in Bulgaria

“...[N]ational authorities (judicial authorities, law enforcement bodies and administrations) are key actors in giving concrete effect to the rights and freedoms enshrined in the Charter”

Given that EU law is predominantly implemented at national level, national judges, parliamentarians, government officials and legal practitioners are core ‘Charter agents’ on whom the EU system relies.

The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights primarily addresses the EU itself. It binds EU Member States “only when they are implementing Union law” (Article 51 of the Charter). However, a significant part of national law- and policymaking is directly or indirectly influenced by EU law. Wherever a legislative file, a judicial case or factual situation falls within the scope of binding EU law, the EU Charter applies and can be used by and invoked before national authorities.

It is not always easy to draw the borders of the Charter’s field of application. The question of whether the Charter applies is central to the proper implementation of EU law. FRA’s handbook on Applying the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in law and policymaking at national level provides some guidance on this issue.

The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union is the EU’s bill of human rights. It contains 50 articles with substantive rights and principles, followed by four articles with general provisions. Member States have a duty to respect the rights and observe the principles of the Charter whenever they are acting within the scope of binding EU law. Where the Charter provisions are sufficiently precise and unconditional, they can have a direct effect at the national level – for instance in national courtrooms. Charter provisions that are ‘principles’ can only be invoked before a court if implemented by legislative or executive acts.

Member States have an explicit duty to promote the Charter’s application. This country-sheet supports that effort by giving examples of the Charter’s use and highlighting how it adds value.
The Bulgarian constitution

The Constitution of the Republic of Bulgaria

★ It was adopted in 1991. It contains ten chapters and 169 articles.

★ Fundamental rights are listed in Chapter 2, Key rights and obligations of citizens. It includes 37 provisions, 32 of which refer to fundamental rights, including socio-economic rights.

★ So far, none of the amendments have affected the provisions on fundamental rights.

The constitution, the EU Charter and the ECHR

★ The constitution makes no explicit reference to the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, the European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR) or EU law.

★ However, Article 5, paragraph 4 of the Constitution stipulates that any international treaty that has been ratified according to a procedure established by the Constitution shall be part of the domestic law. Any such treaty shall take precedence over any conflicting standards of domestic legislation.

How is the Charter used in Bulgaria?

All EU Member States apply the EU Charter – but not always to its full potential. The Charter is sometimes referred to in the context of upcoming legislation or debates in parliaments. National authorities and courts also sometimes refer to the Charter in their decisions and rulings. Examples from Bulgaria include:

★ Freedom to choose an occupation and right to engage in work (Article 15): used by courts, a national human rights body and in parliament

The Bulgarian Constitutional Court used the Charter’s provision on the freedom to choose an occupation in a case in 2011, and argued that disproportionate restrictions on the freedom to exercise a profession are inadmissible. This ruling was again referenced in a debate of the National Assembly concerning the employment status of former collaborators of state security services. In 2014, the Bulgarian Commission for Protection against Discrimination also referred to Article 15. The case concerned a former police officer’s complaint, alleging discrimination on the ground of age. The commission found that Ministry of Interior employees in a particular age group (over 41 years old) were disproportionately affected, and declared the order that terminated the complainant’s contract an act of indirect discrimination on the ground of “age”.

★ National courts: human dignity (Article 1), rights of the child (Article 24) and integration of persons with disabilities (Article 26)

In 2017, the Supreme Administrative Court (Case 10383/2015) was the last-instance court in litigation concerning a teacher who had refused to allow a pupil with a disability to join a school excursion – an alleged violation of the Protection against Discrimination Act. The Supreme Administrative Court confirmed the lower court’s decision and rejected the teacher’s appeal. To reinforce its argument, the court referred to various Charter rights, including Article 1 (human dignity), Article 24 (rights of the child) and Article 26 (integration of persons with disabilities).

★ National courts: field of application (Article 51)

In a decision of 1 June 2016 (Case No. 8412/2015), the Supreme Administrative Court declared: “The determination and proclamation of affiliations of a person to state security bodies and the intelligence services of the Bulgarian National Army does not fall under any of the powers of the Union, determined by the TFEU. In this case the Bulgarian state and courts should not apply the provisions of the Charter, because EU law does not apply to those societal relations.”
The Charter is a legally binding document. It includes civil and political rights as well as economic, social and cultural rights. Moreover, it benefits from the strength of EU law, which often has direct effect and, in principle and unlike international law, must be granted supremacy over national law. However, in many contexts it may not be possible to directly invoke the Charter – for instance, because the respective Charter provision is a principle and not a right and was not implemented by a legislative or executive act; or is otherwise not directly applicable; or does not apply at all because the case at hand falls outside the scope of EU law. In any case, the Charter increases the visibility of rights. It explicitly spells out rights and principles that are often not expressly laid out in other international human rights documents, such as the ECHR (as shown in Figure 1). Unlike the Council of Europe’s European Social Charter, the EU Charter does not offer the possibility to be bound only by selected provisions; Member States are bound by all of its provisions.

Given the breadth of rights explicitly covered by the Charter, it can help to increase the visibility of rights.

**Figure 1: What rights are covered? Comparing the Charter and the ECHR**

Charter articles and text of the ECHR: differences and equivalence in coverage

- Human dignity
- Life
- Integrity of the person
- Torture; inhuman, degrading treatment
- Slavery and forced labour
- Liberty and security
- Private and family life
- Personal data
- Marry and found family
- Thought conscience and religion
- Expression and information
- Assembly and association
- Arts and sciences
- Education
- Choose occupation and engage in work
- Conduct a business
- Property
- Asylum
- Removal, expulsion or extradition
- Equality before the law
- Non-discrimination
- Cultural, religious and linguistic diversity
- Equality: men and women
- The child
- Elderly
- Integration of persons with disabilities
- Workers right to information and consultation
- Collective bargaining and action
- Access to placement services
- Unjustified dismissal
- Fair and just working conditions
- Prohibition of child labour; protection at work
- Family and professional life
- Social security and assistance
- Health care
- Access to services of economic interest
- Environmental protection
- Consumer protection
- Vote and stand as candidate to EP
- Vote and candidate at municipal elections
- Good administration
- Access to documents
- European ombudsman
- Petition (EP)
- Movement and residence
- Diplomatic and consular protection
- Effective remedy and fair trial
- Presumption of innocence; right of defence
- Legality and proportionality of offences and penalties
- Ne bis in idem

Note: The figure is based on the Explanations on the Charter and a textual comparison of the two documents in order to show how the Charter increases the visibility of entitlements (some of the rights not explicitly contained in the ECHR are covered by the case law, which however is less visible to a non-expert).

Source: FRA, 2018
at national level. Moreover, national courts do sometimes use the Charter to interpret or further develop national law, even outside the scope of EU law.

When comparing the Charter with the constitutions of EU Member States, it becomes evident that the text of the Charter is often more explicit about certain rights. For example, in Bulgaria, some Charter rights appear not to be fully mirrored in national constitutional law – for instance, the protection of personal data (Article 8), workers’ right to information and consultation within the undertaking (Article 27), right of access to placement services (Article 29), protection in the event of unjustified dismissal (Article 30), right to access of documents (Article 42), and the right not to be tried or punished twice for the same criminal offence (Article 50).

The absence of certain rights from a constitutional text by no means implies that they are not protected by the legal order. However, explicit guarantees in a constitutional text make these rights more visible and so also more accessible. In this sense, the Charter can strengthen less well-known rights.

Figure 2: Does the Charter add to the visibility of rights? Comparing the Charter and national constitutions

Number of EU Member States that do not have equivalent/explicit provisions for this Article in their constitutional texts

Note: The figure is based on a textual comparison of the Charter and written constitutional law of the EU Member States (excluding the United Kingdom) in order to show under which provisions the Charter is most likely to increase the visibility of entitlements. EU-specific entitlements (the Charter provisions that are in Figure 1 marked in pale yellow) were considered as reflected in national constitutions if a comparable provision could be identified (for instance a constitutional provision concerning a national Ombudsperson).
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The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights: a young instrument

★ A European Convention drafted the Charter. The Convention was composed of 15 representatives of the then 15 EU Member States, 46 parliamentarians (16 members of the European Parliament and 30 members of national parliaments), and one European Commission representative. The Convention also consulted civil society.

★ The European Parliament, the European Commission and the Council of the EU solemnly proclaimed the Charter in December 2000.

★ With the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty on 1 December 2009, the Charter became legally binding. It is a relatively new legal instrument that is increasingly used at national level.

Further information

★ The EU Charter, available on EUR-Lex.

★ The Explanations relating to the Charter of fundamental rights, by the Presidium of the European Convention.

★ Charterpedia – a FRA webspace bringing together Charter-related information, including national case law.

★ EU Charter app – a FRA app to access EU Charter rights anytime, anywhere, as well as national and CJEU case law using the Charter.


★ FRA’s annual Fundamental Rights Report contains a specific chapter dedicated to the use of the Charter at national level.

★ European Commission, Annual reports on the application of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights.