in Slovenia

“[N]ational authorities (judicial authorities, law enforcement bodies and administrations) are key actors in giving concrete effect to the rights and freedoms enshrined in the Charter”

Given that EU law is predominantly implemented at national level, national judges, parliamentarians, government officials and legal practitioners are core ‘Charter agents’ on whom the EU system relies.

The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights primarily addresses the EU itself. It binds EU Member States “only when they are implementing Union law” (Article 51 of the Charter). However, a significant part of national law- and policymaking is directly or indirectly influenced by EU law. Wherever a legislative file, a judicial case or factual situation falls within the scope of binding EU law, the EU Charter applies and can be used by and invoked before national authorities.

It is not always easy to draw the borders of the Charter’s field of application. The question of whether the Charter applies is central to the proper implementation of EU law. FRA’s handbook on Applying the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in law and policymaking at national level provides some guidance on this issue.

The EU Charter as an obligation: when are Slovenian authorities required to apply it?

The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union is the EU’s bill of human rights. It contains 50 articles with substantive rights and principles, followed by four articles with general provisions. Member States have a duty to respect the rights and observe the principles of the Charter whenever they are acting within the scope of binding EU law. Where the Charter provisions are sufficiently precise and unconditional, they can have a direct effect at the national level – for instance in national courtrooms. Charter provisions that are ‘principles’ can only be invoked before a court if implemented by legislative or executive acts.

Member States have an explicit duty to promote the Charter’s application. This country-sheet supports that effort by giving examples of the Charter’s use and highlighting how it adds value.
The Slovenian constitution

The Constitution of the Republic Slovenia

Adopted on 23 December 1991, it was most recently revised in 2016, introducing the new Article 70.a (regulating the right to drinking water).

It contains ten chapters and 176 articles. Its second chapter constitutes the central bill of rights. Chapter Two deals with human rights and fundamental freedoms (51 articles). The third chapter regulates economic and social relations in 14 articles.

The constitution, the EU Charter and the ECHR

Neither the Charter nor the European Convention on Human Rights are explicitly referred to in the constitution.

Article 15, paragraph 5, establishes that no human right or fundamental freedom regulated by legal acts in force in Slovenia may be restricted on grounds that the constitution does not recognise that right or freedom or recognises it to a lesser extent (the principle of greater protection).

Article 8 of the constitution provides that laws and other regulations must comply with generally accepted principles of international law and with treaties that are binding to Slovenia. Article 8 also states that ratified and published treaties shall take immediate effect.

All EU Member States apply the EU Charter – but not always to its full potential. The Charter is sometimes referred to in the context of upcoming legislation or debates in parliaments. National authorities and courts also sometimes refer to the Charter in their decisions and rulings. Examples from Slovenia include:

- **National courts: right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial (Article 47) and prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (Article 4)**
  In 2017, the Administrative Court (ECLI:SI:UPRS:2017:I.U.166.2017) dealt with the question of whether the Ministry of Interior offered sufficient reasons for its decision to return an asylum seeker to Bulgaria, especially with regards to the appellant’s claims of systemic inadequacies in the Bulgarian system of international protection. The court also referred to Article 4 of the EU Charter, stating that the risk of inhuman and degrading treatment needs to be assessed before extraditing the applicant.

- **National courts: interpreting national law in light of the EU Charter**
  In 2014, the Constitutional Court (ECLI:SI:USRS:2014:U.I.65.13) was confronted with the question of whether the Electronic Communications Act interfered with fundamental rights, such as the right of individuals to the protection of their personal data provided by the constitution and international legal sources. The Act imposed an obligation on service providers to retain data of all users as a precautionary measure. In this context, the court also referred to Articles 7, 8, 11, 51 and 52 of the EU Charter as additional arguments in support of the conclusions made in the interpretation of national law.

- **Parliamentary debate: general reference to the EU Charter**
  In 2015, during a debate in the National Assembly, a Member of Parliament stated that “access to a successful judicial system is a fundamental right in the heart of European democracies, recognized in constitutional traditions, which are common to all member states. The right to an effective remedy before a court is written down in the Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union.”

How is the Charter used in Slovenia?
The Charter is a legally binding document. It includes civil and political rights as well as economic, social and cultural rights. Moreover, it benefits from the strength of EU law, which often has direct effect and, in principle and unlike international law, must be granted supremacy over national law. However, in many contexts it may not be possible to directly invoke the Charter – for instance, because the respective Charter provision is a principle and not a right and was not implemented by a legislative or executive act; or is otherwise not directly applicable; or does not apply at all because the case at hand falls outside the scope of EU law. In any case, the Charter increases the visibility of rights. It explicitly spells out rights and principles that are often not expressly laid out in other international human rights documents, such as the ECHR (as shown in Figure 1). Unlike the Council of Europe’s European Social Charter, the EU Charter does not offer the possibility to be bound only by selected provisions; Member States are bound by all of its provisions.

Figure 1: What rights are covered? Comparing the Charter and the ECHR
Charter articles and text of the ECHR: differences and equivalence in coverage

Note: The figure is based on the Explanations on the Charter and a textual comparison of the two documents in order to show how the Charter increases the visibility of entitlements (some of the rights not explicitly contained in the ECHR are covered by the case law, which however is less visible to a non-expert).
Source: FRA, 2018
Given the breadth of rights explicitly covered by the Charter, it can help to increase the visibility of rights at national level. Moreover, national courts do sometimes use the Charter to interpret or further develop national law, even outside the scope of EU law.

When comparing the Charter with the constitutions of EU Member States, it becomes evident that the text of the Charter is often more explicit about certain rights. For example, in Slovenia, some Charter rights appear not to be fully mirrored in national constitutional law – for instance, the rights of the elderly (Article 25), access to placement services (Article 29), access to services of general economic interest (Article 36) and consumer protection (Article 38).

The absence of certain rights from a constitutional text by no means implies that they are not protected by the legal order. However, explicit guarantees in a constitutional text make these rights more visible and so also more accessible. In this sense, the Charter can strengthen less well-known rights.

Figure 2: Does the Charter add to the visibility of rights? Comparing the Charter and national constitutions

Number of EU Member States that do not have equivalent/explicit provisions for this Article in their constitutional texts

Note: The figure is based on a textual comparison of the Charter and written constitutional law of the EU Member States (excluding the United Kingdom) in order to show under which provisions the Charter is most likely to increase the visibility of entitlements. EU-specific entitlements (the Charter provisions that are in Figure 1 marked in pale yellow) were considered as reflected in national constitutions if a comparable provision could be identified (for instance a constitutional provision concerning a national Ombudsperson).

Source: FRA, 2018
The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights: a young instrument

★ A European Convention drafted the Charter. The Convention was composed of 15 representatives of the then 15 EU Member States, 46 parliamentarians (16 members of the European Parliament and 30 members of national parliaments), and one European Commission representative. The Convention also consulted civil society.

★ The European Parliament, the European Commission and the Council of the EU solemnly proclaimed the Charter in December 2000.

★ With the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty on 1 December 2009, the Charter became legally binding. It is a relatively new legal instrument that is increasingly used at national level.

Further information

★ The EU Charter, available on EUR-Lex.

★ The Explanations relating to the Charter of fundamental rights, by the Presidium of the European Convention.

★ Charterpedia – a FRA webspace bringing together Charter-related information, including national case law.

★ EU Charter app – a FRA app to access EU Charter rights anytime, anywhere, as well as national and CJEU case law using the Charter.


★ FRA’s annual Fundamental Rights Report contains a specific chapter dedicated to the use of the Charter at national level.

★ European Commission, Annual reports on the application of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights.