Internet connection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Mobile phone or landline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Washing machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Bed for each household member including infants</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) 30 or more books (including text books)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) Power generator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ASK ALL**

**E2.** Approximately how much did your household spend last month on each of the following items? Please consider all the people who live in your household!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount in €/local currency</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Food, everyday household goods, e.g. hygienic products, detergents</td>
<td>.........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Housing (rent, mortgage and public utilities, water, electricity, telephone)</td>
<td>.........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Clothes (incl. shoes)]</td>
<td>.........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Paying back loans/instalments</td>
<td>.........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Education (including transport, fees, books etc. )</td>
<td>.........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Medicines and medical services</td>
<td>.........................</td>
<td>96666</td>
<td>97777</td>
<td>98888</td>
<td>99999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERVIEWER! PLEASE CALCULATE A ROUGH TOTAL AND COMPARE WITH ANSWER TO NEXT QUESTION FOR CORRESPONDENCE**
ASK ALL

INTERVIEWER! PLEASE EMPHASISE THAT THE TIMEFRAME IN THIS QUESTION IS THE PAST HALF YEAR, THE RESPONDENT SHOULD ESTIMATE AN AVERAGE

E3. Thinking about the last 6 months, roughly how much money has your household had to live on each month?
WRITE IN THE FULL AMOUNT IN NUMBERS:

.................................................................................................................. [CURRENCY]

INTERVIEWER! IF RESPONDENT IS RELUCTANT TO GIVE AN EXACT AMOUNT, PLEASE OFFER THE CATEGORIES BELOW

01 0 – 100 EURO
02 101 – 200 EURO
03 201 – 300 EURO
04 301 – 400 EURO
05 401 – 500 EURO
06 501 – 700 EURO
07 701 – 1,000 EURO
08 1,001 – 2,000 EURO
09 2,001 – 3,000 EURO
10 3,001 and more EURO

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK ALL

E4. Which of the following best describes how your household is keeping up with all its bills, credits, and payments at present?

1 You are keeping up without any difficulties
2 You are keeping up but struggle to do so from time to time
3 You are keeping up but it is a constant struggle.
4 You have fallen behind with some payments.
5 You have fallen behind with many payments.

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
ASK ALL

E5. In the past month, did you or anyone in the household ever go to bed hungry because there was not enough money for food?

1 Never
2 Once
3 A few times (2–3)
4 Several times (4 or more)

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

F. EMPLOYMENT

INTERVIEWER: VERIFY THE VALUE OF TECHNICAL VARIABLE A10A (JOB STATUS) AT HH TABLE

ASK ALL

F1. When you reach pensionable age, will you be entitled to money from a private or state pension to live off?

INTERVIEWER: IF PERSON ALREADY OLD, ASK IN PRESENT TENSE

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ONLY IF CODE IN A10A IS NOT 10, IF A10A= 10 SKIP TO F3 DIRECTLY

F2. In the past 5 years (or since you have been in [COUNTRY]) have you ever been without regular paid work even though you wanted to work and were looking for a job?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
ASK IF F2 = 1 OR A10A = 10; ELSE SKIP TO G1

F3. Thinking about your most recent experience of being without regular paid work, for how long did it last?
IF CURRENTLY UNEMPLOYED, READ: How long have you been without regular paid work?

|__|__| years |__|__| months

95 Never had any employment  
96 Refusal  
97 Does not understand question  
98 NA  
99 DK

ASK IF F2 = 1 OR A10A = 10

F4. (When you were unemployed), did you receive any help in finding a job from the State, Local Government, [LOCAL NAME FOR LABOUR OFFICE] etc.?

1 Yes  
2 No

6 Refusal  
7 Does not understand question  
8 NA  
9 DK

ASK IF A10A = 10

F5. Are you currently registered as unemployed at any administrative office?

1 Yes  
2 No

6 Refusal  
7 Does not understand question  
8 NA  
9 DK
G. MIGRATION - MOBILITY

VERIFY WITH TECHNICAL VARIABLE A6 AT HH TABLE,
If NOT born in [COUNTRY] > GO TO G1

VERIFY WITH TECHNICAL VARIABLE A6 AT HH TABLE,
If born in [COUNTRY] > GO TO G6

ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY]

G1. What country did you live in immediately before moving to [COUNTRY]?

WRITE IN:

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY]

G2. What were your reasons for moving to [COUNTRY]?

DO NOT READ OUT CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 Better chances of finding employment
02 Better pay/better working conditions
03 Better education for children/improve own education or qualification
04 Better living conditions social and healthcare system/political situation
05 To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married
06 Less discrimination/racism
07 Other
08 None
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY]

G3. While living in [COUNTRY], have you tried to do any of the following: register where you live, apply for a work permit, enrol your child/children in school/kindergarten or apply for government-assisted housing?

1 Yes GO TO G4
2 No GO TO G5
6 Refusal GO TO G5
7 Does not understand question GO TO G5
8 NA GO TO G5
9 DK GO TO G5
**ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY] AND G3=1**

**G4.** When trying to do any of these things, did you receive any assistance from the State, Local Government or any other official person?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

**ASK IF NOT BORN IN [COUNTRY]**

**G5.** When did you move to [COUNTRY]? If you can, please give me the month and the year.

| ___ | ___ | ___ | ___ | year | ___ | ___ | month |

9600 Refusal
9700 Does not understand question
9800 NA
9900 DK

ALL IN G5 GO TO G13

**ASK IF BORN IN [COUNTRY]**

**G6.** Have you personally ever lived in a country other than [COUNTRY]? (excludes brief visits)

1 Yes GO TO G7
2 No GO TO G13

3 Don’t remember GO TO G13
6 Refusal GO TO G13
7 Does not understand question GO TO G13
8 NA GO TO G13
9 DK GO TO G13

**ASK IF G6=1; ELSE GO TO G13**

**G7.** Apart from [COUNTRY], what country did you last live in?

WRITE IN:

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
G8. How long did you stay in [DESTINATION COUNTRY as in G7]?

1. Less than 3 months
2. 3 months to less than 1 year
3. 1 year to less than 2 years
4. 2 years to less than 5 years
5. 5 years or more

6. Refusal
7. Does not understand question
8. NA
9. DK

G9. When did you come back to [COUNTRY]? If you can, please tell me the month and the year.

|__||__||__||__| year   |__||__| month

9600 Refusal
9700 Does not understand question
9800 NA
9900 DK

G10. What were your reasons for moving to [DESTINATION COUNTRY]?

DO NOT READ OUT CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 Better chances of finding employment
02 Better pay/better working conditions
03 Better education for children/improve own education or qualification
04 Better living conditions/social and healthcare system/political situation
05 To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married
06 Less discrimination/racism
07 Other
08 None
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
ASK IF BORN IN [COUNTRY] AND G6=1

G11. While living in [DESTINATION COUNTRY], did you try to do any of the following: register where you live, apply for a work permit, enrol your child/children in school/kindergarten or apply for government assisted housing?

1 Yes  GO TO G12
2 No  GO TO G13
6 Refusal  GO TO G13
7 Does not understand question  GO TO G13
8 NA  GO TO G13
9 DK  GO TO G13

ASK IF G11=1

G12. When trying to do any of these things, did you receive any assistance from the State, Local Government or any other official person?

1 Yes
2 No
6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK ALL

G13. Would you consider moving (again) to another country in future?

1 Yes  GO TO G14
2 No  GO TO H1
6 Refusal  GO TO H1
7 Does not understand question  GO TO H1
8 NA  GO TO H1
9 DK  GO TO H1

ASK IF G13 = 1

G14. What country would you consider moving to?
WRITE IN:

95 Country of birth
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
ASK IF G13=1

G15. Realistically, how soon would you consider moving there?

1 In less than 6 months
2 In 6 to 12 months
3 In more than a year
4 I’ll never move there

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF G13=1

G16. What would be your reasons for moving to this particular country?

DO NOT READ OUT CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 Better chances of finding employment
02 Better pay/better working conditions
03 Better education for children/improve own education or qualification
04 Better living conditions/social and healthcare system/political situation
05 To join or accompany parents/family members/spouse/to get married
06 Less discrimination/racism
07 Other
06 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
H. EDUCATION

INTERVIEWER VERIFY WITH TECHNICAL VARIABLE A10B AT HH TABLE

- Respondent no longer in school - activity status in A10B is NOT 11 === > ASK H1
- Respondent in school - activity status in A10B=11 =========== > GO TO I1

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H1. At what age did you finish or leave school?

|__|__| years of age

94 Never been in education
96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H4. You said that your highest level of education was: [CODE IN A12]. Did you obtain any professional qualification(s) after finishing/leaving school?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

ASK IF NO LONGER IN SCHOOL

H5. During the past 5 years (or since you have lived in the country), have you ever been offered [IN COUNTRY] the opportunity to continue your education by the State, local government etc. ... ?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
I. HEALTH

ASK ALL

1. Do you have any form of medical insurance in [COUNTRY]? (Cite national examples)
   
   1 Yes
   2 No
   
   6 Refusal
   7 Does not understand question
   8 NA
   9 DK

ASK ALL

2. How is your health in general?

   1 Very good
   2 Good
   3 Fair
   4 Bad
   5 Very bad
   
   6 Refusal
   7 Does not understand question
   8 NA
   9 DK

ASK ALL

3. Do you have any complaints, injuries or diseases that limit your everyday activities, keeping you from doing such things as working, shopping, managing your life or keeping contact with other people?

   1 Yes I do, I am severely limited in my daily activities
   2 Yes I do, I am moderately limited in my daily activities
   3 No, I do not
   
   6 Refusal
   7 Does not understand question
   8 NA
   9 DK
**ASK ALL**

I4. Was there any time during the last 12 months when you personally really needed a medical examination or treatment for a health problem?

1 Yes  GO TO I5
2 No  GO TO J1
6 Refusal  GO TO J1
7 Does not understand question  GO TO J1
8 NA  GO TO J1
9 DK  GO TO J1

**ASK IF I4=1, ELSE GO TO J1**

I5. Thinking of the last time, did you get the medical assistance that you needed?

1 Yes  GO TO J1
2 No  GO TO I6
6 Refusal  GO TO J1
7 Does not understand question  GO TO J1
8 NA  GO TO J1
9 DK  GO TO J1

**ASK IF I5=2, ELSE GO TO J1**

I6. Why not?

DO NOT READ OUT – CODE UP TO THREE ANSWERS

01 The examination/treatment / medication is too expensive/no coverage
02 Length of the waiting list for the treatment/examination
03 Could not get time off work
04 Could not go due to family matters (taking care of children)
05 Too far away, had no way how to get there, travel expensive
06 Was afraid of the doctor/hospital/examination/treatment
07 Wanted to wait to see if the problem solves on its own
08 Did not know good doctor/specialist
09 Went for help to other people (e.g. alternative healer, etc.)
10 Treatment was refused by service provider/insurance company
11 No official papers
13 Other

96 Refusal
97 Does not understand question
98 NA
99 DK
J. GENERAL DISCRIMINATION – RIGHTS AWARENESS

I would like to ask you a few questions about discrimination. By discrimination we mean when someone is treated less favourably than others because of a specific personal characteristic, such as age, gender or minority background.

When you think about discrimination, please tell me only about those situations when people treated you badly or unfairly specifically because of your personal characteristic and not because the other persons were generally rude or unfair.

I really want to talk only about experiences you personally had and in this country only.

J1. In the past 12 months (or since you have been in the country) have you personally felt discriminated against in [COUNTRY] on the basis of one or more of the following grounds? Please tell me all that apply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ground</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK/No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Because of your ethnicity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Because you are a man/woman</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Because of your age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Because of your disability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) For another reason</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now I will be asking about the past 5 years (or since you have been in the country, if this is less than 5 years). I would like to first clarify if you have ever been – during the past 5 years – in any of the situations I will list, IN THIS COUNTRY. Next, I will ask you to tell me whether or not you have been discriminated against in any of these situations. Again, we are only interested in events that occurred in [COUNTRY].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J2. Over the past 5 years (or since you are in the country, if less than 5 years) did you ever in [COUNTRY] ...</th>
<th>ASK IF J2 = 1.</th>
<th>J3. Because of ethnicity</th>
<th>J4. Because of other reasons (gender, age, disability, etc.)</th>
<th>ASK IF J3 = 1.</th>
<th>J5. Thinking about the last time this happened, when was this: in the last twelve months or before then?</th>
<th>ASK IF J5 = 1.</th>
<th>J6. Please try to remember THE LAST TIME you were discriminated against. Did you or anyone ELSE report this incident anywhere?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Yes</td>
<td>1 – Yes</td>
<td>1 – Yes</td>
<td>1 – Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – No</td>
<td>2 – No</td>
<td>2 – No</td>
<td>2 – No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 – Does not understand question</td>
<td>7 – Does not understand question</td>
<td>7 – Does not understand question</td>
<td>7 – Does not understand question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 – Refusal</td>
<td>8 – Refusal</td>
<td>8 – Refusal</td>
<td>8 – Refusal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td>9 – DK/no opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. ... looked for paid work?</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. ... had a job?</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 2 7 8 9 1 2 7 8 9
J7. What do you think, is there a law in [COUNTRY] that forbids discrimination against ethnic minority people when applying for a job?

1 Yes
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

J8. Do you know of any organisation in [COUNTRY] that can offer support or advice to people who have been discriminated against?

1 Yes,
2 No

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

K. INTEGRATION

K1. In your opinion, how much do Roma and non-Roma people socialise with each other in your neighbourhood? For example, do they go to the same cafés or do they chat with each other at shops or in markets?

1 All the time
2 A lot
3 Sometimes
4 Never

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK

K2. And what about you personally? Do you socialise with Roma people in your neighbourhood? For example, do you go to the same cafés or do you chat with Roma people at the market or when out shopping?

1 All the time
2 A lot
3 Sometimes
4 Never

6 Refusal
7 Does not understand question
8 NA
9 DK
L. ACTIVE CITIZEN/TRUST

ASK IF **AGE 18** or more

L5. Did you vote in the last...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Was not allowed to/entitled to</th>
<th>Was out of the country</th>
<th>Refusal</th>
<th>Does not understand question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National elections in this country?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local elections in this country?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European elections?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M. POST INTERVIEW

INTERVIEWER NOTE DOWN THE FOLLOWING DETAILS AFTER INTERVIEW – DO NOT ASK

Please note down the street, and district:

1) **NAME OF THE CITY:**

2) **STREET NAME:**

3) **DISTRICT:**

M1. Where does the household live?

   1 Capital
   2 City/big town
   3 Outskirts/suburbs of big cities and towns
   4 Small town
   5 Village/rural area
   6 Fixed encampment for travellers
   7 Temporary encampment for travellers
   8 Other

M2. Is the household living in an illegal settlement?

   1 Yes
   2 No
   9 DK

M3. Is the household living in an area that is segregated from the rest of the settlement/town/village?

   1 Yes
   2 No
   9 DK

M4. Was the neighbourhood predominantly a...?

   1 Roma neighbourhood
   2 Majority neighbourhood
   3 Mixed neighbourhood
   9 DK
M5. **How would you describe the place where the respondent lives?**

1. Apartment in block of flats
2. New house in good condition
3. Older house in relatively good condition
4. Ruined house or slum
5. Mobile home/caravan
6. Other (specify) ………………………………

M6. **How does this neighbourhood rate compared to others nearby?**

1. Better than others
2. Same as others
3. Worse than others
4. Not applicable (separate location)

M7. **Please rate the language proficiency of the respondent in the national language.**

1. Fluent, without foreign sounding accent
2. Fluent, with foreign sounding accent
3. Less than fluent

M8. **Was the respondent alone throughout the interview?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Mixed
4. DK

M9. **Was there any informant other than the respondent referred to in the Household Grid (Section A and B)?**

1. Yes, please insert the ID of the (main) informant from the HH grid: ______________________
   *(ONE CODE ONLY)*
2. No

M10. **Was the respondent cooperative throughout the survey?**

1. Very much
2. Somewhat
3. Not really

M11. **Did the respondent appear to have any difficulty answering the questions?**

[MARK ALL THAT APPLY]

1. Yes – language wise
2. Yes – because of the nature of questions
3. Yes – other reasons
4. No

M12. **Did you have to use the non [NATIONAL LANGUAGE] questionnaire variant to clarify questions? Which one?**

1. Yes: ________________________________________________
2. No
M13. Interviewer - please indicate if you’re male or female

1 Male
2 Female

M14. Interviewer - please indicate your ethnic background

1 Ethnic [NATIONAL]
2 Minority, matching the respondent group
3 Other non-[NATIONAL]

QUESTIONNAIRE ENDED AT (hh/mm): ................../................

FE0. Instruction for Focused Enumeration

ASK THE FOCUSED ENUMERATION SEGMENT IF THE CURRENT CONTACT IS WITHIN THE PRIMARY RANDOM ROUTE SAMPLE

DO NOT ASK. IF THE HOUSEHOLD WAS RECRUITED VIA FOCUSED ENUMERATION!
DO NOT ASK IF YOU ROMA QUOTA IS COMPLETED!

IN THESE CASES SKIP TO MAIN INTERVIEW, OR PROCEED WITH RANDOM ROUTE

FE. FOCUSED ENUMERATION

FE1. As you already know, for this survey we are very interested in interviewing Roma people who are difficult to reach for survey research and rarely have a chance to answer survey questions. I would like to ask for your help in finding such people from among your neighbours so they have a chance to take part in our survey. I want to assure you again that any information we collect will only be used for the purposes of the survey and not for any other purposes. Your answers or any information you give will remain completely confidential and anonymous.

IF IN A SUBURB/TOWNHOUSE AREA:

Please think of your immediate neighbours – those two houses to the left and those two houses to the right of where you live.

IF IN A MULTI-STORY APARTMENT BUILDING:

Please think of your immediate neighbours on your floor – those two doors to the right and those two doors to the left, or fewer if there aren’t that many – as well as the apartments immediately above and below yours.

Before we proceed, please look at this card, and tell me whether you actually have any neighbours at all.

SHOW CARD – RECORD IN THE FOCUSED ENUMERATION TABLE ON THE ROUTING SLIP

FE2. Thinking only of these apartments or houses are any of these neighbours Roma? If yes, in which apartments/houses?

RECORD FOR EACH HOUSEHOLD MENTIONED IN FE2. IN THE COMMENT FIELD

COMMENTS: We would like to ask these people about their experiences living in this country. Can I confirm their name and address with you, just to make sure that I can find them and that I do not mix anything up?

WHERE IT IS EASILY PROVIDED BY THE REFERRER, IF POSSIBLE, WRITE NAMES FOR EACH ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLD, OTHERWISE, CHECK THE OTHERS AFTER THE INTERVIEW, AND TRY TO RECORD NAME AND ADDRESS FROM THE DOOR

MARK ON THE ROUTING SLIP!
ONLY IF ROUTE ID is 1 = from RANDOM ROUTE
ASK FOCUSED ENUMERATION ONLY AT RANDOM ROUTE ADDRESSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>F1. Exist</th>
<th>F2. Roma?</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FE1</td>
<td>a) First accommodation/house/door to the right</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE2</td>
<td>b) Second accommodation/house/door to the right</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE3</td>
<td>c) First accommodation/house/door to the left</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE4</td>
<td>d) Second accommodation/house/door to the left</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE5</td>
<td>e) The flat above</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE6</td>
<td>f) The flat below</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td>1-yes 2-no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A great deal of information on the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the FRA website at fra.europa.eu.
This Technical report describes how the FRA Roma Pilot survey was carried out and the fieldwork outcomes in 11 EU Member States. The survey methodology FRA developed, in consultation with experts and other partners, should serve as an example to EU Member States and other researchers on sampling and interviewing Roma. The methodology can be used to collect better evidence on the situation of Roma and to support EU and Member State policy making.