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Foreword

[1]. This report was written by Alexandre Sousa Pinheiro (Senior Expert), Dinamene de Freitas (Expert) and Inês Marinho (Expert) over a three-month period (December 2007, January and February 2008).

[2]. Based on the Guidelines drawn up by FRA experts, the main objective was to find answers to all questions posed.

[3]. The first step was to attend a meeting with an ILGA-Portugal representative, who supplied us with important information about the LGBT community in Portugal and studies of the community’s typical concerns.

[4]. Next, other LGBT associations and supporters were contacted by mail, e-mail or telephone. Some did not respond.

[5]. Research of LGBT Internet sites provided a better understanding of the issue.

[6]. Administrative bodies consulted were:

- *Ordem dos Médicos* [Doctors’ Public Association];
- *Comissão para a Igualdade no Trabalho e Emprego* (CITE) [Commission for Equality in Labour and Work (CELW)];
- *Comissão para a Cidadania e Igualdade de Género* (CIG) [Commission for Citizenship and Gender Equality (CCGE)];
- *Instituto dos Registos e Notariado* [Institute for Public Registers];
- *Servço de Estrangeiros e Fronteiras* [Office of Foreigners and Boundaries] (OFPB);
- *Direcção-Geral da Política de Justiça* [General Direction of the Policy of Justice];
- *Autoridade para as Condições de Trabalho* [Authority on Labour Conditions – Inspector General of Labour];
- CGTP-IN (Trade Union);
- UGT (Trade Union).

[7]. The data collected from those bodies were, in almost every case, useless because of the absence of figures.

[8]. In the legal field, the paper aims to be exhaustive, taking into consideration Portuguese legislation and EU and International Law.
[9]. Regarding case law, there are not many examples of Court decisions in Portugal. This is why we have included some decisions made before 2000. Decisions concerning same-sex marriage and homosexual relationships between adult males and adolescent males constitute true landmarks in the recent history of Fundamental Rights in Portugal.
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Executive summary

[10]. During the course of this survey, it proved very difficult to find sources, other than legal ones, relevant to analysing the problem of homophobia and discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation.

[11]. It was practically impossible to find reliable statistics issued by the Public Administration or by private associations. There are three main reasons for this:

- LGBTs do not advertise their sexual orientation (whereas racial discrimination is based on visible skin differences);
- the lack of an official service or body dealing with this kind of discrimination;
- the difficulty of defining valid criteria for collecting and classifying special data concerning sexual orientation.

[12]. In fact, a global policy involving LGBTs can only be carried out with some degree of accuracy if quantitative data exists. To obtain such data, the principles of data protection must be strictly respected.

[13]. Sexual orientation has been enshrined in the Portuguese Constitution since 2004. Article 13 states: ‘Every citizen shall possess the same social dignity and shall be equal before the law’. Section 2 of the Article ensures that: ‘No one shall be privileged, favoured, prejudiced, deprived of any right or exempted from any duty on the basis of ancestry, sex, race, language, place of origin, religion, political or ideological beliefs, education, economic situation, social circumstances or sexual orientation’. Thus, in accordance with the principle of equality, citizens cannot be discriminated against on the basis of sexual orientation.

[14]. In spite of the 2004 constitutional provision, recent legal measures continue to prohibit LGBTs from exercising rights such as:

- adoption by same-sex de facto unions;
- assisted procreation;
- acceptance of children at risk.

[15]. The 2004 amendment was insufficient to create a new administrative approach to the issue of sexual orientation. In fact, no public body was created with power to investigate charges and apply fines and other punitive measures.

[16]. It is fair to say that the promotion of the ‘European Year of Equal Opportunities for All’, during the period of the Portuguese Presidency, was crucial to shedding light on problems faced by LGBTs.
Implementation of employment Directive 2000/78/EC

[17]. Directive 2000/78/CE was transposed by the Labour Code and by Lei 35/2004. These instruments are only applied to private contracts. However, the provisions on equality, contained in these instruments, are immediately applied to Public Employment as well.

[18]. Non-discrimination with respect to sexual orientation is expressly guaranteed by the Portuguese Legal System in the field of labour law.

[19]. In this area there is no equality body empowered to investigate charges and establish penalties for reasons of discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation. However, the President of the Commission for Citizenship and Gender Equality is publicly committed to resolving this institutional omission.

[20]. In Portugal, it is not possible for public bodies or private associations to act in court on behalf of an LGBT who has suffered any kind of discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation.

Freedom of movement

[21]. In terms of freedom of movement, Directive 2004/38/EC was transposed by Lei 37/2006. According to this law, freedom of movement is guaranteed to members of de facto unions, and Portuguese law allows same-sex de facto unions.

[22]. Portuguese law makes no reference to family members of a Third State national who lives in de facto union with an EU citizen.

Asylum and subsidiary protection

[23]. Asylum laws make no explicit reference to people persecuted for reasons of sexual orientation. However, the case of LGBTs can be covered by the section referring to the persecution of a ‘special social group’.
Family reunification

[24]. In terms of family reunification, the law does not make specific reference to LGBTs. Nevertheless, they are covered by the law in general terms.

Freedom of assembly

[25]. Although the Portuguese law governing freedom of assembly dates back to 1974, it does not forbid LGBT parades or demonstrations.

Criminal law

[26]. Portuguese Criminal Code is completely neutral in terms of sexual orientation, whereas in the past, when LGBTs committed certain crimes (of a sexual nature), the sentences they received were particularly harsh.

[27]. The Criminal Code now considers the publishing and distribution of material designed to offend LGBTs as Hate Crimes.

[28]. In the cases of violent crimes committed against victims on the basis of their sexual orientation, this factor contributes to increase punishments.

Transgender issues

[29]. In Portugal a law regarding gender identity does not exist. Thus the Doctors’ Public Association and the courts were forced to elaborate a system of rules applicable to transgender individuals.

Miscellaneous


[31]. The most debated issue these days involving sexual orientation centres on same-sex marriage. In fact, the Constitutional Court will soon issue a decision on this.
1. Implementation of Employment Directive 2000/78/EC

[32]. The Directive was transposed by the Labour Code (which came into effect in 2003) and only related to employment aspects. The Labour Code regulates the most important aspects of labour law and is applicable to private contracts. However, provisions on equality are also applied to public employment until special legislation is approved. Law 35/2004 was approved by the Parliament in order to complement the Code.

[33]. Article 22.º (1) of the Labour Code states that all workers have the same rights when applying for a job and regarding training and promotional prospects.

[34]. Article 22.º (2) reinforces (1), stipulating that no worker or applicant can benefit or be discriminated against on the basis of: family background, age, gender, sexual orientation, marital status, genetic status, genetic heritage, reduced capacity for work, disability, chronic diseases, nationality, ethnic background, religion, political or ideological conviction or trade union membership.

[35]. Article 23.º dictates that no employer can directly or indirectly discriminate against employees on the grounds of family background, age, gender, sexual orientation, marital status, genetic status, genetic heritage, reduced capacity for work, disability, chronic diseases, nationality, ethnic background, religion, political or ideological conviction or trade union membership.

[36]. According to Law 35/2004, direct discrimination is defined as any behaviour – in a situation referred to in Article 23 (1) of the Labour Code – according to which a person is, has been or will be treated less favourably than another person in a comparable situation.

[37]. Indirect discrimination means an apparently neutral provision, criterion or practice – referred to in Article 23 (1) of the Labour Code – that places a person at a particular disadvantage compared to other persons. Indirect discrimination does not exist if such provision, criterion or practice is objectively justified by a legitimate aim, and the means to achieve it are appropriate and necessary.

[38]. According to Article 32 (3) of Law 35/2004, an order or instruction intended to put any person at a disadvantage by reason of one of the grounds referred to in Article 23 (1) of the Labour Code shall be deemed as discrimination.

[39]. Law 35/2004 specifies that the right to equal opportunities and treatment regarding access to employment, professional training and promotion includes:
• selection criteria and recruitment conditions, whatever the branch of activity and at all levels of professional hierarchy;
• access to all types and levels of vocational guidance and training, including practical work experience;
• payment, promotions and criteria used in the selection of employees to be dismissed.

[40]. Concerning the employee’s privacy, the Labour Code states that the employer cannot require the employee to disclose any information regarding his/her private life, except when it is strictly necessary and relevant to evaluate the worker’s ability to perform the task.

[41]. Article 25 of the Labour Code states that legislative measures of a special temporary nature, benefiting certain disadvantaged groups and enacted to guarantee the exercise of their functions in conditions of equality and to correct a situation of factual inequality, shall not be considered discriminatory. Such disadvantaged groups include those:

• defined with reference to sex;
• with reduced working capability, disability or chronic illness;
• defined on the basis of nationality or ethnic origin.

This article does not exclude sexual orientation.

[42]. The burden of proof of discrimination is treated in Article 23 (3) of the Labour Code: if a person considers that they have been discriminated against in relation to other co-workers, that person should bring such discrimination to light by contrasting their own situation with that of co-workers. The employer has to prove that discrimination is not the cause of such differences.

[43]. The concept of victimisation does not form part of the Portuguese law. However, Law 35/2004 states that any action aimed at putting an employee at a disadvantage as a consequence of any discriminatory action shall be considered void.

[44]. There is no equality body empowered to pass judgement on charges relating to sexual orientation, nor is there any equality body or ombudsman expressly dealing with discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation.

[45]. In reference to labour and work, there is a special equality body, the Commission for Equality in Labour and Work (CELW), but its competences are restricted to gender equality, i.e., equality between women and men.¹

¹ See answers of the Ministry of Labour (Ministerio de Trabalho e da Solidariedade Social) from 25 January 2008.
Another equality body, the Commission for Citizenship and Gender Equality (CCGE), which deals with gender equality, had its powers increased in 2007 in order to include citizenship in its area of competence.

The Statute Law [Decreto-Lei n.º 164/2007 (03.05.2007)]² provided CCGE with new powers and additional areas of competence. Therefore, it is fair to say that CCGE now has a broader intervention area. (CCGE is the English acronym of CIG.)

So far there is no mention of discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation in the CCGE Statute Law,³ but according to official information,⁴ a future objective of this public body is to ensure the protection of victims of discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation.

During the Portuguese Presidency (July-December 2007), CCGE was mainly responsible for organising ‘The European Year of Equal Opportunities for All’, because of the CCGE’s background and knowledge in this field.

At the closing conference of ‘The European Year of Equal Opportunities for All’, the CCGE President made a firm commitment to address sexual orientation as part of the Commission’s work. Furthermore, the President explicitly affirmed that ‘the NGO’s that work in the area of sexual orientation will become part of the Consultative Committee of the Commission for Citizenship and Gender Equality, thus occupying an institutional space that they have never had before’.⁵

As this commitment becomes effective, the issue of sexual orientation will acquire a different status and gain new attention.

The Consultative Committee of the CCGE is a forum for discussion and a counselling body to the Portuguese Government in equality matters. This Committee is presided over by the member of the Government responsible for citizenship and gender equality issues. This is currently the Secretary of State to the Presidency of the Council of Ministers. This Committee meets three times a year and contributes to policy-making and the implementation of projects and legislation in the fields of equality and citizenship.

Based on the CCGE task mentioned above, it is now possible to offer support to victims of discrimination, including legal advice and psycho-social support. However, CCGE is not empowered to assist victims of discrimination in legal procedures or to issue penalties related to complaints. CCGE can only forward

---

³ See document 2.
⁴ This official information comes from an e-mail received from the CCGE President, which is included in the Annex.
⁵ This speech is available in English, as Discurso Dra. Elza Pais (Ing.), at: http://www.cig.gov.pt/ (15.02.2008).
these complaints to the competent authorities, but may issue opinions and recommendations (Article 2).

[54]. Portuguese law does not recognise the power of public bodies or private associations to act in court or some other official arena on behalf of persons discriminated against on the grounds of sexual orientation. The exceptions are trade unions, which can represent the interests of their members in court including in the field of discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation.

[55]. The Labour Code considers violations of the articles concerning equality very serious offences. Fines amounts in such cases vary: for natural persons, between 900 to 2,200 euros for unintentional offences, and 2,200 to 4,500 for intentional offences. Fine amounts for legal persons are higher (in euros):

- 1,800-3,500 for non-intentional offences and 4,000-8,500 for intentional offences, if the legal person’s annual income is less than 500,000;
- 2,800-7,100 for non-intentional offences and 7,600-17,000 for intentional offences, if the legal person’s annual income is 500,000-2,500,000;
- 3,700-10,700 for non-intentional offences and 10,700-25,000 for intentional offences, if the legal person’s annual income is 2,500,000-5,000,000;
- 5,000-12,500 for non-intentional offences and 13,000-35,600 for intentional offences, if the legal person’s annual income is 5,000,000-10,000,000;
- 8,000-26,700 for non-intentional offences and 26,700-53,400 for intentional offences, if the legal person’s annual income is 5,000,000-10,000,000

[56]. Only two employee complaints were brought to the attention of the trade union known by the acronym CGTP. One of the employees felt he was the victim of discrimination because of his sexual orientation, but did not present a formal complaint. The second case involved a woman whose contract was not renewed because of her sexual orientation. In order to prevent such situations from ever arising again, the trade union advocated the approval of special legislation.6

[57]. The Inspector General of Labour (the public servant in charge of investigating worker complaints)7 revealed that no statistics have ever been issued regarding complaints involving direct and indirect discrimination. In 2008, it is hoped that statistics will be provided on cases of discrimination, including those based on sexual orientation.

[58]. The NGOs contacted do not keep a record of complaints received in this area. Verbal reports were provided. Without documentary evidence, however, such information cannot be reproduced in a paper of this nature. No relevant case law exists in this area.

7 See answers from ACT (Autoridade para as condicoes do trabalho) of 6 February 2008..
2. Freedom of Movement

[59]. Directive 2004/38/CE was transposed by Lei 37/2006. According to this law, a ‘family member’ applies to, among others, the partner with whom an EU citizen lives in a de facto union or permanent relationship duly attested to by the Member State in which they reside. Freedom of movement applies to EU citizens, as well as to their family members as defined in Article 2 (e) of Lei 37/2006.

[60]. In 2001, Law 7/2001 was approved with the aim of recognising the rights of persons living in a de facto union. This law makes no distinction between opposite- and same-sex unions and is applicable to unions lasting more than two years.

[61]. According to this law, the Government should have enacted the necessary regulations to cover the remaining aspects of this law but failed to do so. One of the aspects was how to prove the existence of a de facto union.

[62]. The usual way of obtaining such proof, up to now, has been a certificate issued by the President of the ‘Junta de Freguesia’ (the smallest municipality), confirming that a same-sex couple live in the same residence (Article 1, Decreto-Lei 217/88).

[63]. Through this process, it is impossible to prove that a de facto union really exists.

[64]. Some scholars disagree with this method and maintain that there are only two ways of proving same-sex partnerships:

- through witnesses or;
- by a solemn declaration made by the partners.

[65]. In Portugal there are no same-sex registered couples, because the law does not make a provision for such a system.

[66]. In general, an EU citizen’s family members who are Third State nationals do not require a visa to enter Portugal, provided they have a residence permit issued by Portugal.

[67]. Any family member who does not hold the nationality of an EU Member State shall inform the authorities of his/her presence in Portugal, on pain of the application of penalties enshrined in the law.

[68]. Portuguese law does not mention the rights of family members of a non-national of any EU Member State in a partnership with an EU citizen.
[69]. EU citizens who have resided legally in Portugal for five years are entitled to permanent residence. These same rights are enjoyed by Third State family members who have resided legally with an EU citizen in Portugal for an equal period of time.

[70]. The EU citizen or members of their family lose this right to permanent residence only if they are away from the country for two years.

[71]. Both EU citizens and their Third State family members are entitled to the same treatment as nationals, without prejudice to EU law.

[72]. Freedom of movement by EU citizens and their family members can only be restricted for reasons of:

- public order;
- public security; and
- public health.

[73]. According to Portuguese law, same-sex marriage is not recognised; Portuguese married with an EU citizen or marriage between two EU citizens is not recognised. As a consequence they will not enjoy free movement as hard core “family members” but they may enjoy it as durable relationship. Regarding de facto unions, the situation is different. The Law 7/2001 is applicable either to EU citizens and third country partners if the legal prerequisites were filled. No relevant case law exists in this area.
3. Asylum and Subsidiary Protection

[74]. In Portugal, the legal framework that regulates matters related to asylum and subsidiary protection is composed of several pieces of legislation, which must be read in conjunction with the Portuguese Constitution (Article 33.º ‘expulsion, extradition and the right to asylum’) and, according to its system of reception of international law, with the obligations undertaken internationally by Portugal (Article 8.º of the Portuguese Constitution pertaining to International Law).

[75]. With regard to conventional international law, the 28.07.1958 Geneva Convention relating to the status of refugees, as amended by the Protocol signed in New York on 31.01.1967, and the main instruments of human rights, such as the European Convention of Human Rights and the International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, are pillars of the Portuguese asylum system.

[76]. In terms of national legislation, the relevant instruments are:

- Law 15/1998 of 26.03.1998, which established a new legal framework pertaining to asylum and refugees;
- Law 20/2006 of 23.06.2006, which transposed to the Portuguese legal order Directive 2003/9/EC and approved complementary norms for the legal framework pertaining to asylum and refugees;
- Law no 23/2007 of 04.07.2007, which transposed to the Portuguese legal order Directive 2003/86/EC on the right of family reunification (among others) and approved the legal framework regarding entrance, staying, leaving and withdrawal from the national territory.

[77]. The general principle enshrined in Article 33 (8) of the Constitution and Article 1 (1) of Law 15/98: the right of asylum is guaranteed to foreigners and stateless persons who are persecuted or seriously threatened of being persecuted owing to their activity in favour of democracy, social and national liberation, peace among people, freedom and human rights undertaken in the State of their nationality or habitual residence. This covers essentially all situations of political asylum.

[78]. Article 1 (2), Law 15/98, further expands the right of asylum to foreigners or stateless persons who reasonably fear persecution because of their race, religion, nationality, political opinions or association with a specific social group, and who because of that fear cannot or wish not to return to their State of nationality or habitual residence.8

[79]. Persecution of LGBTs owing to their sexual orientation is thus not a specific criterion for obtaining asylum. However, Portuguese law seems to be

---
8 This Article must be applied in accordance with the Geneva Conventions.
sufficiently flexible to encompass social grounds, particularly because the way provisions are drafted provides leeway for an interpretation that includes LGBT persecution owing to sexual orientation as ‘persecution of a specific social group’. This is the view of the authors, as well as the administrative practice. Can be confirmed in statistics in this matter annexed to this report.

[80]. Besides the right to asylum, Article 8 of Law 15/98 grants authorisation for living in Portugal (autorização de residência, which cannot be refused because of administrative reasons [‘on administrative grounds’?]) to foreigners and stateless persons to whom Article 1 (mentioned above) is not applicable, that is to say, to whom the status of refugee cannot be given for humanitarian reasons and who are unable or feel unable to return to their State of nationality or residency because of serious insecurity owing to armed conflict or the systematic violation of human rights that occur therein. Again, there is no explicit reference to persecution of LGBTs owing to sexual orientation, yet there is a human rights safeguard according to which persecution owing to a specific sexual orientation can be considered.

[81]. Article 4 of Law 15/98 dictates that the effects of granting asylum to a person may be declared to be extended to the spouse and his/her children who are minors. Until 2001, the concept of ‘spouse’ required that the person who requested asylum and his/her partner be married. Same sex married couples will not fall under the concept of “spouse”.

[82]. However, new legislation regulating unmarried couples has been adopted. In the specific cases mentioned, de facto union has particular relevance. In these situations, most of the rights related to the contract of marriage are recognised for unmarried couples who have lived together for more than two years (this legal regime can be found in Law 7/2001 of 11.05.2001). Given this, one can conclude that there is, as of 2001, the possibility of considering LGTB partners as family members, in the context of asylum and subsidiary protection. Law 7/2001 is applicable to same-sex de facto unions. No relevant case law exists in this area.
4. Family Reunification

[83]. Council Directive 2003/86/EC of 22.09.2003, on the right to family reunification, was transposed into the Portuguese legal system through Law 23/2007 of 04.07.2007 (Article 2 (1)), which approved the legal framework on entry, staying, leaving and withdrawal from the national territory. This law was further regulated by Regulatory Decree 84/2007 of 05.11.2007. That being said, and with regard to the right to family reunification in the context of asylum and subsidiary protection, the legislation already mentioned in Chapter 3, particularly Law 15/98, equally applies, as some of the elements of Directive 2003/86/EC are regulated in those instruments. These instruments need to be read and applied together.

[84]. There is no specific procedure with regard to family reunification of LGTB partners. The general regime, which was previously explained, and the national legislation on unmarried couples are applied equally to LGTB partners.

[85]. Indeed, as stated above, the effects of granting asylum to a person may be declared to be extended to the spouse and his/her children who are minors, upon request of the asylum seeker, per Article 4 (1) of Law 15/98. Also as described above, today it seems to be possible to consider the LGBT partner as his/her spouse, i.e., a member of the family. As such, if the asylum seeker is LGBT, he/she can make the request. Same sex married couples will not fall under the concept of “spouse”.

[86]. Law 15/98 regulates the period when asylum (and the request for family reunification) may be requested and Law 23/2007 of 04.07.2007 further regulates some specificities of this procedure, particularly regarding the issuing of visas. No relevant case law exists in this area.
5. Freedom of Assembly

[87]. The most important law relating to freedom of assembly dates from 1974 (Decreto-Lei n.º 406/74) and continues to be in force with minor alterations. For this reason, the issue of gay parades and similar events have not received due attention. However, the law does not prohibit these kinds of gatherings.

[88]. Under the law, all citizens have the right to take part in pacific gatherings in public places, such as stadiums, and on private property. For such gatherings, no authorisation is needed provided that participants respect public order and do not break the law or violate moral codes or rights of other persons.

[89]. According to Article 2.º, individuals or private organisations that intend to hold meetings, organise rallies, stage demonstrations or parades must give due notice of their intentions in writing to the ‘Governador Civil’ of the District in question. Such gatherings shall only be prohibited when the terms of Article 1.º are violated.

[90]. The authorities should take all necessary measures to ensure that such demonstrations or parades take place without the interference of any counter-demonstrations. Homophobic demonstrations or demonstrations based on hate speech may be banned or restricted on the basis of Article 240 of the Penal Code (see n.º 97).

[91]. This legislation is compatible with LGBT freedom of assembly in all its various forms. However, a new law could better define specific rules applicable to demonstrations like LGBT parades.

[92]. In 2005, a small demonstration (200 people) was staged by PNR (a far right political party) against the adoption of children by gay or lesbian couples, as well as against paedophilia and the gay lobby.  

[93]. In the PNR political programme an explicit reference is made to the ‘traditional heterosexual family, as the only one that according to the Natural Order ensures natural reproduction and a normal upbringing for our children’. No relevant case law exists in this area.

9 In English, NPR (National Party for the Renovation).
6. Criminal Law

[94]. The amendments of 2007 are described by scholars and NGOs as anti-homophobic, as they treat crimes of a sexual nature committed by homosexuals or heterosexuals on an equal footing, and recognise de facto unions of same-sex couples.

[95]. The Portuguese Penal Code was amended in September 2007\(^\text{12}\) and several innovations have been introduced directly or indirectly relating to sexual orientation, protection of LGBTs and equal treatment.

[96]. Hate speech related to homophobia and discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation is classified as a crime in criminal law under the designation ‘Racial, religious and sexual discrimination’ (Article 240). In fact, this article describes several discriminatory behaviours as crimes and is not restricted to the above mentioned grounds for discrimination.

[97]. Article 240.º (1) with respect to racial, religious and sexual discrimination states that anyone who:

(a) creates an organisation or provides organised activities that incite discrimination, hatred or violence against individuals or groups of individuals because of their race, skin colour, ethnic background, religion, sex or sexual orientation; or

(b) takes part in the above mentioned activities, including financial assistance, will be sentenced to 1-8 years in prison.

[98]. Article 240.º (2) stipulates that anyone in a public gathering who, by printed or electronic means:

(a) causes acts of violence against individuals or groups of individuals based on their race, skin colour, ethnic background, religion, sex or sexual orientation;

(b) defames or insults individuals or groups of individuals because of their race, skin colour, ethnic background, religion, sex or sexual orientation, for example, by denying war crimes or crimes against peace and humanity; or

(c) threatens individuals or groups of individuals because of their race, skin colour, ethnic background, religion, sex or sexual orientation with the intention of inciting social, religious or sexual discrimination will be sentenced to 6 months to 5 years in prison.

[99]. According to the above mentioned article, it is a crime to create an association or organisation or to develop activities in order to incite discrimination against

---

or hatred of someone or a group based on sexual orientation. It is also a crime to participate in the activities of or support such associations, including financially.

[100]. Besides this, homophobic motivations are relevant in at least three other crimes: murder, assault and severe assault. The homophobic motivation in these crimes is an aggravating factor.

[101]. Article 132.º, qualified homicide, states that if the circumstances in which the homicide was committed are particularly perverse or heinous, the perpetrator will be sentenced to 12-25 years in prison. A homicide committed for reasons of sexual orientation constitutes a qualified homicide.

[102]. Assault motivated by the victim’s sexual orientation can be punished with up to four years in prison (Article 145, 1a), while ‘simple’ assault can be punished with a fine or up to three years in prison (Article 143). Severe assault can be punished with up to ten years in prison (Article 144), or, if motivated by the victim’s sexual orientation, with 3-12 years in prison (Article 145).

[103]. The factors used to classify crimes as qualified grievous bodily harm are the same as those applicable to qualified homicide.

[104]. All said crimes can be investigated and prosecuted by public authorities, whether or not individuals press charges.

[105]. The above mentioned provisions of the amended Penal Code have been broadened to include discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation or homophobic motivation.

[106]. As previously pointed out, there are still other dispositions in the Penal Code aimed at giving equal protection and treatment to LGBTs.

[107]. Those dispositions refer to the right to press charges in case of a victim’s death, protection against domestic violence, privacy in some crimes and penalisation absence [‘absence of penalisation’?] in certain crimes committed to protect the spouse or unmarried partner. Since they are not connected with hate crimes or homophobically motivated crimes, they would be treated under ‘Miscellaneous’.
7. Transgender Issues

[108]. At present in Portugal, there is no law related to gender identity. Thus there is no legal discrimination, but rather a simple lack of legislation. As a consequence it is not possible to determine whether transgender issues will be dealt as “genders discrimination” or as “sexual orientated discrimination”.

[109]. Regulations that do exist were approved by the Doctors’ Public Association\textsuperscript{13} or are court decisions.

[110]. According to a resolution approved by the executive branch of the Doctors’ Public Association on 19.05.1995, operations to change an individual’s sex are prohibited except where adequate diagnosis confirms a case of transsexualism or gender dysphoria.

[111]. The regulation also stipulates that operations will only be performed after prior medical diagnosis by two psychiatrists has been made and a mandatory opinion issued by one ‘ad hoc commission’ whose members are appointed by the Doctors’ Public Association.

[112]. The candidate for surgery must be over 18, of sound mind and not married. The first step in the procedure consists of hormone treatment lasting two years at a public hospital.

[113]. The birth certificate is the document that determines a person’s gender. In the absence of any law regulating name changes in terms of gender, transsexuals wishing to change their name are compelled to initiate a legal proceeding before the State. Courts have continued to express the view that a lacuna in this area still exists\textsuperscript{14} (though with some exceptions\textsuperscript{15}). Therefore, they have accepted name changes provided the candidate satisfies the following prerequisites:

- over 18;
- not in a position to procreate;
- has undergone the operation;
- the changes are irreversible;

\textsuperscript{13} The public association responsible for ensuring ethical practices in the profession. It is an autonomous body.


• has been living for a year with the new identity;
• has no children.

[114]. Transsexuals who have not undergone genital surgery or have children can only change their names to those used for both genders, such as Jô or Zara.

[115]. According to Portuguese law (Article 104 of the Code of Civil Register), name changing must be authorised by the Ministry of Justice. However, regarding transgenders, such intervention is not required, because of a previous Court decision. No relevant case law exists in this area.
8. Miscellaneous

The Portuguese Constitution

[116]. According to the principle of equality enshrined in Article 13.º of the Portuguese Constitution: ‘Every citizen shall possess the same social dignity and shall be equal before the law’. Section 2 of the Article ensures that: ‘No one shall be privileged, favoured, prejudiced, deprived of any right or exempted from any duty on the basis of ancestry, sex, race, language, place of origin, religion, political or ideological beliefs, education, economic situation, social circumstances or sexual orientation’.

[117]. The explicit reference to sexual orientation was inserted into the Constitution by a unanimously approved 2004 amendment.

De Facto Union Law (Lei 7/2001, 11 May)

[118]. The remaining aspects of de facto union law are described in the section entitled Freedom of Movement.

[119]. Some exceptions are stipulated in the law (see Freedom of Movement), for example, both partners must be 16 or over.

[120]. Persons living in de facto unions have the following rights:

- protection of family residence;
- the same entitlement to holidays, sick leave and preference in public service placements (just like married couples);
- in private contracts, the same entitlement to holidays and sick leave as married couples;
- subject to the same tax laws as married couples;
- in case of death, the surviving partner has the right to any subsidies due in terms of social welfare regulations;
- in case of death resulting from occupational accident or disease, the surviving partner is entitled to legal protection;
- the surviving partner is entitled to receive any pension resulting from outstanding services to the nation.

[121]. Regarding the family residence, when the partner who owns the property dies, the surviving partner has the right to carry on living there for a period of five
years. After this period, he/she has the same option to purchase. The exception to this right is when the deceased has made a provision to the contrary in his/her will.

[122]. In the case where a de facto union couple lived in a rented home, the surviving partner has the option of becoming the new lessee.

[123]. The surviving partner has the right to inherit the goods and chattels of the deceased.

[124]. In principle, de facto unions cease to exist when the partners so desire. Court intervention is only required when rights are in dispute.

[125]. This law clearly stipulates that under no circumstances can same-sex unions adopt children.

**Nationality Law**

[126]. The amendment to the Law on Nationality approved by Lei Orgânica 2/2006 recognises the acquisition of Portuguese nationality in the case of de facto unions. Thus a foreigner who has lived in a de facto union with a Portuguese national for more than three years can ask for Portuguese nationality by placing such a request before the civil courts.

[127]. The Law on Nationality is regulated by Decreto-Lei 237-A/2006, which stipulates that a foreigner who has lived with a Portuguese national for more than three years and whose union has been recognised by the courts is entitled to Portuguese nationality, should he/she so desire.

[128]. The Law on Nationality makes no reference to the nature of such a de facto union – heterosexual or LGBT. In principle, it should be applied in terms of Portuguese legislation, which recognises LGBT unions.

**Social Welfare**

[129]. Concerning health service systems for public servants and spouses, until 2005 ‘spouse’ was defined as one married to the public servant. Since Portuguese law does not accept same-sex marriages, it was arguable that same-sex unions were not entitled to such coverage.

[130]. However, in 2005, several Decretos-Leis amended the legal instruments relating to health service systems for public servants (the general one, ADSE, and other specialised ones). What these amendments have in common is that they all recognise the right of de facto same-sex couples to benefit from the same coverage as heterosexual de facto unions.
Among the rights to which same-sex unions are entitled is the right to a subsidy in case of a partner’s death. [131]

The most important welfare benefits are extended equally to same-sex de facto unions. [132]

Insurance Policies and Bank Loans

According to data provided by an NGO (Ilga-Portugal), same-sex unions experienced problems with health insurance policies. Although de facto unions are accepted, some companies refuse to recognise same-sex unions as eligible for such contracts. [133]

Most banks, on the other hand, consider such unions eligible for mortgages and other loans at the same rate as for married couples. [134]

In 2007, a bank was found guilty of refusing to recognise a gay couple’s eligibility for a mortgage. The Court ordered the bank to pay damages. [135]

The principle of equality was not the Court’s ratio decidendi. Rather, the decision was based on the bank’s previous, 1994 granting of a mortgage to a gay couple. It was concluded that the bank could not adopt a different policy thereafter. [136]

Criminal Code (2007)

When the offended person dies without having pressed charges, the surviving partner can press charges. [137]

Anyone who harms, whether repeatedly or not, either physically or psychologically, persons of the same or opposite sex with whom he/she lives or has lived will be sentenced to 1-5 years in prison. [138]

In crimes of coercion involving parties of the same or opposite sex, a criminal procedure depends on a formal charge being filed. [139]

In the same cases, as enshrined in Article 364.º, a partner of the same or opposite sex can have the sentence reduced or even serve no time in prison if he/she acted with the aim of protecting the person with whom he/she lives. [140]

Regarding the covering up of crimes, in certain cases enshrined in Article 367.º, a partner of the same or opposite sex cannot be punished.

Children at Risk

The recent Decreto-Lei 11/98 allows the give shelter by families of children at risk [‘decreed that families could shelter at-risk children’?]. This decree-law does not mention de facto unions. Because LGBTs are not entitled to marry, the new law is not applicable to them.

Blood Donors

Currently, according to the law (Decreto-Lei 267/2007), LGBTs can be blood donors. This law does not discriminate against LGBTs. Therefore, restrictions established by law apply to all individuals. Anyone whose sexual behaviour places them at risk of contracting serious sexually transmitted diseases is not allowed to give blood.

The information available on the website of the Instituto Português do Sangue [National Institute of Blood] specifies that blood should not be donated by a potential donor of either sex who has:

- had several sexual contacts in exchange for money or drugs;
- engaged in sexual activities with multiple partners.

This legislation does not seem to discriminate against LGBTs.

The Case of Gisberta

In July 2006, a Brazilian transsexual named Gisberta was murdered by a group of minors from the city of Oporto. Gisberta was homeless and frequently attacked because of her sexual identity.

She was abducted by a group of minors who kept her for three days before killing her. During that time she was beaten, sexually abused and tortured before finally being thrown down a well 15 metres deep, where she drowned.

In their defence, the minors claimed that it was nothing more than a prank. Initially, the minors were accused of attempted homicide and concealing the body, but the Public Prosecutor changed the charges to assault causing grievous bodily harm.

The ruling of the Tribunal de Menores [Juvenile Court] was as follows:

- 11 of the 13 involved were sentenced to 11-13 months in a semi-open institution;
- the remaining two were enrolled in a 12-month special education programme.

Two years after Gisberta’s death, a vigil was organised by an LGBT group called The Pink Panthers, where a group spokesperson complained about the lack of any law related to gender identity.¹⁸

The content of this judicial case is not yet available.

**Violence in Viseu**

In the course of 2005, LGBTs of Viseu, in northern Portugal, were victims of insults, assaults, torture and death threats. The unusual frequency with which these occurred was condemned by politicians across the spectrum. To show solidarity with LGBTs, a demonstration was organised.

**Adoption**

The Law of Adoption does not allow de facto – heterosexual or LGBT – unions to adopt children. According to Article 1979 of the Civil Code (amended by Lei 31/2003), the following are entitled to adopt children:

- couples married for more than four years, not separated and over 25;
- single persons over 30, regardless of marital status.

It should be noted that there are cases of individual LGBTs who have adopted children, but it is not known how many.

**Assisted Procreation**

Lei 32/2006 governs assisted procreation. It explicitly states that only married couples who are not separated, or opposite-sex de facto union partners living together for two years, are entitled to benefit from the techniques envisaged in the law.

Same-sex Marriages

[157]. In the field of same-sex marriage, two projects have been presented to the Parliament, one by the Green Party and the other by the Bloco de Esquerda (a left wing party). The former proposed directly changing some articles of the Civil Code. According to this project, marriage does not need to be a contract established by people of opposite sex. The wording used is: ‘marriage is a contract entered into between two persons of the opposite sex who wish to constitute a family’.

[158]. The initiative of the Bloco de Esquerda is based on the same idea of changing the concept of marriage to a union of two people regardless of sex.
9. Good Practices

[159]. At the Universidade Nova de Lisboa, the Faculty of Law offers, as part of its degree course, a subject called ‘Law of Social Equality’, which devotes a section to ‘Homophobia and non discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation’. This component of the degree course has been offered since 2005-2006.

[160]. At the Instituto Superior do Trabalho e da Empresa (ISCTE) [Institute for Labour and Company] same-sex studies were conducted on issues related to LGBTs (mainly in the Department of Anthropology).

[161]. In recent years, several trainings and seminars on the EU and international legal framework regarding asylum and refugee status and their effects on the Portuguese system and policies on this subject have been organised.

[162]. Since 1994, the entity that represents the UNHCR in Portugal, Conselho Português para os Refugiados [Portuguese Council for Refugees], organises a biannual thematic congress on asylum-related issues. In the context of this study, the 2004 congress in Lisbon, which focused on the (new) EU legal framework on asylum and refugee status, was crucial. In particular, a panel focussed specifically on issues of discrimination and equality in the context of the EU legal framework for asylum. Between February and March 2008, a training on immigration and asylum law at Lisbon University will specifically address EU asylum law and refugee status in the context of international and Portuguese law. Although not specifically mentioned, because of new elements in EU asylum instruments, LGBT issues can be considered at the training.

[163]. On 15.12.2007, two children’s books were published that showed for the first time in Portuguese that there is more than one possible family model. Illustrated examples of homosexual couples helped parents, teachers and children to discuss the issue in a natural way.

[164]. Ilga-Portugal has taken steps to ensure that police officers receive adequate training while at the academy on how to deal with LGBTs in a non-discriminatory way. The government has approved this initiative and its implementation.

[165]. The CGTP trade union approved a manifesto entitled ‘Equal Opportunities for All’ and sub-headed ‘For diversity! Against the discrimination in the workplace and in society’. The trade union states that rules against direct or indirect discrimination, particularly on the grounds of sexual orientation, are not respected very often in spite of the absence of formal complaints. Xenophobia, 19 See: http://www.tintafresca.net/News/newsdetail.aspx?news=16099e0a-e795-4b51-9ace-5f8bf1c05397&edition=85 (15.02.2008).
homophobia and transphobia, among other fears, constitute the main examples of discrimination that should be countered by organised campaigns.\[20\]

[166]. One LGBT association in particular, *rede ex aequo*, has an updated list of gynaecologists who specialise in dealing with lesbians. The association regularly offers programmes in the field of education, participates in debates and presents discussions at schools.

[167]. The LGBT community organises film festivals, photographic exhibitions, shows and contests, camping expeditions, radio programmes and other social events. Some LGBT associations receive subsidies from State or local authorities. No relevant case law exists in this area.

\[20\] See answers from CGTP of April 2007 and of 4 February 2008.
Conclusions

[168]. The research material available for this study was limited in terms of statistical data. In fact, there are no reliable official or unofficial figures to establish patterns of discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation.

[169]. Portugal has transposed the EU Directives in acceptable terms. However, some laws still exclude LGBTs from their scope.

[170]. The Constitution expressly prohibits discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation.

[171]. At the present time, no public body is empowered to deal with general complaints on the grounds of sexual orientation.

[172]. In the last seven years few public incidents revealed homophobic behaviour, though the case of transsexual Gisberta shocked the public.

[173]. Opposite-sex and same-sex de facto unions are recognised under Portuguese law.


[175]. The Constitutional Court has yet to decide if same-sex marriage (currently not allowed by the Civil Code) is in line with the Constitution.

[176]. Some universities offer subjects on equality and non-discrimination, including issues concerning LGBTs.

[177]. There is an important group of LGBT associations that engage in a wide range of activities, including organising social events and presenting lectures in schools.

[178]. The authors of this study are firmly convinced that effective problems of discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation and disrespect for the principle of equality do indeed exist and are frequently encountered. However, the lack of information both in public bodies and in NGOs does not provide the necessary tools to quantify such problems and to establish a scientific framework. This study did not consider personal accounts that lacked substantiating evidence.
Annexes

Annex 1 – Presentation of Case Law

**Case title**
Homosexual sex with adolescents

**Decision date**
10.05.2005

**Reference details**
*Tribunal Constitucional* [Constitutional Court]

**Key facts of the case**
An adult male had sex with two male minors. Other relevant facts:
- the sexual activities were consensual;
- the minors were prostitutes.

The Court examined the existing Article 175 of the Penal Code. According to Article 174: ‘Anyone who, being over 18, engages in coitus, anal sex or oral sex with a minor aged between 14 and 16, taking advantage of their inexperience, is punished with up to two years in prison or a prison sentence of up to 240 days with the alternative of paying a fine’.

If these acts were of a homosexual nature, Article 175 stipulates that: ‘Anyone who, being over 18, engages in serious homosexual acts with a minor aged between 14 and 16, or causes such acts to be committed by a third party, is punished with up to two years in prison or a prison sentence of up to 240 days with the alternative of paying a fine’.

The above description of the crimes shows that homosexual acts with adolescents were more severely punished than heterosexual ones.

The *Supremo Tribunal de Justiça* [Supreme Court] applied Article 175 but it need not have done so, because of the fact that it was unconstitutional.

The Court argued that: ‘homosexual acts committed by adults with adolescents, regardless of the sexual experience of the minor, are overwhelmingly more traumatizing because they constitute abnormal sex acts in violation of Natural Order of things. In addition they put at risk or potentially endanger the development of the minor’s personality’.

---

DISCLAIMER: This study has been commissioned as background material for a comparative report on homophobia and discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation by the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights. The views expressed here do not necessarily reflect the views or the official position of the FRA. The study is made publicly available for information purposes only and does not constitute legal advice or legal opinion.
Key issues (concepts, interpretations) clarified by the case

At the Constitutional Court, the defence stated: ‘only a conception of homosexuality as a vice – and hence immoral – can explain the belief that adolescents must be protected against any kind of homosexual behaviour’. It was also maintained that ‘if society wants to be seen neutral as regards sexual activity, this kind of legal disposition is precisely the opposite’.

The Public Prosecutor merely remarked that the legislative power enjoyed ample scope when it came to defining crimes and punishments to be applied.

The Constitutional Code decided to try the case on the basis of the principle of equality and the right of the minor to develop their personality in a normal way. The Court said that one of the issues at stake was the adolescent’s right to express their sexual orientation freely.

Regarding the way the Penal Code treated homosexual and heterosexual relationships, it was clear that the former were deviant while the latter were socially accepted. The Court deemed that a legal judgement cannot be based on such concepts of normality.

Results (sanctions) and key consequences or implications of the case

The Court decided that Article 175 of the Penal Code was unconstitutional.

MISCELLANEOUS

Case title
Same-sex marriage

Decision date
02.09.2007

Reference details
Supremo Tribunal de Justiça [Supreme Court of Justice]

Key facts of the case
Two women presented a request to start the process of a civil marriage. This was denied by the registrar of the Registry Office. The argument used was that the Civil Code prohibits same-sex marriages.

Main reasoning/argumentation
The two women appealed this decision in Court, arguing that the Portuguese Constitution not only recognises everyone’s right to marry and have a family (Article 36 of the Constitution) but also expressly forbids discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation (Article 13 of the Constitution).

Key issues (concepts, interpretations) clarified by the case
The Court of first instance did not uphold this argument and a new appeal was made to the Tribunal da Relação de Lisboa [First Court of Appeal]. The Court upheld the previous decision, and a new appeal was made to the Supreme Court of Justice.
The main reasons presented by the plaintiffs are as follows:
- the unconstitutional nature of Article 1577 of the Civil Code, which defines marriage as a contract between two persons of the opposite sex;
- the unconstitutional nature of Article 1628 of the Civil Code, which considers nonexistent a marriage between two persons of the same sex.
Such claims were based on the principle of equality and non-discrimination and the right to constitute family.
The reasons advanced by the Supreme Court were:
- the Portuguese Constitution does not recognise the right of same-sex marriage. However, it does recognise their right to constitute a family, because partnerships and *de facto* unions are not forbidden;
- it is for Parliament to decide what the prerequisites of marriage are and its effects;
- in addition, special restrictions are allowed in Family Law when it comes to the marriage contract.
The Supreme Court turned down their request and now the issue is in the hands of the Constitutional Court.

**MISCELLANEOUS**

**Case title**
Parental Authority

**Decision date**
09.01.1996

**Reference details**
*Tribunal da Relação de Lisboa* [First Court of Appeal]

**Key facts of the case**
Whether a gay father was able to be in charge of the education of a child.

**Main reasoning/argumentation**
According to the Court, children up to the age of 7 or 8 need the ‘love and affection of their mothers, in a way that cannot be replaced by the father’.

**Key issues (concepts, interpretations) clarified by the case**
The Court decided that, despite increasing tolerance on the part of society towards homosexuals, the prevailing model continues to be heterosexuality.
In addition homosexuality was considered ‘abnormal’, and the child should not grow up in a ‘abnormal environment’.
### TRANSGENDERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case title</th>
<th>Transsexualism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision date</td>
<td>06.10.1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference details</td>
<td>Tribunal da Relação de Lisboa [First Court of Appeal]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key facts of the case</td>
<td>A. had a sex change operation and, as a consequence, intends to alter his name and sex classification in the Civil Register.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main reasoning/argumentation</td>
<td>According to the Court, men who alter their physical appearance and social behaviour as a result of sex change operations do not become women despite such practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key issues (concepts, interpretations) clarified by the case</td>
<td>It is wrong to change sex through medical intervention. It is a way of deforming the body to bring it into line with a psychological illness. It is an affront to public morality, involving self-mutilation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results (sanctions) and key consequences or implications of the case</td>
<td>Hence, no authorisation was given to change the male’s status or the individual’s name.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### TRANSGENDERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case title</th>
<th>Transsexualism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision date</td>
<td>22.06.2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference details</td>
<td>Tribunal da Relação de Lisboa [First Court of Appeal]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key facts of the case</td>
<td>A. had a sex change operation and, as a consequence, intends to alter his name and sex classification in the Civil Register.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Main reasoning/argumentation
There is a lacuna in Portuguese law when it comes to the changing of names as a result of sex change operations.
No law exists forbidding sex changes: it is not considered a crime nor an offence against public morality.
The purpose of registers is to keep records of the most important events in a person’s life.
The data recorded in a register must correspond to the truth.

Key issues (concepts, interpretations) clarified by the case
An operation in which the penis and testicles are removed and a vagina is implanted involves physical as well as deep psychological consequences.
The lack of a match between the physical body and the psychological state of mind is resolved through an operation of this nature.

Results (sanctions) and key consequences or implications of the case
The Court decided that, after undergoing such surgery, the individual becomes a woman and consequently can change his name to a feminine one.

To date, these are the relevant rulings in Portuguese courts.
### Annex 2

#### Statistics

Case statistics and complaint data (tribunal, courts, equality bodies, etc.) regarding Employment Directive 2000/78/EC concerning the ground of sexual orientation


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total complaints of discrimination (equality body, tribunals, courts, etc.)</th>
<th>Total finding of discrimination confirmed (by equality body, tribunals, courts, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National number of sanctions/compensation payments issued (by courts, tribunals, equality bodies, etc.): if possible disaggregated according to social areas of discrimination (employment, education, housing, goods and services, etc.)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National range of sanctions/compensation payments (by courts, tribunals, equality bodies, etc.): if possible disaggregated according to social areas of discrimination (employment, education, housing, goods and services, etc.)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Present in Annex 2: all available relevant case statistics and complaint data (tribunal, courts, equality bodies, etc.) regarding the national legislation transposing Employment Directive 2000/78/EC concerning the ground of sexual orientation (total of relevant complaints/pending/dismissed/negative/positive), disaggregated according to the relevant social areas (employment, social protection, education, goods and services, etc.). Present in Annex 2: all available relevant statistics of sanctions and awards of compensation issued and the range of sanctions and awards of compensation issued (please present statistical information in its original form and as completely as possible).

### Statistical information on freedom of movement

Source: Office of Foreigners and Boundaries.\(^{21}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of LGBT partners of EU citizens residing in your country falling under Directive 2004/38/EC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^{21}\) See answers from the [Portuguese Immigration Service - Asylum and Refugees Department](#), of 20 February 2008.
### Statistical information on asylum and subsidiary protection

Source: Office of Foreigners and Boundaries.\(^\text{22}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGBT individuals benefiting from asylum/subsidiary protection due to persecution on the ground of sexual orientation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGBT partners of persons enjoying refugee/subsidiary protection status residing in your country falling under Art. 2(1) Directive 2004/83/EC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^\text{22}\) See answers from the Portuguese Immigration Service - Asylum and Refugees Department, of 20 February 2008
Present relevant statistics to demonstrate the impact/social reality of relevant legislation for LGBTs in Annex 2.

No statistics available. Source: Office of Foreigners and Boundaries.
### Statistical information on family reunification

No data available. Source: Office of Foreigners and Boundaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of LGBT partners of Third Country nationals residing in your country benefiting from family reunification

Present in Annex 2: all relevant statistics to demonstrate the impact/social reality of relevant legislation for LGBTs.

No statistics available. Source: Office of Foreigners and Boundaries.
### Statistical information on freedom of assembly

Source: Ilga-Portugal and Opus Gay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of demonstrations in favour of tolerance of LGBTs, gay pride parades, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of demonstrations against tolerance of LGBTs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statistical information on criminal law, hate speech

No data available. Source: General Direction of the Policy of Justice.\(^\text{23}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Number of court cases initiated regarding homophobic hate speech**
- **Number of convictions regarding homophobic hate speech (please indicate range of sanctions ordered)**
- **Range of sanctions issued for homophobic hate speech**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Number of criminal court decisions in which homophobic motivation was used as an**

\(^\text{23}\) See answers from the Ministry of Justice – DGPIJ, of the 29 January 2008.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

aggravating factor in sentencing

Present relevant case statistics in Annex 2 to demonstrate the impact/social reality of this legislation.

Present (please use form in Annex 1) important and relevant case law (if possible at least the five most important decisions).

Present in Annex 2 relevant case statistics to demonstrate the impact/social reality of this legislation.

No data available. Source: General Direction of the Policy of Justice.
Statistical information on transgender issues

The number of name changes effected during this period is 13. Source: Institute for Public Registers.24

The number of persons who changed their sex during this period is 21. Source: Doctors’ Public Association.25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

24 See answers from the IRN, of 1 February 2008.
25 See answers from the Medical Doctors Order.